### Available online at www.isr-publications.com/jnsa J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10 (2017), 419-428 Research Article

ISSN: 2008-1898



# Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications



Journal Homepage: www.tjnsa.com - www.isr-publications.com/jnsa

# New multipled common fixed point theorems in Menger PMT-spaces

Cuiru Ji, Chuanxi Zhu\*, Zhaoqi Wu

Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Nanchang, 330031, P. R. China.

Communicated by Y. J. Cho

#### **Abstract**

In this work, we introduce the notion of Menger probabilistic metric type space, on the other hand, we introduce a more general class of auxiliary functions in contractivity condition, following that, we obtain some multipled common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings  $T: X \times X \cdots \times X \to X$  and  $A: X \to X$ . As an application, we give out an example to demonstrate m-times

the validity of the obtained results. ©2017 all rights reserved.

Keywords: Multipled common fixed point, Menger PMT-spaces, ψ-contractive mapping.

2010 MSC: 47H10, 46S10.

#### 1. Introduction

In 1942, Menger [12] initiated the study of PM-spaces and then Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid [16] followed Menger's line of research by using the notion of probabilistic q-contraction. They proved a unique fixed point result, which is an extension of the celebrated Banach's contraction principles [2]. Since then, many scholars have studied the existence of coupled fixed points in Menger spaces [3, 4, 8, 11, 15, 17, 18]. Recently, Choudhury and Das [5] gave a generalized unique fixed point theorem by using an altering distance function which was originally introduced by Khan et al. [9]. This extension of altering distance function is called φ-function, and has been further used in many related literatures [6, 13, 19]. Dutta et al. [7] defined nonlinear generalized contractive type mapping involving  $\psi$ -contractive mapping and proved their theorems for such kind of mapping in the setting of G-complete Menger PM-spaces. Then Kutbi et al. [10] weakened the notion of  $\psi$ -contractive mapping and established some fixed point theorems in Gcomplete Menger PM-spaces. After then, many fixed point results have been obtained by many authors. In 2015, Abdou et al. [1] introduced Menger PMT-spaces and established corresponding fixed point theorems. Moreover, Hierro and Sen [14] introduced a new auxiliary function and established corresponding fixed point theorems.

In this paper, motivated by the idea of Menger PMT-spaces and  $\psi$ -contractive mapping, we establish some multipled common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings  $T: X \times X \cdots \times X \to X$  and  $A: X \to X$ 

in complete PMT-spaces. Finally, an example is given to support our main results.

\*Corresponding author

Email address: chuanxizhu@126.com (Chuanxi Zhu)

doi:10.22436/jnsa.010.02.08

Received 2016-08-31

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb R$  denote the set of reals,  $\mathbb R^+$  the nonnegative reals and  $\mathbb Z^+$  be the set of all positive integers. A mapping  $F:\mathbb R\to\mathbb R^+$  is called a distribution function if it is nondecreasing and left continuous with  $\sup_{t\in\mathbb R} F(t)=1$  and  $\inf_{t\in\mathbb R} F(t)=0$ . We will denote by  $\mathscr D$  the set of all distribution functions, while H will always denote the special distribution function defined by

$$H(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & t \leqslant 0, \\ 1, & t > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

**Definition 2.1** ([15]). A binary operation  $T : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is called a t-norm if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) T(a,b) = T(b,a) and T(a,T(b,c)) = T(T(a,b),c), for all  $a,b,c \in [0,1]$ ;
- (2) T is continuous;
- (3)  $T(\alpha, 1) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (4)  $T(a,b) \ge T(c,d)$ , whenever  $a \ge c$  and  $b \ge d$ , for  $a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$ .

Form the definition of T, it follows that  $T(a,b) = \min\{a,b\}$  for all  $a,b \in [0,1]$ . The following are three basic continuous t-norms:

- (1) the minimum t-norm, defined by  $T_M(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$ ;
- (2) the product t-norm, defined by  $T_P(a,b) = ab$ ;
- (3) the Lukasiewicz t-norm, defined by  $T_L(a, b) = \max\{a + b 1, 0\}$ .

