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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present the best possible parameters $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and $\alpha_{4}, \beta_{4} \in(1 / 2,1)$ such that the double inequalities $$
\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{1} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) C(a, b)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<\beta_{1} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) C(a, b), \\ & Q^{\alpha_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<Q^{\beta_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\beta_{2}}(a, b), \\ & \frac{Q(a, b) C(a, b)}{\alpha_{3} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) C(a, b)}<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<\frac{Q(a, b) C(a, b)}{\beta_{3} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) C(a, b)}, \\ & C\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{4} a^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) a^{2}+\alpha_{4} b^{2}}\right)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<C\left(\sqrt{\beta_{4} a^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) a^{2}+\beta_{4} b^{2}}\right) \end{aligned}
$$


hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $Q(a, b), C(a, b)$, and $T(a, b)$ are the quadratic, contraharmonic, and Toader means, respectively, and $T_{Q, C}(a, b)=T[Q(a, b), C(a, b)]$. As consequences, we provide new bounds for the complete elliptic integral of the second kind.
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## 1. Introduction

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, the $p$ th power mean $M_{p}(a, b)[7,9,17,18,22,32,35,37,38]$, pth Lehmer mean $L_{p}(a, b)[27,34]$, harmonic mean $H(a, b)$, geometric mean $G(a, b)$, arithmetic mean $A(a, b)$, Toader mean $T(a, b)[10,14,16,28]$, centroidal mean $\bar{C}(a, b)[6,36]$, quadratic mean $Q(a, b)[19]$,

[^0]contraharmonic mean $C(a, b)[5,13]$ are, respectively, defined by
\[

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
M_{p}(a, b) & =\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) / 2\right]^{1 / p},} & p \neq 0, \\
\sqrt{a b}, & p=0,
\end{array},\right. & L_{p}(a, b)=\frac{a^{p+1}+b^{p+1}}{a^{p}+b^{p}}, \\
H(a, b) & =\frac{2 a b}{a+b^{\prime}}, \quad G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}, & A(a, b)=\frac{a+b}{2}, \\
T(a, b) & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+b^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta, & \bar{C}(a, b)=\frac{2\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right)}{3(a+b)},  \tag{1.1}\\
Q(a, b) & =\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2}}, & C(a, b)=\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a+b}
\end{array}
$$
\]

It is well-known that $M_{p}(a, b)$ and $L_{p}(a, b)$ are continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, the following inequality chain

$$
\begin{align*}
H(a, b) & =M_{-1}(a, b)=L_{-1}(a, b)<G(a, b)=M_{0}(a, b)=L_{-1 / 2}(a, b) \\
& <A(a, b)=M_{1}(a, b)=L_{0}(a, b)<T(a, b)<\bar{C}(a, b)  \tag{1.2}\\
& <Q(a, b)=M_{2}(a, b)<C(a, b)=L_{1}(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
The Toader mean $T(a, b)$ has been well known in the mathematical literature for many years (see $[20,21,24])$, which is related to the complete elliptic integral of the second kind $\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(1-$ $\left.r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} \theta(\mathrm{r} \in(0,1))[12,15,25,30,31,33,39,40]$ and it can be rewritten as

$$
T(a, b)= \begin{cases}2 a \mathcal{E}\left(\sqrt{1-(b / a)^{2}}\right) / \pi, & a \geqslant b  \tag{1.3}\\ 2 b \mathcal{E}\left(\sqrt{1-(a / b)^{2}}\right) / \pi, & a<b\end{cases}
$$

