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#### Abstract

This paper studies a class of nonautonomous two-species ratio-dependent population system with stage structure. Some sufficient conditions on the boundedness, permanence, extinction, and periodic solution of the system are established by using the comparison method.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

As we have well known, in recent years the population dynamical systems are extensively studied [116]. Especially, the nonautonomous ratio-dependent population dynamical systems has been extensively studied and excellent results were obtained[3, 4, 8-14]. Some of these studies described the dynamical interactions between species by ratio-dependent terms [1, 4, 7, 12]. For example, May in [7] first suggested the following set of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}(t)=r_{1} u(t)\left[1-u(t)\left(a_{1}+b_{1} v(t)\right)^{-1}-c_{1} u(t)\right], \quad \dot{v}(t)=r_{2} v(t)\left[1-v(t)\left(a_{2}+b_{2} u(t)\right)^{-1}-c_{2} v(t)\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to describe interactions of cooperation, where $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ represent the densities of two cooperative species $u$ and $v$ at time $t$, respectively.

Meanwhile, population models with stage structure have received much attention in recent years [ $6,11,14,16]$. Most of the authors have investigated population competitive system with stage structure, population predator-prey system with stage structure and population cooperative system with stage structure. Recently, Zhang et al. in [16], have studied the following nonautonomous stage-structured cooperative periodic system without delay

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) & =\alpha(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{r}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\beta(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\eta_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \\
\dot{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t}) & =\beta(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-r_{2}(\mathrm{t}) x_{2}(\mathrm{t})-\eta_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t}) x_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})  \tag{1.2}\\
\dot{y}(\mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})\left[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})\right]
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]By using the Mawhin's continuation theorem, the sufficient conditions on the existence of positive periodic solutions were established for system (1.1). Based on systems (1.1) and (1.2), the authors in [9] consider the following delayed ratio-dependent cooperative system with stage structure

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) & =r_{1}(t) x_{2}(t)-d_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-r_{1}(t-\tau) e^{-\int_{t-\tau}^{t} d_{1}(s) d s} x_{2}(t-\tau) \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) & =r_{1}(t-\tau) e^{-\int_{t-\tau}^{t} d_{1}(s) d s} x_{2}(t-\tau)-d_{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}(t)-c_{1}(t) x_{2}(t)\left(a_{1}(t)+b_{1}(t) y(t)\right)^{-1}  \tag{1.3}\\
\dot{y}(t) & =y(t)\left[r_{2}(t)-d(t) y(t)-c_{2}(t)\left(a_{2}(t)+b_{2}(t) x_{2}(t)\right)^{-1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

By using the comparison method, the authors in [9] have obtained some sufficient conditions on the permanence and extinction of system (1.3).

It is well known that, the environments of most natural populations undergo temporal variation, causing changes in the growth characteristics of these populations. One of the methods of incorporating temporal nonuniformity of the environments in models is to assume that the parameters are periodic functions of time, [8]. In fact, during the last decade, the dynamics of periodic nonautonomous population dynamical systems with stage-structures have been studied extensively in $[2,5,13,15]$ and the references cited therein. To the best of our knowledge, studies on the periodic ratio-dependent population system with stage structures have not been fully investigated.

Based on the above works and reasons, in this paper we propose and investigate the following nonautonomous ratio-dependent periodic population system with stage structure

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}(t)=\alpha_{1}(t) x_{2}(t)-r_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-\beta_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-\eta_{1}(t) x_{1}^{2}(t) \\
& \dot{x}_{2}(t)=\beta_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-r_{2}(t) x_{2}(t)-\eta_{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}(t)+\frac{b_{1}(t) x_{2}(t)}{e_{1}(t) y_{2}(t)+\gamma_{1}(t)}, \\
& \dot{y}_{1}(t)=\alpha_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)-c_{1}(t) y_{1}(t)-\beta_{2}(t) y_{1}(t)-d_{1}(t) y_{1}^{2}(t)  \tag{1.4}\\
& \dot{y}_{2}(t)=\beta_{2}(t) y_{1}(t)-c_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)-d_{2}(t) y_{2}^{2}(t)+\frac{b_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)}{e_{2}(t) x_{2}(t)+\gamma_{2}(t)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Our main purpose is to establish some sufficient conditions on the boundedness, permanence, extinction, and periodic solution of system (1.4) by using the comparison method.

