



Fixed point results for (β, α) -implicit contractions in two generalized b-metric spaces



Gehad M. Abd-Elhamed

Department of Mathematics, College of Girls, Ain Shams University, Egypt.

College of Science and Humanities studies, Sattam Bin Abdul-Aziz University, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce (β, α) -implicit contractive of two mappings on two generalized b-metric spaces and derive some new fixed point theorems for (β, α) -implicit contractive in two complete and compact generalized b-Metric spaces.

Keywords: Fixed points, (β, α) -implicit contractions, generalized b-metric spaces.

2020 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

©2021 All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Mustafa and Sims [11] introduced the concept of G-metric spaces as a generalization of a metric space, since then, several interesting results for existence of fixed point in G-metric spaces have been obtained (see [2–4, 7, 10, 11]).

In the other hand, Papa et al. are proved some fixed point theorems for functions satisfying an implicit relations in metric spaces and G-metric spaces (see [9, 13–18]).

The notion of b-metric space was introduced by Czerwinski [8], and many authors studied fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces (see[1, 6, 9, 12, 19]). Recently, Aghajani et al. [5] generalized the concept of b-metric spaces by using the notions of b -metric spaces and G-metric spaces, which is called G_b -metric spaces and they discussed some properties and common fixed point results of G_b -metric.

The aim of this paper is to introduce (β, α) -implicit contractive of two mappings on two generalized b-metric spaces and derive some new fixed point theorems for (β, α) -implicit contractive in two complete and compact generalized b-Metric spaces.

We recall some basic definitions and results, for details on the following notions (see [5]). Throughout this paper, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of all real numbers, \mathbb{R}_+ denotes the set of nonnegative reals and \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a non empty set and $\delta \geq 1$ be a given real number. A mapping $G : X^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a generalized b-metric (G_b -metric on X) if for all $x, y, z, a \in X$, the following axioms are satisfied:

Email address: gehadmahfood@gmail.com (Gehad M. Abd-Elhamed)

doi: 10.22436/jnsa.014.01.05

Received: 2019-11-03 Revised: 2019-04-30 Accepted: 2020-05-11

- (G_b 1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$;
- (G_b 2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$, with $x \neq y$;
- (G_b 3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$, with $z \neq y$;
- (G_b 4) $G(x, y, z) = G(p(x, z, y))$ where p is a permutation of x, y, z (symmetry);
- (G_b 5) $G(x, y, z) \leq \delta(G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z))$, (rectangle inequality).

A pair (X, G) is called a generalized b -metric.

Example 1.2. Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Consider $G_b(x, y, z) = (G(x, y, z))^p$, where $p > 1$, is a real number. Then G_b is a G_b -metric space with $\delta = 2^{p-1}$.

Each G -metric space is a G_b -metric space with $\delta = 1$. The following example shows that a G_b -metric space on X does not need to be a G -metric space on X .

Example 1.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $G_b = \frac{1}{9}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)^2$. Then G_b is a G_b -metric space on \mathbb{R} but not a G -metric space on \mathbb{R} . Indeed, let $x = 3, y = 5, z = 7$ and $a = \frac{7}{2}$, we have $G_b(3, 5, 7) = \frac{64}{9}$, $G_b(3, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}) = \frac{1}{9}$ and $G_b(\frac{7}{2}, 5, 7) = \frac{49}{9}$ so $G_b(3, 5, 7) > G_b(3, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}) + G_b(\frac{7}{2}, 5, 7)$.

We present some definitions and propositions in G_b -metric space.

Proposition 1.4. Let (X, G) be a G_b -metric space. Then for any x, y, z and $a \in X$, it follows that:

- (1) if $G(x, y, z) = 0$ then $x = y = z$;
- (2) $G(x, y, z) \leq \delta(G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z))$;
- (3) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2\delta G(y, x, x)$;
- (4) $G(x, y, z) \leq \delta(G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z))$.

Definition 1.5. Let (X, G) be a G_b -metric space, and (x_n) be a sequence of points of X , we say that (x_n) is G_b -convergent to x if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq n_0$.

Proposition 1.6. Let (X, G) be a G_b -metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) (x_n) is G -convergent to x ;
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.7. Let (X, G) be a G_b -metric space, a sequence (x_n) is called G_b -Cauchy if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m, l \geq n_0$.