Let $r \in(0,1)$ and $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$, then the complete elliptic integral of the first kind is given by $\mathcal{K}(r)=$ $\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} d \theta$. We clearly see that $\mathcal{K}(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 2,+\infty)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \pi / 2)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ satisfy the following Landen identities and derivatives formulas (see [2, Appendix E, p.474-475])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)=(1+r) \mathcal{K}(r), \quad \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)=\frac{2 \mathcal{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)}{1+r}, \\
& \frac{d \mathcal{K}(r)}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)}{r^{\prime 2}}, \quad \frac{d \mathcal{E}(r)}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}(r)-\mathcal{K}(r)}{r}, \\
& \frac{d\left[\mathcal{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)\right]}{d r}=r \mathcal{K}(r), \quad \frac{d[\mathcal{K}(r)-\mathcal{E}(r)]}{d r}=\frac{r \mathcal{E}(r)}{r^{\prime 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The special values $\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{2} / 2)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)$ will be used later, which can be expressed as (see [4, Theorem 1.7])

$$
\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=\frac{\Gamma^{2}(1 / 4)}{4 \sqrt{\pi}}=1.854 \cdots, \quad \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=\frac{4 \Gamma^{2}(3 / 4)+\Gamma^{2}(1 / 4)}{8 \sqrt{\pi}}=1.350 \cdots
$$

where $\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t$ is the classical Euler's gamma function.
The special bivariate mean $T_{X, Y}(a, b)$ derived from Toader mean for any bivariate means $X(a, b)$ and $Y(a, b)$ of positive numbers $a, b$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{X, Y}(a, b)=T[X(a, b), Y(a, b)] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called a Toader-type mean. We denote the pairs of means $\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}\}$ the generating means of the Toader-type mean defined in (1.4).

Recently, the Toader mean has been the subject of intensive research. Vuorinen [29] conjectured that the inequality

$$
T(a, b)>M_{3 / 2}(a, b)
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. This conjecture was proved by Qiu and Shen [26], and Barnard et al. [3], respectively.

Alzer and Qiu [1] presented a best possible upper power mean bound of the Toader mean as follows

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})<\mathrm{M}_{\log 2 /(\log \pi-\log 2)}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Chu and Wang [11] prove the double inequality

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})<\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only $p \leqslant 0$ and $q \geqslant 1 / 4$.
Very recently, optimal bounds for $T_{A, C}(a, b)$ and $T_{A, Q}(a, b)$ by several convex combinations of their generating means were established. Li et al. [23] presented the best possible parameters $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ with $\mathfrak{i}=1,2,3,4$ such that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} A(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) C(a, b) & <T_{A, C}(a, b)<\beta_{1} A(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) C(a, b), \\
A^{\alpha_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\alpha_{2}}(a, b) & <T_{A, C}(a, b)<A^{\beta_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\beta_{2}}(a, b), \\
\frac{\alpha_{3}}{A(a, b)}+\frac{1-\alpha_{3}}{C(a, b)} & <\frac{1}{T_{A, C}(a, b)}<\frac{\beta_{3}}{A(a, b)}+\frac{1-\beta_{3}}{C(a, b)}, \\
C\left(\alpha_{4} a+\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) b, \alpha_{4} b+\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) a\right) & <T_{A, C}(a, b)<C\left(\beta_{4} a+\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) b, \beta_{4} b+\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) a\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In [8], the authors found the best possible parameters $\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{2} \in(1 / 2,1)$ such that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q\left(a, b ; \lambda_{1}\right)<T_{A, Q}(a, b)<Q\left(a, b ; \mu_{1}\right), \\
& C\left(a, b ; \lambda_{2}\right)<T_{A, Q}(a, b)<C\left(a, b ; \mu_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $M(a, b ; p)=M[p a+(1-p) b, p b+(1-p) a]$ is the one-parameter mean of $a$ and $b$. Besides, another expression of optimal bounds for $T_{A, Q}(a, b)$ was given by Zhao et al. [41]. Explicitly, they proved the double inequality

$$
\left[\alpha(r) A^{r}(a, b)+(1-\alpha(r)) Q^{r}(a, b)\right]^{1 / r}<T_{A, Q}(a, b)<\left[\beta(r) A^{r}(a, b)+(1-\beta(r)) Q^{r}(a, b)\right]^{1 / r}
$$

holds for all $r \leqslant 1$ and $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha(r) \geqslant 1 / 2$ and $\beta(r) \leqslant \lambda(r)$, where $\lambda(r)$ is defined by $\lambda(r)=\left[1-(2 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2) / \pi)^{r}\right] /\left[1-(\sqrt{2} / 2)^{r}\right](r \neq 0)$ and $\lambda(0)=\log [2 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2) / \pi] / \log (\sqrt{2} / 2)$.