In system (1.4), $x_{1}, x_{2}$ represent immature and mature members of a species $X$ while $y_{1}, y_{2}$ represent immature and mature members of a species $Y . x_{1}(t)$ and $y_{1}(t)$ represent the density of immaturity of species $X$ and $Y$ at time $t$, respectively, $x_{2}(t)$ and $y_{2}(t)$ represent the density of maturity of species $X$ and $Y$ at time $t$, respectively. $r_{1}(t)$ and $c_{1}(t)$ represent the death rate of the immature of species $X$ and $Y$, respectively, and $r_{2}(t)$ and $c_{2}(t)$ represent the death rate of the mature of species $X$ and $Y$, respectively. $\alpha_{1}(t)$ and $\alpha_{2}(t)$ represent the birth rate of species $X$ and $Y$, respectively. $\beta_{1}(t)$ and $\beta_{2}(t)$ represent the change rate of species $X$ and $Y$ from the immature to mature, which is directly proportional to the density of the immature. The terms $\frac{b_{1}(t) x_{2}(t)}{e_{1}(t) y_{2}(t)+\gamma_{1}(t)}$ and $\frac{b_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)}{e_{2}(t) x_{2}(t)+\gamma_{2}(t)}$ characterize the interactions between species $X$ and $Y$ at time $t$.

In this paper, we always assume that
$\left(H_{1}\right) r_{i}(t), \eta_{i}(t), \alpha_{i}(t), \beta_{i}(t), b_{i}(t), c_{i}(t), d_{i}(t)(i=1,2)$ are all strictly positive $\omega$-periodic continuous functions with $\omega>0$;
$\left(H_{2}\right) r_{i}(t), \eta_{i}(t), \alpha_{i}(t), \beta_{i}(t), b_{i}(t), c_{i}(t), d_{i}(t)(i=1,2)$ are all strictly positive continuous functions.
From the viewpoint of mathematical biology, in this paper for system (1.4) we consider the solution with the following initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(t)=\phi_{i}(t), y_{i}(t)=\psi_{i}(t) \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in[0,+\infty), i=1,2 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{i}(t)(i=1,2), \psi_{i}(t)(i=1,2)$ are nonnegative continuous functions defined on $[0,+\infty)$ satisfying $\phi_{i}(0)>0(i=1,2), \psi_{i}(0)>0(i=1,2)$.

In this paper, for any $\omega$-periodic continuous function $f(t)$ we denote

$$
f^{L}=\min _{t \in[0, w]} f(t), \quad f^{M}=\max _{t \in[0, w]} f(t)
$$

Now, we present some useful definition and lemmas.
Definition 1.1. System (1.4) is said to be permanent if there exist positive constants $m, M$, and $T$, such that each positive solution $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)$ of system (1.4) with any positive initial value $\varphi$, fulfill $m \leqslant x_{i}(t) \leqslant M(i=1,2), \quad m \leqslant y_{i}(t) \leqslant M(i=1,2)$ for all $t \geqslant T$, where $T$ may depend on $\varphi$.

Lemma $1.2([3])$. If $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{t})$, and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})$ are all $\mathrm{\omega}$-periodic, then system

$$
\dot{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t}), \quad \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t})
$$

has a positive $\omega$-periodic solution $\left(x_{1}^{*}(t), x_{2}^{*}(t)\right)$ which is globally asymptotically stable in $R_{+}^{2}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): x_{1}>\right.$ $\left.0, x_{2}>0\right\}$.