Proposition 1.8. Let X be a G_b -metric space, then the following are equivalent:

1. the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G_b -Cauchy;
2. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $m, n \geq n_0$.

Definition 1.9. Let (X, G) and (X^*, G^*) be G_b -metric space and let $h : (X, G) \rightarrow (X^*, G^*)$ be a function, then h is said to be G_b -continuous at a point $a \in X$, if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X, G(a, x, y) < \delta$ implies $G^*(h(a), h(x), h(y)) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 1.10. A G_b -metric space (X, G) is said to be G_b -complete if every G_b -Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G_b -convergent in (X, G) .

Definition 1.11. A G_b -metric space (X, G) is said to be a compact G_b -metric space if it is G_b -complete and G_b -totally bounded.

2. Main results

Now, in this paper, we introduce (β, α) -implicit contractive and prove our first new results in two complete G_b -metric spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let π be the set of all upper semi-continuous function in each variable $h(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5) : \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying:

- ($\pi 1$) h is non-decreasing in variable r_1 and non-increasing in variables r_3, r_4, r_5 ;
- ($\pi 2$) if either $h(\mu, \nu, 0, \mu, 2\delta\nu) \leq 0$ or $h(\mu, \nu, \mu, 0, 0) \leq 0$ for all $\mu, \nu \geq 0$, then $\mu \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3}\nu$.

Example 2.2. $h(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5) = r_1 - \frac{1}{4\delta^3} \max(r_2, r_3, r_4, \frac{1}{2\delta}r_5)$.

Example 2.3. $h(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5) = r_1 - \frac{1}{2\delta^3}r_2 + \frac{1}{2\delta^3} \max(2\delta^3r_3, 2\delta^3r_4, \frac{1}{2\delta}r_5)$.

Example 2.4. $h(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5) = r_1 - \frac{1}{4\delta^3}r_2 + r_3 + r_4 - \frac{1}{8\delta^4}r_5$.

Definition 2.5. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a mappings and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\beta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

We say that $\psi\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is an α -admissible if $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(\psi\varphi(x_1), \psi\varphi(x_2)) \geq 1$ and $\varphi\psi : Y \rightarrow Y$ is an β -admissible if $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $\beta(y_1, y_2) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \beta(\varphi\psi(y_1), \varphi\psi(y_2)) \geq 1$.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G_b -metric spaces, $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ be two given mappings and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\beta : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The pair (φ, ψ) is said to be an (β, α) -implicit contractive pair of mappings whenever there exists $h, g \in \pi$ such that

$$h(\beta(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1)G_2(\varphi t, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2), G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t), G_1(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2), G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t)) \leq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$g(\alpha(t, \psi\varphi t)G_1(\psi s_1, \psi s_2, \psi\varphi t), G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t), G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t), G_2(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2)) \leq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $t \in X, s_1, s_2 \in Y$.

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G_b -metric spaces, and $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ be an (β, α) -implicit contractive mappings. Suppose that:

- (i) $\varphi\psi$ is an β -admissible and $\psi\varphi$ is an α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(x_0, \psi\varphi(x_0)) \geq 1, \beta(y_0, \varphi\psi(y_0)) \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\alpha(x, x) \geq 1, \beta(y, y) \geq 1, x \in X, y \in Y$.

Then $\psi\varphi, \varphi\psi$ have a unique fixed points ξ in X and q in Y , respectively. Further $\varphi\xi = q$ and $\psi q = \xi$.

Proof. Define two sequences (t_n) in X , and (s_n) in Y , by $t_n = (\psi\varphi)^n t$, $s_n = \varphi(\psi\varphi)^{n-1} t$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, t \in X$. Suppose that $t_n \neq t_{n+1}$ and $s_n \neq s_{n+1}$, for all n . Applying (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h(\beta(s_n, \varphi\psi s_n)G_2(\varphi t_{n-1}, \varphi\psi s_n, \varphi\psi s_n), G_1(t_{n-1}, \psi s_n, \psi s_n), G_2(s_n, s_n, \varphi t_{n-1}), \\ & \quad G_2(s_n, \varphi\psi s_n, \varphi\psi s_n), G_1(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, t_n)) \leq 0, \\ & h(\beta(s_n, s_{n+1})G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1}), G_1(t_{n-1}, t_n, t_n), 0, G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1}), \\ & \quad G_1(t_n, t_{n-1}, t_{n-1})) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the conditions (i) and (ii), we deduce that $\beta(s_0, s_1) = \beta(s_0, \varphi\psi(s_0)) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \beta(\varphi\psi(s_0), \varphi\psi(s_1)) = \beta(s_1, s_2) \geq 1$. By iterating the process, we get $\beta(s_n, s_{n+1}) \geq 1$, and $\alpha(t_0, t_1) = \alpha(t_0, \psi\varphi(t_0)) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(\psi\varphi(t_0), \psi\varphi(t_1)) = \alpha(t_1, t_2) \geq 1$. By iterating the process, we get $\alpha(t_n, t_{n+1}) \geq 1$.