From (1.1) and (1.2) together with the properties of a mean, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(a, b)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<C(a, b) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Motivated by inequality (1.5) and the results of [8], it is natural to ask what are the best possible parameters $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and $\alpha_{4}, \beta_{4} \in(1 / 2,1)$ such that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) C(a, b)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<\beta_{1} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) C(a, b), \\
& Q^{\alpha_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<Q^{\beta_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\beta_{2}}(a, b), \\
& \frac{Q(a, b) C(a, b)}{\alpha_{3} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) C(a, b)}<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<\frac{Q(a, b) C(a, b)}{\beta_{3} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) C(a, b)}, \\
& C\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{4} a^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) a^{2}+\alpha_{4} b^{2}}\right)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<C\left(\sqrt{\beta_{4} a^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) a^{2}+\beta_{4} b^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}>0$ with $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$ ? The main purpose of this paper is to answer this question.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove the desired theorem, we need several lemmas which we present in this section.
Lemma 2.1 ([2, Theorem 1.25]). For $-\infty<\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}<\infty$, let $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}:[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$, and be differentiable on $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$, let $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) \neq 0$ on $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$. If $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$, then so are

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)} \text { and } \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)}
$$

If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.

## Lemma 2.2.

(1) $[\mathcal{K}(\mathrm{r})-\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r})] / \mathrm{r}^{2}$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$;
(2) $[\mathcal{K}(\mathrm{r})-\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r})] / \log \left(1 / \mathrm{r}^{\prime}\right)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \pi / 2)$;
(3) $r^{\prime c} \mathcal{E}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$ if and only if $c \leqslant-1 / 2$.

Proof. Parts (1)-(3) follow from [2, Exercise 3.43 (11) and (15), Theorem 3.21 (8)].
Lemma 2.3. Let $\delta_{1}=2[\pi-2 \varepsilon(\sqrt{2} / 2)] /(2-\sqrt{2}) \pi=0.4785 \cdots$ and

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})=\frac{1-2 \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r}) / \pi}{1-\sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}}
$$

then $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$ onto $\left(\delta_{1}, 1 / 2\right)$.
Proof. Let $f_{1}(r)=1-2 \varepsilon(r) / \pi$ and $f_{2}(r)=1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$. Then we clearly see that $f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=0$ and $f(r)=f_{1}(r) / f_{2}(r)$.

Taking the derivative of $f_{1}(r)$ and $f_{2}(r)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{f_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{K}(r)-\mathcal{E}(r)}{\log \left(1 / r^{\prime}\right)} \cdot \frac{r^{\prime} \log \left(1 / r^{\prime}\right)}{1-r^{\prime 2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An easy calculation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{r}^{\prime} \log \left(1 / \mathrm{r}^{\prime}\right) /\left(1-\mathrm{r}^{\prime 2}\right)\right]}{\mathrm{dr} \mathrm{r}^{\prime}}=\frac{\left(1-\mathrm{r}^{\prime 2}\right)+\left(1+\mathrm{r}^{\prime 2}\right) \log \mathrm{r}^{\prime}}{\left(1-\mathrm{r}^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r^{\prime} \in(0,1)$.
It follows from (2.2) together with the monotonicity of $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ that $r^{\prime} \log \left(1 / r^{\prime}\right) /\left(1-r^{\prime 2}\right)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$ with respect to $r$. This conjunction with (2.1) and Lemma 2.2 (2) implies that $f_{1}^{\prime}(r) / f_{2}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.3 follows from Lemma 2.1 and the limiting values $f\left(0^{+}\right)=1 / 2$ and $f\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}^{-}\right)=\delta_{1}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\delta_{2}=2 \log [\pi /(2 \varepsilon(\sqrt{2} / 2))] / \log 2=0.435698 \cdots$ and