Lemma 1.3 ([10]). If there exist positive constants $m$ and $M$ for any $\Phi \in C_{+}^{n}[-\tau, 0]$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{m}<\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{i}(t, 0, \Phi) \leqslant \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{i}(t, 0, \Phi)<M, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

then the following general functional differential equation

$$
\frac{d x}{d t}=F\left(t, x_{t}\right)
$$

admits at least one positive $\omega$-periodic solution. Where $x(t) \in R^{n}$ and $F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ is a $n$-dimensional continuous functional, $x(t, 0, \Phi)=\left(x_{1}(t, 0, \Phi), x_{2}(t, 0, \Phi), \ldots, x_{n}(t, 0, \Phi)\right)$ is a solution of the functional differential equation with initial condition $x_{0}=\Phi$.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we will obtain some sufficient conditions for the ultimately boundedness, permanence, extinction, and existence of periodic solution of system (1.4).

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ holds, then solutions of system (1.4) with initial condition (1.5) are ultimately bounded from above.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)$ is any solution of (1.4) with initial condition (1.5). Defining the function

$$
\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})
$$

and calculating the derivative of $W(t)$ along the positive solutions of system (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{W}(t) \leqslant & \alpha_{1}^{M} x_{2}(t)-r_{1}^{L} x_{1}(t)-\eta_{1}^{L} x_{1}^{2}(t)-r_{2}^{L}(t) x_{2}(t)-\eta_{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}(t)+\frac{b_{1}^{M}}{\gamma_{1}^{L}} x_{2}(t) \\
& +\alpha_{2}^{M} y_{2}(t)-c_{1}^{L} y_{1}(t)-d^{L} y_{1}^{2}(t)-c_{2}^{L}(t) y_{2}(t)-d_{2}(t) y_{2}^{2}(t)+\frac{b_{2}^{M}}{\gamma_{2}^{L}} y_{2}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\dot{W}(t)+A_{1} W(t) \leqslant\left(\alpha_{1}^{M}+\frac{b_{1}^{M}}{\gamma_{1}^{L}}\right) x_{2}(t)-\eta_{2}^{L} x_{2}^{2}(t)+\left(\alpha_{2}^{M}+\frac{b_{2}^{M}}{\gamma_{2}^{L}}\right) y_{2}(t)-d_{2}^{L} y_{2}^{2}(t)
$$

where $A_{1}=\min \left\{r_{1}^{L}, r_{2}^{L}, c_{1}^{L}, c_{2}^{L}\right\}$. Then there exists a positive number $A_{2}$ such that

$$
\dot{W}(t)+A_{1} W(t) \leqslant A_{2}
$$

where $A_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{M}+\frac{b_{1}^{M}}{\gamma_{1}^{L}}}{\eta_{2}^{L}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{M}+\frac{b_{2}^{M}}{\gamma_{2}^{L}}}{d_{2}^{L}}\right)^{2}\right]$, which yields

$$
W(t) \leqslant \frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}+\left(W(0)-\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}\right) e^{-A_{1} t}
$$