Applying ($\pi 1$), ($\pi 2$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h(G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1}), G_1(t_{n-1}, t_n, t_n), 0, G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1}), 2\delta G_1(t_{n-1}, t_n, t_n)) \leq 0, \\ & G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3} G_1(t_{n-1}, t_n, t_n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly, applying the inequality (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\alpha(t_n, \psi\varphi t_n) G_1(\psi s_n, \psi s_n, \psi\varphi t_n), G_2(s_n, s_n, \varphi t_n), G_1(t_n, \psi s_n, \psi s_n), \\ & G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), G_2(s_n, \varphi\psi s_n, \varphi\psi s_n)) \leq 0, \\ & g(\alpha(t_n, t_{n+1}) G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), G_2(s_n, s_n, s_{n+1}), G_1(t_n, t_n, t_n), G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1})) \leq 0, \\ & g(\alpha(t_n, t_{n+1}) G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), G_2(s_n, s_n, s_{n+1}), G_1(t_n, t_n, t_n), G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), 2\delta G_2(s_n, s_n, s_{n+1})) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and using the property $(\pi 1)$, $(\pi 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g(G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), G_2(s_n, s_n, s_{n+1}), 0, G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), 2\delta G_2(s_n, s_n, s_{n+1})) \leq 0, \\ & G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3} G_2(s_n, s_n, s_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Using Proposition 1.4, we get,

$$\frac{1}{2\delta} G_1(t_n, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) \leq G_1(t_{n+1}, t_n, t_n) = G_1(t_n, t_n, t_{n+1}), \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$G_2(s_n, s_n, s_{n+1}) = G_2(s_{n+1}, s_n, s_n) \leq 2\delta G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1}). \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5), (2.6), and (2.4), we obtain

$$G_1(t_n, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} G_2(s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+1}). \quad (2.7)$$

Now it follows from the inequalities (2.3) and (2.7) that

$$G_1(t_n, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^4} G_1(t_{n-1}, t_n, t_n).$$

Hence, by induction we get

$$G_1(t_n, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) \leq (\frac{1}{4\delta^4})^n G_1(t, t_1, t_1), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_1(t_n, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) = 0$. Put $\kappa = \frac{1}{4\delta^4}$, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t_n, t_{n+p}, t_{n+p}) & \leq \delta G_1(t_n, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) + \delta^2 G_1(t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}, t_{n+2}) + \dots + \delta^{p-1} G_1(t_{n+p-1}, t_{n+p}, t_{n+p}) \\ & \leq \delta \kappa^n G_1(t, t_1, t_1) + \delta^2 \kappa^{n+1} G_1(t, t_1, t_1) + \dots + \delta^p \kappa^{n+p-1} G_1(t, t_1, t_1) \\ & = \delta \kappa^n (1 + \delta \kappa + \dots + \delta^{p-1} \kappa^{p-1}) G_1(t, t_1, t_1) \\ & = \delta \kappa^n \left(\frac{1 - (\delta \kappa)^p}{1 - \delta \kappa} \right) G_1(t, t_1, t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta \kappa^n < 1$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_1(t_n, t_{n+p}, t_{n+p}) = 0$, this due to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_1(t_n, t_m, t_m) = 0$, follows that t_n and s_n are G_b -Cauchy sequences with limits ξ in X and q in Y . Using the inequality (2.1), we have

$$h(\beta(s_n, \varphi\psi s_n) G_2(\varphi t_n, \varphi\psi s_{n-1}, \varphi\psi s_{n-1}), G_1(t_n, \psi s_{n-1}, \psi s_{n-1}), G_2(s_{n-1}, s_{n-1}, \varphi t_n),$$

$$G_2(s_{n-1}, \varphi\psi s_{n-1}, \varphi\psi s_{n-1}), G_1(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, \psi\varphi t_{n-1})) \leq 0,$$

$$h(\beta(s_n, s_{n+1}) G_2(\varphi t_n, s_n, s_n), G_1(t_n, t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G_2(s_{n-1}, s_{n-1}, \varphi t_n), G_2(s_{n-1}, s_n, s_n), G_1(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, t_n)) \leq 0$$