$$
g(r)=\frac{2[\log (2 / \pi)+\log \mathcal{E}(r)]}{\log \left(1-r^{2}\right)}
$$

then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$ onto $\left(\delta_{2}, 1 / 2\right)$.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{g}_{1}(\mathrm{r})=2[\log (2 / \pi)+\log \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r})]$ and $\mathrm{g}_{2}(\mathrm{r})=\log \left(1-\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$, then it is easy to see that $\mathrm{g}_{1}(0)=\mathrm{g}_{2}(0)=$ $0, g(r)=g_{1}(r) / g_{2}(r)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{g_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{g_{11}(r)}{g_{22}(r)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{11}(r)=\left(1-r^{2}\right)[\mathcal{K}(r)-\mathcal{E}(r)]$ and $g_{22}(r)=r^{2} \mathcal{E}(r)$.

Observe that $g_{11}(0)=g_{22}(0)=0$. Taking the derivative of $g_{11}(r)$ and $g_{22}(r)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{11}^{\prime}(r)}{g_{22}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{3 \mathcal{E}(r)-2 \mathcal{K}(r)}{3 \mathcal{E}(r)-\mathcal{K}(r)}=1-\frac{1}{3 \mathcal{E}(r) / \mathcal{K}(r)-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.4) and the monotonicity of $\mathcal{E}(r) / \mathcal{K}(r)$ that $g_{11}^{\prime}(r) / g_{22}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. This conjunction with (2.3) and Lemma 2.1 implies that $g(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$.

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is completed from the limit values $g\left(0^{+}\right)=1 / 2$ and $g\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}^{-}\right)=\delta_{2}$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $\delta_{3}=[\sqrt{2} \varepsilon(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\pi / 2] /(\sqrt{2}-1) \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=0.606488 \cdots$ and

$$
h(r)=\frac{\pi /[2 \mathcal{E}(r)]-1 / \sqrt{1-r^{2}}}{1-1 / \sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}}
$$

then $h(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$ onto $\left(1 / 2, \delta_{3}\right)$.
Proof. Let $h_{1}(r)=\pi /[2 \mathcal{E}(r)]-1 / \sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ and $h_{2}(r)=1-1 / \sqrt{1-r^{2}}$, then we clearly see that $h_{1}(0)=$ $h_{2}(0)=0, h(r)=h_{1}(r) / h_{2}(r)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{h_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=1-\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{r^{\prime}[\mathcal{K}(r)-\mathcal{E}(r)]}{1-r^{\prime 2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left[r^{\prime-1} \mathcal{E}(r)\right]^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (3) and (2.1) together with the proof of Lemma 2.3 lead to the conclusion that $1 /\left[r^{\prime-1} \mathcal{E}(r)\right]^{2}$ and $r^{\prime}[\mathcal{K}(r)-\mathcal{E}(r)] /\left(1-r^{\prime 2}\right)$ are strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. This conjunction with (2.5) implies that $h_{1}^{\prime}(r) / h_{2}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$.

Therefore, Lemma 2.5 follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 and the limiting values $h\left(0^{+}\right)=1 / 2$ and $h\left({\frac{\sqrt{2}_{2}^{2}}{}}^{-}\right)=\delta_{3}$.

Lemma 2.6. The function $\varphi(r)=\left[1-r^{2} / 4-2 \mathcal{E}(r) / \pi\right] / r^{4}$ is strictly increasing from $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$ onto $(3 / 64,7 / 2-$ $8 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2) / \pi)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_{1}(r)=1-r^{2} / 4-2 \mathcal{E}(r) / \pi$ and $\varphi_{2}(r)=r^{4}$, then $\varphi_{1}(0)=\varphi_{2}(0)=0, \varphi(r)=\varphi_{1}(r) / \varphi_{2}(r)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{\mathcal{K}(r)-\mathcal{E}(r)-\pi / 4}{2 \pi r^{4}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the derivate of (2.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{r}) / \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(\mathrm{r})\right]}{\mathrm{dr}}=\frac{\mu(\mathrm{r})}{2 \pi r^{5}\left(1-\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)^{\prime}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu(r)=\left(4-3 r^{2}\right) \mathcal{E}(r)+\left(1-r^{2}\right)[\pi-4 \mathcal{K}(r)]
$$