These t-norms are related in that way:  $T_L \leqslant T_P \leqslant T_M$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([15]). A Menger probabilistic metric space (briefly, Menger PM-space) is a triplet  $(X, F, \Delta)$  where X is a nonempty set,  $\Delta$  is a continuous t-norm and F is a mapping from  $X \times X$  into  $\mathcal{D}^+$  such that, if  $F_{x,y}$  denotes the value of F at the pair (x,y), the following conditions hold:

(PM-1) 
$$F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$$
 if and only if  $x = y$ ,  $t > 0$ ;

(PM-2) 
$$F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$$
 for all  $x,y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ;

(PM-3) 
$$F_{x,y}(t+s) \ge \Delta(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s))$$
 for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s \ge 0$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([1]). A Menger probabilistic metric type space (briefly, Menger PMT-space) is a triplet  $(X, F, \Delta)$  where X is a nonempty set,  $\Delta$  is a continuous t-norm and F is a mapping from  $X \times X$  into  $\mathcal{D}^+$  such that, if  $F_{x,y}$  denotes the value of F at the pair (x,y), the following conditions hold:

(PM-1) 
$$F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$$
 if and only if  $x = y$ ,  $t > 0$ ;

(PM-2) 
$$F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$$
 for all  $x,y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ;

(PM-3) 
$$F_{x,y}(K(t+s)) \ge \Delta(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s))$$
 for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s \ge 0$  for some constant  $K \ge 1$ .

Clearly, every Menger PM-space is a Menger PMT-space, but the converse is false, as we can see in the following example.

**Definition 2.4** ([1]). Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a PMT-space. For each  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the strong  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of x is the set

$$N_{x}(\lambda) = \{ y \in X : F_{x,y}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda \}$$

and strong neighborhood system for X is the union  $\bigcup_{x \in V} N_x$ , where

$$N_{x} = \{N_{x}(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}.$$

The strong neighborhood system for X determines a Hausdorff topology for X.

**Definition 2.5** ([1]). Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a PMT-space. Then,

- (1) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be convergent to  $x \in X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $Z^+$  such that  $F_{x_n,x}(\varepsilon) > 1 \lambda$  whenever  $n \ge Z^+$ ;
- (2) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $Z^+$  such that  $F_{x_n,x_m}(\varepsilon) > 1 \lambda$  whenever  $m,n \geqslant Z^+$ ;
- (3) a Menger PMT-space is said to be complete, if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to a point in X.

**Definition 2.6** ([5]). A function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a  $\phi$ -function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\phi(t)=0$  if and only if t=0;
- (2)  $\phi(t)$  is strictly increasing and  $\phi(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ ;
- (3)  $\phi$  is left continuous in  $(0,\infty)$ ;
- (4)  $\phi$  is continuous at 0.

**Definition 2.7** ([5]). Let  $\Psi_0$  be the class of all non-decreasing functions  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying:

- (1)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing;
- (2)  $\psi$  is continuous at t = 0;
- (3)  $\psi(0) = 0$ ;
- (4) if  $\{a_n\} \subset [0,+\infty)$  is a sequence such that  $\{a_n\} \to 0$ , then  $\psi^n(a_n) \to 0$  (where  $\psi^n$  denotes the nth-iterate of  $\psi$ ).

First of all, we show that we do not need to assume that  $\psi$  is continuous at t = 0 for function in  $\Psi_0$  under the rest of the assumption.

**Proposition 2.8** ([14]). Let  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$  be a nondecreasing function such that  $\psi(0) = 0$ .

- (1) If  $\psi$  is not continuous at t = 0, then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 \ge 0$  for all t > 0.
- (2) If  $\psi$  satisfies  $\psi^n(a_n) \to 0$  whenever  $\{a_n\} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $\psi$  is continuous at t = 0.

**Definition 2.9** ([19]). Let X be a non-empty set. Let T :  $\underbrace{X \times X \cdots \times X}_{\text{m-times}} \to X$  and A : X  $\to$  X be two mappings.

A is said to be commutative with T if  $AT(x, y, \dots, z) = T(Ax, Ay, \dots, Az)$  for all  $x, y, \dots, z \in X$ . A point  $u \in X$  is called a multipled common fixed point of T and A if  $u = Au = T(u, u, \dots, u)$ .

**Definition 2.10** ([14]). We shall denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the family of function  $h:(0,1]\to[0,+\infty)$  satisfying:

- $(\mathcal{H}_1)$  if  $\{a_n\} \subset (0,1]$ , the  $a_n \to 1$  if and only if,  $h(a_n) \to 1$ ;
- $(\mathcal{H}_2)$  if  $\{a_n\} \subset (0,1]$ , the  $a_n \to 0$  if and only if,  $h(a_n) \to \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.11** ([14]). If  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , then h(1) = 0. Furthermore, h(t) = 0 if and only if, t = 1.

# 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1** ([19]). Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a Menger PMT-space and  $\Delta$  be a continuous t-norm. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence;
- (2) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such than  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{\kappa_n, \kappa_m}(\varepsilon) = 1$  for all n, m > M.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). This can be easily seen from Definition 2.5.