Taking n tend to ∞ , we have

$$h(\beta(q, q) G_2(\varphi\xi, q, q), 0, G_2(q, q, \varphi\xi), 0, 0) \leq 0,$$

by the condition(iii), we get $h(G_2(\varphi\xi, q, q), 0, G_2(q, q, \varphi\xi), 0, 0) \leq 0$, hence $q = \varphi\xi$. Using the inequality (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\alpha(t_n, \psi\varphi t_n)G_1(\psi s_n, \psi s_n, \psi\varphi t_{n-1}), G_2(s_n, s_n, \varphi t_{n-1}), G_1(t_{n-1}, \psi s_n, \psi s_n), \\ & \quad G_1(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, \psi\varphi t_{n-1}), G_2(s_{n-1}, \varphi\psi s_{n-1}, \varphi\psi s_{n-1})) \leq 0, \\ & g(\alpha(t_n, t_{n+1})G_1(\psi s_n, \psi s_n, t_n), G_2(s_n, s_n, \varphi t_{n-1}), G_1(t_{n-1}, \psi s_n, \psi s_n), \\ & \quad G_1(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, t_n), G_2(s_{n-1}, s_n, s_n)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking n tend to ∞ , we obtain

$$g(G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \xi), 0, G_1(\xi, \psi q, \psi q), 0, 0) \leq 0,$$

we get $\xi = \psi q$. Thus $\psi\varphi\xi = \psi q = \xi$, $\varphi\psi q = \varphi\xi = q$, and so $\psi\varphi$ has a fixed point ξ and $\varphi\psi$ has a fixed point q .

Suppose that $\psi\varphi$ has a another fixed point ξ_1 and $\varphi\psi$ has a another fixed point q_1 . We apply (2.1), (iii) and using the property ($\pi 2$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & h(\beta(q_1, \varphi\psi q_1)G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi q_1, \varphi\psi q_1), G_1(\xi, \psi q_1, \psi q_1), G_2(q_1, q_1, \varphi\xi), \\ & \quad G_2(q_1, \varphi\psi q_1, \varphi\psi q_1), G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \psi\varphi\psi q)) \leq 0, \\ & h(\beta(q_1, q_1)G_2(\varphi\psi q, \varphi\psi q_1, \varphi\psi q_1), G_1(\xi, \psi q_1, \psi q_1), G_2(q_1, q_1, \varphi\xi), \\ & \quad G_2(q_1, \varphi\psi q_1, \varphi\psi q_1), G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \psi\varphi\psi q)) \leq 0, \\ & h(G_2(q, q_1, q_1), G_1(\psi q, \psi q_1, \psi q_1), G_2(q_1, q_1, q), 0, 0) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which it easy to see that

$$G_2(q, q_1, q_1) \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3}G_1(\psi q, \psi q_1, \psi q_1). \quad (2.8)$$

We apply the inequality (2.2) and (iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\alpha(\psi q_1, \psi\varphi\psi q_1)G_1(\psi\varphi\psi q, \psi\varphi\psi q, \psi\varphi\psi q_1), G_2(\varphi\psi q, \varphi\psi q, \varphi\psi q_1), \\ & \quad G_1(\psi q_1, \psi\varphi\psi q, \psi\varphi\psi q), G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \psi\varphi\psi q), G_2(q_1, \varphi\psi q_1, \varphi\psi q_1)) \leq 0, \\ & g(\alpha(\psi q_1, \psi q_1)G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \psi q_1), G_2(q, q, q_1), G_1(\psi q_1, \psi q, \psi q), 0, 0) \leq 0, \\ & g(G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \psi q_1), G_2(q, q, q_1), G_1(\psi q_1, \psi q, \psi q), 0, 0) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \psi q_1) \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3}G_2(q, q, q_1).$$