An easy calculation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\sqrt{2} / 2)=1.23926 \cdots, \quad \mu^{\prime}(r)=r[7 \mathcal{K}(r)-9 \varepsilon(r)-2 \pi]<r[7 \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-9 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-2 \pi]<-5 r \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. It follows from (2.8) that $\mu(r)>0$ for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. This conjunction with (2.7) implies that $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{r}) / \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(\mathrm{r})$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$.

Therefore, Lemma 2.6 follows from Lemma 2.1 together with the limit values $\varphi\left(0^{+}\right)=3 / 64$ and $\varphi\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}^{-}\right)=7 / 2-8 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2) / \pi$.

Notice that $7 / 2-8 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2) / \pi=0.0606 \cdots<1 / 8$, then from Lemma 2.6 we can get the following corollary directly.

Corollary 2.7. The double inequality

$$
1-\frac{r^{2}}{4}-\frac{r^{4}}{8}<\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)<1-\frac{r^{2}}{4}-\frac{3 r^{4}}{64}
$$

holds for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$.
Lemma 2.8. Let $\delta_{4}=\left[\pi \sqrt{2 \mathcal{E}^{2}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\pi^{2} / 4}\right] / \mathcal{E}^{2}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=1.87157 \cdots, \lambda \in(0,2]$ and

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}(r)=\frac{\sqrt{1+\lambda r r^{\prime}}-\sqrt{1-\lambda r^{\prime}}}{\lambda r}
$$

then the following statements are true:
(1) $\Phi_{\sqrt{2}}(r)<1-r^{2} / 4-r^{4} / 4$ holds for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$;
(2) $\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(r)>1-r^{2} / 4$ holds for $r \in(0,17 / 25)$;
(3) $2 \mathcal{E}(r) / \pi+r / 2$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$;
(4) $\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(r)+r / 2$ is strictly decreasing on $(17 / 25, \sqrt{2} / 2)$.

Proof.
(1). We first claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-2 r^{2}+2 r^{4}}>1-r^{2}+\frac{r^{4}}{2}+\frac{r^{6}}{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. Indeed, it follows easily from

$$
1-2 r^{2}+2 r^{4}-\left(1-r^{2}+\frac{r^{4}}{2}+\frac{r^{6}}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{r^{8}}{4}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+3\right)>0
$$

for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. From (2.9), we clearly see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\sqrt{2}}^{2}(\mathrm{r})-\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{r}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\mathrm{r}^{4}}{4}\right)^{2} & =\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2 r^{2}+2 r^{4}}}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}-\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{4}-\frac{r^{4}}{4}\right)^{2} \\
& <1-\frac{r^{2}}{2}-\frac{r^{4}}{2}-\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{4}-\frac{r^{4}}{4}\right)^{2}=-\frac{r^{4}\left(r^{2}+1\right)}{16}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.8 (1).
(2). In order to prove that $\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(r)>1-r^{2} / 4$ for $r \in(0,17 / 25)$, by squaring both sides of the inequality and simplifying, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-\delta_{4}^{2} r^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}<1-\frac{\delta_{4}^{2}}{2} r^{2}\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right)^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $r \in(0,17 / 25)$.
We consider the difference of both sides squares of (2.10) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\delta_{4}^{2} r^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)-\left[1-\frac{\delta_{4}^{2}}{2} r^{2}\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}=-\frac{\delta_{4}^{2} r^{4}}{1024} \eta(r) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta(r)=\delta_{4}^{2} r^{8}-16 \delta_{4}^{2} r^{6}+96 \delta_{4}^{2} r^{4}-256 \delta_{4}^{2} r^{2}-64 \delta_{4}^{2} r^{2}+256 \delta_{4}^{2}-512 .
$$