 $(2){\Rightarrow}(1). \text{ Since } \Delta \text{ be a continuous t-norm, for every } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \lambda < 1 \text{, there exists } \lambda_0 \in (0,\lambda], \text{ such that } \Delta(1-\lambda_0,1-\frac{\lambda}{2}) > 1-\lambda. \text{ Let } \lambda_1 = \min\{\lambda_0,\frac{\lambda}{2}\}. \text{ Then } \Delta(1-\lambda_1,1-\lambda_1) > 1-\lambda. \text{ Hence, from (2), there exists } M \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } K \geqslant 1 \text{, such that } \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{x}_m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2\mathsf{K}}) > 1-\lambda_1 \text{ and } \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}_1,\mathsf{x}_m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2\mathsf{K}}) > 1-\lambda_1 \text{ for all } n,m,l \geqslant M. \text{ Then we have } \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{x}_1}(\epsilon) \geqslant \Delta(\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{x}_m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2\mathsf{K}}),\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}_1,\mathsf{x}_m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2\mathsf{K}})) \geqslant \Delta(1-\lambda_1,1-\lambda_1) > 1-\lambda. \text{ Thus, } \{\mathsf{x}_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence.}$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger PMT-space with  $\Delta$  as a continuous t-norm. Let

$$T: \underbrace{X \times X \cdots \times X}_{\text{m-times}} \to X$$

and  $A: X \to X$  be two mappings satisfying the following inequality:

$$h(F_{T(x,y,\cdots,z),T(p,q,\cdots,r)}(\varphi(ct)))\leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{Ax,Ap}(\varphi(t)))+h(F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t)))+\cdots+h(F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)))}{m}\},\quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x, y, \dots, z \in X$ ,  $p, q, \dots, r \in X$ ,  $c \in (0,1)$ ,  $\varphi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi_0$ , t > 0, such that  $F_{A\alpha,Ap}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ ,  $F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ ,  $F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ , where  $F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)) >$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof.} \ \ \text{Let} \ \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \cdots, \{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \ \text{be m-times sequences in X such that } Ax_{n+1} = T(x_n, y_n, \cdots, z_n) \\ \text{and} \ \ Ay_{n+1} = T(y_n, \cdots, z_n, x_n), \ Az_{n+1} = T(z_n, x_n, y_n, \cdots). \ \ \text{From } \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F_{Ax_0, Ax_1}(t) = 1, \ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F_{Ay_0, Ay_1}(t) = 1, \ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ 

 $1, \cdots, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F_{Az_0, Az_1}(t) = 1$  and the definition of  $\phi$ , one can find t > 0 such that  $F_{Ax_0, Ax_1}(\phi(\frac{t}{c})) > 0$ 

0,  $F_{Ay_0,Ay_1}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))>0$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $F_{Az_0,Az_1}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))>0$ . From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{split} h(F_{Ax_{1},Ax_{2}}(\varphi(t))) &= h(F_{T(x_{0},y_{0},\cdots,z_{0}),T(x_{1},y_{1},\cdots,z_{1})}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) \\ &\leq \psi\{\frac{h(F_{Ax_{0},Ax_{1}}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{Ay_{0},Ay_{1}}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \cdots + h(F_{Az_{0},Az_{1}}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))}{m}\}. \end{split} \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, we have

$$h(F_{Ay_{1},Ay_{2}}(\phi(t))) \leq \psi\{\frac{h(F_{Ay_{0},Ay_{1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{Az_{0},Az_{1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \dots + h(F_{Ax_{0},Ax_{1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c})))}{m}\},$$

$$\vdots$$
(3.3)

$$h(F_{Az_1,Az_2}(\varphi(t)))\leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{Az_0,Az_1}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))+h(F_{Ax_0,Ax_1}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))+h(F_{Ay_0,Ay_1}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))+\cdots}{m}\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Suppose that  $P_0(t) = \frac{h(F_{Ax_0,Ax_1}(\varphi(t))) + h(F_{Ay_0,Ay_1}(\varphi(t))) + \cdots + h(F_{Az_0,Az_1}(\varphi(t)))}{m}$ , from (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) we deduce that  $F_{Ax_1,Ax_2}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ ,  $F_{Ay_1,Ay_2}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ ,  $F_{Az_1,Az_2}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ , and so  $F_{Ax_1,Ax_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) > 0$ ,  $F_{Ay_1,Ay_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) > 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{split} h(F_{Ax_2,Ax_3}(\varphi(t))) &= h(F_{T(x_1,y_1,\cdots,z_1),T(x_2,y_2,\cdots,z_2)}(\varphi(t))) \\ &\leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{Ax_1,Ax_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{Ay_1,Ay_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \cdots + h(F_{Az_1,Az_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))}{m}\} \\ &\leqslant \psi\{\frac{\psi(P_0(\frac{t}{c^2})) + \psi(P_0(\frac{t}{c^2})) + \cdots + \psi(P_0(\frac{t}{c^2}))}{m}\} \\ &= \psi^2\{P_0(\frac{t}{c^2})\}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{split} h(F_{Ay_2,Ay_3}(\varphi(t))) \leqslant \psi^2 \{P_0(\frac{t}{c^2})\}, \\ & \vdots \\ h(F_{Az_2,Az_3}(\varphi(t))) \leqslant \psi^2 \{P_0(\frac{t}{c^2})\}. \end{split}$$