Again by using the proposition1.4, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\delta}G_1(\psi q, \psi q_1, \psi q_1) \leq G_1(\psi q, \psi q, \psi q_1) \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3}G_2(q, q, q_1) \leq \frac{1}{2\delta^3}G_2(q, q_1, q_1), \\ & G_1(\psi q, \psi q_1, \psi q_1) \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2}G_2(q, q_1, q_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Now it follows from the inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$G_2(q, q_1, q_1) \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3}G_1(\psi q, \psi q_1, \psi q_1) < \frac{1}{4\delta^5}G_2(q, q_1, q_1) < G_2(q, q_1, q_1)$$

and so $q = q_1$ since $\frac{1}{4\delta^5} < 1$. Now $\varphi\psi\xi_1 = \xi_1$ implies $\varphi\psi\varphi\xi_1 = \varphi\xi_1$ and so $\varphi\xi_1 = q$. Thus $\xi = \psi\varphi\xi = \psi q = \psi\varphi\xi_1 = \xi_1$. \square

Corollary 2.8. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be complete G_b -metric spaces, and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y, \psi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a mappings. Suppose that there exists a functions $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\beta: Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1)G_2(\varphi t, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2) &\leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3} \max(G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t)), \\ &G_2(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2), \frac{1}{2\delta} G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t)) \\ \alpha(t, \psi\varphi t)G_1(\psi s_1, \psi s_2, \psi\varphi t) &\leq \frac{1}{4\delta^3} \max(G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t), \\ &G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t), \frac{1}{2\delta} G_2(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in X, s_1, s_2 \in Y$. Suppose also that:

- (i) $\varphi\psi$ is an β -admissible and $\psi\varphi$ is an α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(x_0, \psi\varphi(x_0)) \geq 1, \beta(y_0, \varphi\psi(y_0)) \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\alpha(x, x) \geq 1, \beta(y, y) \geq 1, x \in X, y \in Y$.

Then $\psi\varphi$ and $\varphi\psi$ have a unique fixed points ξ in X and q in Y , respectively. Further $\varphi\xi = q$ and $\psi q = \xi$.

We prove an analogous results for compact G_b -metric spaces.

Definition 2.9. Let π^* be the set of all upper semi-continuous function in each variable $h(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5): \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying:

- (π^*1) h is non-decreasing in variable r_1 and non-increasing in variables r_3, r_4, r_5 ;
- (π^*2) if either $h(\mu, \nu, 0, \mu, 2\delta\nu) < 0$ or $h(\mu, \nu, \mu, 0, 0) < 0$ for all $\mu, \nu \geq 0$, then $\mu < \frac{1}{2\delta}\nu$.

Definition 2.10. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G_b -metric spaces, $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y, \psi: Y \rightarrow X$ be two given mappings and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\beta: Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The pair (φ, ψ) is said to be an (β, α) -implicit contractive pair of mappings whenever there exists $h, g \in \pi^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\beta(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1)G_2(\varphi t, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2), G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t), G_2(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2), G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t)) &< 0, \quad (2.10) \\ g(\alpha(t, \psi\varphi t)G_1(\psi s_1, \psi s_2, \psi\varphi t), G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t), G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t), G_2(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2)) &< 0 \quad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in X, s_1, s_2 \in Y$.

Theorem 2.11. Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G_b -metric spaces, and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y, \psi: Y \rightarrow X$ be an (β, α) -implicit contractive continuous mappings satisfying the conditions:

- (i) $\varphi\psi$ is an β -admissible and $\psi\varphi$ is an α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(x_0, \psi\varphi(x_0)) \geq 1, \beta(y_0, \varphi\psi(y_0)) \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\alpha(x, x) \geq 1, \beta(y, y) \geq 1, x \in X, y \in Y$.

Then $\psi\varphi$ has a unique fixed point ξ_1 in X and $\varphi\psi$ has a unique fixed point q in Y . Further, $\varphi\xi_1 = q$ and $\psi q = \xi_1$.