An easy calculation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(17 / 25)=6.9982 \cdots, \quad \eta^{\prime}(r)=-8 r\left[\delta_{4}^{2}\left(4-r^{2}\right)^{3}+16\right]<0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.12) that $\mathfrak{\eta}(r)>0$ for $r \in(0,17 / 25)$. This conjunction with (2.11) completes the proof of Lemma 2.8 (2).
(3). It suffices to determine the sign of the derivate of $2 \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r}) / \pi+\mathrm{r} / 2$. An easy computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[2 \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r}) / \pi+\mathrm{r} / 2]}{\mathrm{dr}}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2 \mathrm{r}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{K}(\mathrm{r})-\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r})}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.13) and Lemma 2.2 (1) that $\mathrm{d}[2 \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r}) / \pi+\mathrm{r} / 2] / \mathrm{dr}$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. The monotonicity of (2.13) leads to the conclusion that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}[2 \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{r}) / \pi+\mathrm{r} / 2]}{\mathrm{dr}}>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}[\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)]=0.046753 \cdots .
$$

This completes the proof.
(4). Let $\omega_{1}(r)=\sqrt{1+\delta_{4} r r^{\prime}}$ and $\omega_{2}(r)=\sqrt{1-\delta_{4} r r^{\prime}}$, then $\omega_{1}(r)>\omega_{2}(r)>0$ for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. By easy computations, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\omega_{1}(r)-\omega_{2}(r)\right]}{\mathrm{dr}} & =\frac{\delta_{4}\left(1-2 r^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{\omega_{1}(r)}+\frac{1}{\omega_{2}(r)}\right]>0, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d}\left[1 / \omega_{1}(r)+1 / \omega_{2}(r)\right]}{\mathrm{dr}} & =\frac{\delta_{4}\left(1-2 r^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{\omega_{2}^{3}(r)}-\frac{1}{\omega_{1}^{3}(r)}\right]>0 \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$.
Moreover, it is easy to see that $\left(1-2 r^{2}\right) / \sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}=2 \sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}-1 / \sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. This conjunction with (2.14) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{r} / 2\right]}{\mathrm{dr}}= & -\frac{\omega_{1}(\mathrm{r})-\omega_{2}(\mathrm{r})}{\delta_{4} \mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{1-2 \mathrm{r}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{\omega_{1}(\mathrm{r})}+\frac{1}{\omega_{2}(\mathrm{r})}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \\
< & -\frac{\omega_{1}(17 / 25)-\omega_{2}(17 / 25)}{\delta_{4} \mathrm{r}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1-2 \times(17 / 25)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{1-(17 / 25)^{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{\omega_{1}(\sqrt{2} / 2)}+\frac{1}{\omega_{2}(\sqrt{2} / 2)}\right]+\frac{1}{2}  \tag{2.15}\\
< & -\frac{3}{5 \mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{r}}+\frac{1}{2} \\
< & \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\left[-\frac{3}{5}+\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \times\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right]=-\frac{14-5 \sqrt{2}}{40 \mathrm{r}^{2}}<0
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mathrm{r} \in(17 / 25, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.8 (4) follows directly from (2.15).

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. The double inequality

$$
\alpha_{1} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) C(a, b)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<\beta_{1} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) C(a, b)
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}>0$ with $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$ if and only if $\alpha_{1} \geqslant 1 / 2$ and $\beta_{1} \leqslant \delta_{1}=2[\pi-2 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)] /(2-\sqrt{2}) \pi=0.4785 \cdots$.