Reaping the above procedure, we get

$$h(F_{Ax_n,Ax_{n+1}}(\phi(t))) \le \psi^n\{P_0(\frac{t}{c^n})\}.$$
 (3.5)

If we change  $Ax_0$  with  $Ax_r$  in (3.5), then for all n > r we get

$$h(\mathsf{F}_{Ax_n,Ax_{n+1}}(\varphi(c^rt)))\leqslant \psi^{n-r}\{\mathsf{P}_0(\frac{c^rt}{c^{n-r}})\}.$$

Since  $\psi^n(\mathfrak{a}_n) \to 0$  whenever  $\mathfrak{a}_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , therefore the above inequality implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} h(F_{Ax_n,Ax_{n+1}}(\phi(c^rt))) = 0.$$

In particular, as  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , condition  $(\mathcal{H}_1)$  implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{Ax_n,Ax_{n+1}}(\varphi(c^rt)) = 1.$$

Now, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, using the properties of function  $\varphi$  we can find  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\varphi(c^r t) < \epsilon$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{n+1}}(\varepsilon)\geqslant\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{n+1}}(\varphi(c^\mathsf{r}\mathsf{t}))=1. \tag{3.6}$$

By using a triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{p}}}(\epsilon) \geqslant \Delta \left(\underbrace{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1}}(\frac{\epsilon}{\mathsf{K}\mathsf{p}}), \Delta(\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1},\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+2}}(\frac{\epsilon}{\mathsf{K}\mathsf{p}}), \cdots, \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{p}-1},\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{p}}}(\frac{\epsilon}{\mathsf{K}\mathsf{p}}))}_{\mathsf{p-times}}\right)\right).$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  and making use of (3.6), for any integer p, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}_{n+p}}(\epsilon) = 1 \ \text{ for every } \ \epsilon > 0.$$

Hence  $\{Ax_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, similarly, we can obtain  $\{Ay_n\}, \dots, \{Az_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences. Since  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is complete, therefore  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \mathfrak{u}, \lim_{n\to\infty} Ay_n = \mathfrak{v}, \dots, \lim_{n\to\infty} Az_n = \mathfrak{w}$  for some  $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}, \dots, \mathfrak{w} \in X$ .

Now we show that  $Au = T(u, v, \dots, w)$ .

Since A is continuous, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} AAx_n = Au, \lim_{n\to\infty} AAy_n = Av, \cdots, \lim_{n\to\infty} AAz_n = Aw$ . Then the commutative of A with T implies that  $AAx_{n+1} = T(Ax_n, Ay_n, \cdots, Az_n)$ . From (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} h(F_{AAx_{n+1},T(\mathfrak{u},\nu,\cdots,w)}(\varphi(t))) &= h(F_{T(Ax_n,Ay_n,\cdots,Az_n),T(\mathfrak{u},\nu,\cdots,w)}(\varphi(t))) \\ &\leqslant \psi \left\{ \frac{h(F_{AAx_n,A\mathfrak{u}}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{AAy_n,A\nu}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \cdots + h(F_{AAz_n,Aw}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))}{\mathfrak{m}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , since  $\psi(0) = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} AAx_n = T(u, v, \dots, w)$ , from the above inequality, we get  $Au = T(u, v, \dots, w)$ . Similarly, we have  $Av = T(u, v, \dots, w)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $Aw = T(u, v, \dots, w)$ .