Hence, there exist positive constant $T_{0}$ and $M=\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}$ such that $x_{i}(t) \leqslant M(i=1,2), y_{i}(t) \leqslant M(i=1,2)$ for $t \geqslant T_{0}$. This implies that any positive solutions of system (1.4) is ultimately bounded. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ holds and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}>0(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$, then system (1.4) is permanent, where $\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ $b_{1}^{L}-r_{2}^{M}\left(e_{1}^{M} M+\gamma_{1}^{M}\right)$ and $B_{2}=b_{2}^{L}-c_{2}^{M}\left(e_{2}^{M} M+\gamma_{2}^{M}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $z(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)$ is any positive solution of system (1.4) with initial condition (1.5). Firstly, it follows from the first and second equation of system (1.4) and the condition of positivity of $B_{1}$ that for $t \geqslant T_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{1}(t)=\alpha_{1}(t) x_{2}(t)-\left(r_{1}(t)+\beta_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}(t)-\eta_{1}(t) x_{1}^{2}(t) \\
& \dot{x}_{2}(t) \geqslant \beta_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-r_{2}^{M} x_{2}(t)-\eta_{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}(t)+\frac{b_{1}^{L} x_{2}(t)}{e_{1}^{M} M+\gamma_{1}^{M}} \geqslant \beta_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-\eta_{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we consider the following auxiliary equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{u}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\beta_{1}(\mathrm{t})\right) \mathrm{u}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\eta_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \dot{\mathrm{u}}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\beta_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\eta_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have that system (2.1) has a unique globally attractive positive $\omega$-periodic solution $\left(\bar{x}_{1}(t), \bar{x}_{2}(t)\right)$. Let $\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)$ be the solution of (2.1) with $\left(u_{1}\left(T_{1}\right), u_{2}\left(T_{1}\right)\right)=\left(\left(x_{1}\left(T_{1}\right), x_{2}\left(T_{1}\right)\right)\right.$, by comparison theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(t) \geqslant u_{i}(t)(i=1,2), \quad t \geqslant T_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from the global attractivity of $\left(\bar{x}_{1}(t), \bar{x}_{2}(t)\right)$, there exists a constant $T_{1}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{i}(t)-\bar{x}_{i}(t)\right|<\frac{\bar{x}_{i}(t)}{2}, \quad t \geqslant T_{1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.3) combine with (2.2) leads to

$$
x_{i}(t)>\min _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant \omega}\left\{\frac{\bar{x}_{i}(t)}{2}\right\}=: m_{i}, i=1,2, \quad t>T_{1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \inf x_{i}(t) \geqslant m_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Next, from the third and fourth equation of system (1.4) that for $t \geqslant T_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{y}_{1}(t)=\alpha_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)-\left(c_{1}(t)+\beta_{2}(t)\right) y_{1}(t)-d_{1}(t) y_{1}^{2}(t) \\
& \dot{y}_{2}(t) \geqslant \beta_{2}(t) y_{1}(t)-c_{2}^{M} y_{2}(t)-d_{2}(t) y_{2}^{2}(t)+\frac{b_{2}^{L} y_{2}(t)}{e_{2}^{M} M+\gamma_{2}^{M}} \geqslant \beta_{2}(t) y_{1}(t)-\eta_{2}(t) y_{2}^{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the following auxiliary equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{1}(t)=\alpha_{2}(t) u_{2}(t)-\left(c_{1}(t)+\beta_{2}(t)\right) u_{1}(t)-d_{1}(t) u_{1}^{2}(t), \quad \dot{u}_{2}(t)=\beta_{2}(t) u_{1}(t)-d_{2}(t) u_{2}^{2}(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have that system (2.4) has a unique globally attractive positive $\omega$ periodic solution $\left(\bar{y}_{1}(t), \bar{y}_{2}(t)\right)$. The rest of the proof is similar to the above discussion, we can obtain there exists a constant $T_{2}>0$, such that

$$
y_{i}(t)>\min _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant \omega}\left\{\frac{\bar{y}_{i}(t)}{2}\right\}=: m_{i+2}, i=1,2, \quad t>T_{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \inf y_{i}(t) \geqslant m_{i+2}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Finally, there exists a constant $T>\max \left\{T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right\}$ such that $x_{i}(t) \geqslant m(i=1,2)$ and $y_{i}(t) \geqslant m(i=1,2)$, where $m=\min \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right\}$ for $t \geqslant T$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

On the existence of positive periodic solutions of system (1.4) we have the following result. As a direct result of Lemma 2, from Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 2.3. If the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold, then system (1.4) has at least one positive $\omega$-periodic solution.

Theorem 2.4. Immature species $x_{1}, y_{1}$ and mature species $x_{2}, y_{2}$ of system (1.1) become extinct if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds and $C_{i}>0(i=1,2)$, where $C_{1}=r_{2}^{L}-\alpha_{1}^{M}-\frac{b_{1}^{M}}{\gamma_{1}^{L}}$ and $C_{2}=c_{2}^{L}-\alpha_{2}^{M}-\frac{b_{2}^{M}}{\gamma_{2}^{L}}$.