Proof. Since $Q(a, b), C(a, b)$, and $T(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1, without loss of generality, we may assume that $a>b>0$. Let $r=(a-b) / \sqrt{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)} \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$, then (1.1) and (1.3) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(a, b)=\frac{A(a, b)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}, \quad C(a, b)=\frac{A(a, b)}{1-r^{2}}, \quad T_{Q, c}(a, b)=\frac{2 A(a, b)}{\pi\left(1-r^{2}\right)} \mathcal{E}(r) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C(a, b)-T_{Q, C}(a, b)}{C(a, b)-Q(a, b)}=\frac{1-2 \mathcal{E}(r) / \pi}{1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}=f(r), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.3. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 follows easily from Lemma 2.3 and (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. The double inequality

$$
Q^{\alpha_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<Q^{\beta_{2}}(a, b) C^{1-\beta_{2}}(a, b)
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}>0$ with $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$ if and only if $\alpha_{2} \geqslant 1 / 2$ and $\beta_{2} \leqslant \delta_{2}=2 \log [\pi /(2 \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2))] / \log 2=$ $0.435698 \cdots$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b>0$. Let $r=(a-b) / \sqrt{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)} \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$, then from (3.1) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log C(a, b)-\log T_{Q, C}(a, b)}{\log C(a, b)-\log Q(a, b)}=g(r), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.4.
Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows directly from (3.3) and Lemma 2.4.

Theorem 3.3. The double inequality

$$
\frac{Q(a, b) C(a, b)}{\alpha_{3} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) C(a, b)}<T_{Q, C}(a, b)<\frac{Q(a, b) C(a, b)}{\beta_{3} Q(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) C(a, b)}
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}>0$ with $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$ if and only if $\alpha_{3} \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $\beta_{3} \geqslant \delta_{3}=[\sqrt{2} \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\pi / 2] /(\sqrt{2}-1) \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=$ $0.606488 \cdots$.

Proof. In order to prove the double inequality in Theorem 3.3, it suffices to find $\alpha_{3}$ and $\beta_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}<\frac{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}}<\beta_{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b>0$. Let $r=(a-b) / \sqrt{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)} \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$, then (3.1) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{1}{\frac{\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}{}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})}}=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{r}), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.5. Therefore, Theorem 3.3 follows directly from (3.4), (3.5), and Lemma 2.5.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\alpha_{4}, \beta_{4} \in(1 / 2,1)$, then the double inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{4} a^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-\alpha_{4}\right) a^{2}+\alpha_{4} b^{2}}\right) & <T_{Q, C}(a, b) \\
& <C\left(\sqrt{\beta_{4} a^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-\beta_{4}\right) a^{2}+\beta_{4} b^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}>0$ with $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$ if and only if $\alpha_{4} \leqslant(\sqrt{2}+2) / 4$ and $\beta_{4} \geqslant\left(\delta_{4}+2\right) / 4=0.967894 \cdots$, where $\delta_{4}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.8.
Proof. Since $T(a, b)$ and $C(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, we assume that $a>b>0$. Let $r=(a-b) / \sqrt{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)} \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$, then (1.1), (3.1) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{Q, C}(a, b)-C\left(\sqrt{p a^{2}+(1-p) b^{2}}, \sqrt{p b^{2}+(1-p) a^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad=C(a, b)\left[\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\frac{\sqrt{1+(4 p-2) r r^{\prime}}-\sqrt{1-(4 p-2) r r^{\prime}}}{(4 p-2) r}\right]=C(a, b)\left[\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\Phi_{4 p-2}(r)\right], \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\lambda}(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.8.
It is easy to be verified that $C\left(\sqrt{\mathfrak{p a}^{2}+(1-p) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\mathrm{pb}^{2}+(1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{a}^{2}}\right)$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $[1 / 2,1]$ with respect to $p$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.