Proof. Let $\omega: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $\omega(t) = G_1(t, \psi\varphi t, \psi\varphi t)$ is G_b -continuous on X . Since X is compact, there exists a point ξ in X such that

$$\omega(\xi) = G_1(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi) = \min\{G_1(t, \psi\varphi t, \psi\varphi t); t \in X\}.$$

Suppose that $\varphi\xi \neq \varphi\psi\varphi\xi$. Then $\xi \neq \psi\varphi\xi$. Put $s_1 = s_2 = \varphi\xi, t = \psi s = \psi\varphi\xi$ in (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi)G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi), G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi), G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi), \\ G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi), G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi)) &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\alpha(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi)G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi), G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi), 0, \\ &G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi), 2\delta G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi)) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the condition (i) and (ii), we obtain $\alpha(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi) \geq 1$, and using $(\pi^*1), (\pi^*2)$, we get

$$G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi) < \frac{1}{2\delta} G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi). \quad (2.12)$$

Using Proposition 1.4 and (2.12), we get

$$G_2(\varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\xi, \varphi\xi) \leq 2\delta G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi), \quad G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi) < G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi). \quad (2.13)$$

Putting $s_1 = s_2 = \varphi\xi, t = \xi$ in (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &h(\beta(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi)G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi), G_1(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi), G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\xi, \varphi\xi), \\ &G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi), G_1(\xi, \xi, \psi\varphi\xi)) < 0, \\ &h(\beta(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi)G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi), G_1(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi), 0, G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi), \\ &2\delta G_1(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi)) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying conditions (i) and (ii), put $x_0 = \varphi\xi, \beta(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi) \geq 1$, and using $(\pi^*1), (\pi^*2)$, we obtain

$$G_2(\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi) < \frac{1}{2\delta} G_1(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi). \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi) < \frac{1}{2\delta} G_1(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi), \\ &\frac{1}{2\delta} G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi) \leq G_1(\psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi), \\ &\frac{1}{2\delta} G_1(\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\psi\varphi\xi) < \frac{1}{2\delta} G_1(\xi, \psi\varphi\xi, \psi\varphi\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\omega(\psi\varphi\xi) < \omega(\xi)$, and we have a contradiction. So $\varphi\psi\varphi\xi = \varphi\xi$. If $\varphi\xi = q$ and $\psi q = \xi_1$, then we have $\psi\varphi(\psi\varphi\xi) = \psi(\varphi\psi\varphi\xi) = \psi\varphi\xi = \psi q = \xi_1$, and $q = \varphi\xi = \varphi\psi(\varphi\xi) = \varphi(\psi\varphi\xi) = \varphi\xi_1$. Then $\psi q = \xi_1$ is a fixed point of $\psi\varphi$ and $\varphi\xi_1 = q$ is a fixed point of $\varphi\psi$.

Suppose that $\psi\varphi$ has another fixed point ξ_2 . Then applying (2.11), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\alpha(\xi_2, \psi\varphi\xi_2)G_1(\psi\varphi\xi_1, \psi\varphi\xi_1, \psi\varphi\xi_2), G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2), G_1(\xi_2, \xi_1, \xi_1), \\ &G_1(\xi_2, \xi_2, \psi\varphi\xi_2), G_2(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2)) < 0, \\ &g(\alpha(\xi_2, \psi\varphi\xi_2)G_1(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2), G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2), G_1(\xi_2, \xi_1, \xi_1), \\ &G_1(\xi_2, \xi_2, \psi\varphi\xi_2), G_2(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_1)) < 0, \\ &g(\alpha(\xi_2, \xi_2)G_1(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2), G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2), G_1(\xi_2, \xi_1, \xi_1), 0, 2\delta G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_2)) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying condition (iii) and using $(\pi^*1), (\pi^*2)$, we obtain

$$G_1(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2) < \frac{1}{2\delta} G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2). \quad (2.15)$$

Using (2.10) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &h(\beta(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2)G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2), G_1(\xi_1, \psi\varphi\xi_2, \psi\varphi\xi_2), \\ &G_2(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_1), G_2(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2), G_1(\xi_2, \xi_2, \psi\varphi\xi_2)) < 0, \\ &h(\beta(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\psi\varphi\xi_2)G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_2), G_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_2), G_2(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_1), 0, 0) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_2) &< \frac{1}{2\delta}G_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_2), \\ \frac{1}{2\delta}G_2(\varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_1) &\leq G_2(\varphi\xi_1, \varphi\xi_2, \varphi\xi_2) < \frac{1}{2\delta}G_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.15) and (2.16), it follows that

$$G_1(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2) < \frac{1}{2\delta}G_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_2) \leq \frac{1}{2\delta}2\delta G_1(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2),$$