Proof. Suppose $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)$ is a positive solution of of system (1.4) with initial conditions (1.5). Defining the function

$$
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})
$$

and calculating the derivative of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})$ along the positive solutions of system (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}(t) \leqslant & \alpha_{1}(t) x_{2}(t)-r_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-r_{2}(t) x_{2}(t)+\frac{b_{1}(t)}{\gamma_{1}(t)} x_{2}(t) \\
& +\alpha_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)-c_{1}(t) y_{1}(t)-c_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)+\frac{b_{2}(t)}{\gamma_{2}(t)} y_{2}(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, it follows from (2.5) for $t>T_{0}$

$$
\dot{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{t}) \leqslant-r_{1}^{\mathrm{L}} x_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\left(r_{2}^{\mathrm{L}}-\alpha_{1}^{M}-\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{M}}}{\gamma_{1}^{\mathrm{L}}}\right) x_{2}(\mathrm{t})-c_{1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}^{\mathrm{L}}-\alpha_{2}^{M}-\frac{\mathrm{b}_{2}^{M}}{\gamma_{2}^{\mathrm{L}}}\right) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \leqslant-k V(\mathrm{t})
$$

where $k=\min \left\{r_{1}^{L}, c_{1}^{L}, C_{1}, C_{2}\right\}$, which yields

$$
V(t) \leqslant V(0) e^{-k t}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} V(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(x_{1}(t)+x_{2}(t)+y_{1}(t)+y_{2}(t)\right)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) there exists a constant $T^{*}>0$ such that $x_{1}(t) \rightarrow 0, x_{2}(t) \rightarrow 0, y_{1}(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $y_{2}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t>T^{*}$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Examples

Example 3.1. First, we consider the following system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1}(t)= & (0.15+0.15|\sin (t)|) x_{2}(t)-(0.85+0.5|\sin (t)|) x_{1}(t)-(1+0.5|\sin (t)|) x_{1}(t) \\
& -(1+0.5|\sin (t)|) x_{1}^{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})= & (1+|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-(0.15+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& +\frac{\left(2+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})| \mid \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})\right.}{(0.1+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})+2+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|^{\prime}} \\
\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{1}(\mathrm{t})= & (0.15+0.15|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-(0.75+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& -(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t}), \\
\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{2}(\mathrm{t})= & (1+|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-(0.15+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& +\frac{(2+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})}{(0.1+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})+2+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By directly calculation we can get

$$
A_{1}=0.15, \quad A_{2} \approx 1.21, \quad M \approx 8.01, \quad B_{1}=B_{2} \approx 1.54>0
$$

It is clear that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 hold.



Figure 1: Dynamics of system (3.1).

From the Fig. 1. we can see, system (3.1) is permanent and has a periodic solution.
Example 3.2. Next, we consider the following system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})= & (0.15+0.2|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-(0.85+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& -\left(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})| \mid x_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t}),\right. \\
\dot{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})= & (1+|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-(2.25+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) x_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& +\frac{(2+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})}{(0.1+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})+2+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|^{\prime}},  \tag{3.2}\\
\dot{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})= & (0.15+0.25|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-(0.75+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& -(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t}), \\
\dot{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})= & (1+|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-(2.35+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-(1+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& +\frac{(2+0.5|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{y}_{2}(\mathrm{t})}{(0.1+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|) \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})+2+0.01|\sin (\mathrm{t})|} .
\end{align*}
$$

By directly calculation we can get

$$
\mathrm{C}_{1} \approx 0.65>0, \quad \mathrm{C}_{1} \approx 0.7>0 .
$$

It is clear that the conditions of Theorem 2.4 hold.


Figure 2: Dynamics of system (3.2).

From the Fig. 2. we can see, species $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ in system (3.2) are go to extinction.
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