We divide the proof into three cases.
Case 1. $p_{1}=(\sqrt{2}+2) / 4$. Then it follows from 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 (1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\Phi_{4 p_{1}-2}(r)=\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\Phi_{\sqrt{2}}(r)>1-\frac{r^{4}}{4}-\frac{r^{4}}{8}-\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{4}-\frac{r^{4}}{4}\right)=\frac{r^{4}}{8}>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$. Therefore, $T_{Q, C}(a, b)>C\left(\sqrt{p_{1} a^{2}+\left(1-p_{1}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-p_{1}\right) \mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~b}^{2}}\right)$ follows from (3.6) and (3.7).
Case 2. $p_{2}=\left(\delta_{4}+2\right) / 4$. Then from Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 (2) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\Phi_{4 p_{2}-2}(r)=\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(r)<1-\frac{r^{4}}{4}-\frac{3 r^{4}}{64}-\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{4}\right)=-\frac{3 r^{4}}{64}<0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,17 / 25)$. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 2.8 (3) and (4) that $2 \mathcal{E}(r) / \pi-\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(r)=2 \mathcal{E}(r) / \pi+$ $\mathrm{r} / 2-\left[\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{r} / 2\right]$ is strictly increasing on (17/25, $\left.\sqrt{2} / 2\right)$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\Phi_{4 p_{2}-2}(r)<\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\Phi_{4 \mathfrak{p}_{2}-2}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(17 / 25, \sqrt{2} / 2)$.
Therefore, $T_{Q, C}(a, b)<C\left(\sqrt{p_{2} a^{2}+\left(1-p_{2}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-p_{2}\right) a^{2}+p_{2} b^{2}}\right)$ follows from (3.6), (3.8), and (3.9). Case 3. $(\sqrt{2}+2) / 4<p_{3}<\left(\delta_{4}+2\right) / 4$. On the one hand, if $r \rightarrow 0$, then making use of Taylor series yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r)-\Phi_{4 p_{3}-2}(r)=-2\left[\left(p_{3}-\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4}\right)\left(p_{3}-\frac{\sqrt{2}+2}{4}\right)\right] r^{2}+o\left(r^{4}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.6) and (3.10) lead to the conclusion that there exists small enough $\tau_{1} \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$ such that $T_{Q, C}(a, b)<C\left(\sqrt{p_{3} a^{2}+\left(1-p_{3}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-p_{3}\right) a^{2}+p_{3} b^{2}}\right)$ for all $a>b>0$ with $(a-b) / \sqrt{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)} \in$ $\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\Phi_{4 \mathfrak{p}_{3}-2}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)+\frac{\sqrt{1-p_{3}}-\sqrt{p_{3}}}{2 p_{3}-1}
$$

is strictly decreasing on $(1 / 2,1)$ with respect to $p_{3}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\Phi_{4 \mathfrak{p}_{3}-2}(\sqrt{2} / 2)<\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)-\Phi_{\delta_{4}}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.6) and (3.11) lead to the conclusion that there exists small enough $\tau_{2} \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$ such that $T_{Q, C}(a, b)>C\left(\sqrt{p_{3} a^{2}+\left(1-p_{3}\right) b^{2}}, \sqrt{\left(1-p_{3}\right) a^{2}+p_{3} b^{2}}\right)$ for all $a>b>0$ with $(a-b) / \sqrt{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)} \in$ $\left(\sqrt{2} / 2-\tau_{2}, \sqrt{2} / 2\right)$.

The following corollary follows from Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 immediately.
Corollary 3.5. Let $\mathrm{r}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{m}(r)=\max \left\{\frac{1+r^{\prime}}{2}, \sqrt{r^{\prime}}, \frac{2 r^{\prime}}{1+r^{\prime}}, \frac{\sqrt{1+\sqrt{2} r r^{\prime}}-\sqrt{1-\sqrt{2} r r^{\prime}}}{\sqrt{2} r}\right\}, \\
& M(r)=\min \left\{1-\delta_{1}+\delta_{1} r^{\prime}, r^{\prime \delta_{2}}, \frac{r^{\prime}}{1-\delta_{3}+\delta_{3} r^{\prime}}, \frac{\sqrt{1+\delta_{4} r r^{\prime}}-\sqrt{1-\delta_{4} r r^{\prime}}}{\delta_{4} r}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}$, and $\delta_{4}$ are defined as in Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.8, respectively. Then the double inequality

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} m(r)<\mathcal{E}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2} M(r)
$$

holds for all $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$.
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