which gives a contradiction and so the fixed point ξ_1 must be unique. Similarly, q is the unique fixed point of $\varphi\psi$. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let (X, G_1) and (Y, G_2) be compact G_b -metric spaces, and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y, \psi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mappings. Suppose that there exists a functions $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\beta: Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1)G_2(\varphi t, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2) &< \frac{1}{2\delta} \max(G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t), \\ &\quad G_2(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2), \frac{1}{2\delta}G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t)), \\ \alpha(t, \psi\varphi t)G_1(\psi s_1, \psi s_2, \psi\varphi t) &< \frac{1}{2\delta} \max G_2(s_1, s_2, \varphi t), G_1(t, \psi s_1, \psi s_2), \\ &\quad G_1(t, t, \psi\varphi t), \frac{1}{2\delta}G_2(s_1, \varphi\psi s_1, \varphi\psi s_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in X, s_1, s_2 \in Y$. Suppose also that:

- (i) $\varphi\psi$ is an β -admissible and $\psi\varphi$ is an α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\alpha(x_0, \psi\varphi(x_0)) \geq 1, \beta(y_0, \varphi\psi(y_0)) \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\alpha(x, x) \geq 1, \beta(y, y) \geq 1, x \in X, y \in Y$.

Then $\psi\varphi$ has a unique fixed point ξ in X and $\varphi\psi$ has a unique fixed point q in Y , furthermore $\varphi\xi = q$ and $\psi q = \xi$.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the editor and anonymous referee for their constructive comments, which helped to improve the final version of the paper.

References

- [1] M. Abbas, B. E. Rhoades, *Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., **215** (2009), 262–269. 1
- [2] G. M. Abd-Elhamed, *Fixed point theorems for contractions and generalized contractions in G -metric spaces*, J. Interpolat. Approx. Sci. Comput., **2015** (2015), 20–27. 1
- [3] G. M. Abd-Elhamed, *Related fixed point theorems on two complete and compact G -metric spaces*, Int. J. Eng. Res. Tech., **12** (2019), 446–456.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, *On coupled fixed point results in asymmetric G -metric spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2013** (2013), 12 pages. 1
- [5] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, J. R. Roshan, *Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered G_b -metric spaces*, Filomat, **28** (2014), 1087–1101. 1
- [6] A. Amini-Harandi, *Fixed point theory for quasi-contraction maps in b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory, **15** (2014), 351–358. 1
- [7] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, C. Vetro, *On generalized weakly g -contraction mapping in g -metric spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), 4222–4229. 1

- [8] S. Czerwinski, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998), 263–276. 1
- [9] N. Hussain, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, *Fixed point results for α -implicit contractions with application to integral equations*, Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control., **21** (2016), 362–378. 1
- [10] Z. Mustafa, V. Parvaneh, M. Abbas, J. R. Roshan, *Some coincidence point results for generalized (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 23 pages. 1
- [11] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **7** (2006), 289–297. 1
- [12] H. K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg, *Cyclic generalized ϕ -contractions in b-metric spaces and an application to integral equations*, Filomat, **28** (2014), 2047–2057. 1
- [13] V. Popa, *Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings*, Stud. Cercet. Stiint. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacau, **7** (1997), 129–133. 1
- [14] V. Popa, *Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Demonstratio Math., **32** (1999), 157–163.
- [15] V. Popa, A.-M. Patriciu, *A general fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying an implicit relation in complete G-metric spaces*, Gazi Univ. J. Sci., **25** (2012), 403–408.
- [16] V. Popa, A.-M. Patriciu, *A general fixed point theorem for pairs of weakly compatible mappings in G-metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **5** (2012), 151–160.
- [17] V. Popa, A.-M. Patriciu, *Two general fixed point theorems for pairs of weakly compatible mappings in G-metric spaces*, Novi Sad J. Math., **42** (2012), 49–60.
- [18] V. Popa, A.-M. Patriciu, *Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying an implicit relation in complete G-metric spaces*, Bul. Inst. Politeh. Iasi, Sect. I, Mat. Mec. Teor. Fiz., **59** (2013), 97–123. 1
- [19] S. Radenović, T. Došnović, T. A. Lampert, Z. Golubović, *A note on some recent fixed point results for cyclic contractions in b-metric spaces and an application to integral equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **273** (2016), 155–164. 1