Next we show Au = u. From (3.1), we have

$$h(F_{Ax_{1},Au}(\phi(t))) = h(F_{T(Ax_{0},Ay_{0},\cdots,Az_{0}),T(u,\nu,\cdots,w)}(\phi(t)))$$

$$\leq \psi \left\{ \frac{h(F_{Ax_{0},Au}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{Ay_{0},A\nu}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \cdots + h(F_{Az_{0},Aw}(\phi(\frac{t}{c})))}{m} \right\},$$
(3.7)

$$h(F_{Ay_1,A\nu}(\varphi(t))) \leqslant \psi \left\{ \frac{h(F_{Ay_0,A\nu}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \dots + h(F_{Az_0,Aw}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{Ax_0,Au}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))}{m} \right\}, \quad (3.8)$$

:

$$h(F_{Az_1,Aw}(\varphi(t))) \leqslant \psi \left\{ \frac{h(F_{Az_0,Aw}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{Ax_0,Au}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(F_{Ay_0,Av}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \cdots}{m} \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Suppose that  $Q_0(t) = \frac{h(F_{Ax_0,Au}(\varphi(t))) + h(F_{Ay_0,Av}(\varphi(t))) + \cdots + h(F_{Az_0,Aw}(\varphi(t)))}{m}$ . Combining (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{split} h(\mathsf{F}_{Ax_2,u}(\varphi(t))) \leqslant \psi \left\{ \frac{h(\mathsf{F}_{Ax_1,Au}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + h(\mathsf{F}_{Ay_1,A\nu}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))) + \dots + h(\mathsf{F}_{Az_1,Aw}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})))}{m} \right\} \\ \leqslant \psi \left\{ \frac{\psi(Q_0(\frac{t}{c^2})) + \psi(Q_0(\frac{t}{c^2})) + \dots + \psi(Q_0(\frac{t}{c^2}))}{m} \right\} \\ = \psi^2 \{Q_0(\frac{t}{c^2})\}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{split} h(\mathsf{F}_{Ay_2,A\nu}(\varphi(t))) \leqslant \psi^2 \{Q_0(\frac{t}{c^2})\}, \\ & \vdots \\ h(\mathsf{F}_{Az_2,Aw}(\varphi(t))) \leqslant \psi^2 \{Q_0(\frac{t}{c^2})\}. \end{split}$$

Repeating the above procedure, we obtain

$$h(F_{Ax_n,Au}(\phi(t))) \leqslant \psi^n \{Q_0(\frac{t}{c^n})\}.$$

Since  $\psi^n(a_n) \to 0$  whenever  $a_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = Au$ , which implies that  $Au = u = T(u, v, \cdots, w)$ , similarly, we have  $Av = v = T(u, v, \cdots, w), \cdots$ ,  $Aw = w = T(u, v, \cdots, w)$ .

Finally, we show  $u = v = \cdots = w$ . Without loss of generality, we denote  $u = e_1, v = e_2, \cdots, w = e_n$ , then  $Ae_1 = e_1 = T(e_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_{m-1}, e_m)$ ,  $Ae_2 = e_2 = T(e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_{m-1}, e_m, e_1)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $Ae_m = e_m = T(e_m, e_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_{m-1})$ .

First, we prove that  $F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(s)) > 0$  for all s > 0. By the definition of  $\varphi$ , we have  $\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n}) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n})) = 1$ ,  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n})) = 1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} F_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n})) = 1$ , we deduce that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n})) > 0$ ,  $F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n})) > 0$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $F_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n})) > 0$ . Using (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &h(F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^{n-1}}))) \\ &= h(F_{T(e_1,e_2,\cdots,e_{m-1},e_m),T(e_2,\cdots,e_{m-1},e_m,e_1)}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^{n-1}}))) \\ &\leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n}))) + h(F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n}))) + \cdots + h(F_{e_{m-1},e_m}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n}))) + h(F_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^n})))}{m}\}, \end{split}$$

which implies that  $F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^{n-1}}))>0$ , similarly, we have  $F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^{n-1}}))>0$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $F_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^{n-1}}))>0$ . By reaping a similar reasoning n times we deduce that  $F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(s))>0$ ,  $F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(s))>0$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $F_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(s))>0$  for all s>0.

Second, we show that  $F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(s))=1$ . In fact, for every s>0, we have  $F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c^i}))>0$  for all  $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$  and for all  $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then by using (3.1), we get

$$h(F_{e_1,e_2}(\phi(s))) = h(F_{T(e_1,e_2,\cdots,e_{m-1},e_m),T(e_2,\cdots,e_{m-1},e_m,e_1)}(\phi(s))$$

$$\leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + h(F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + \cdots + h(F_{e_{m-1},e_m}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + h(F_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c})))}{m}\}, \\ h(F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(s))) = h(F_{T(e_2,e_3,\cdots,e_m,e_1),T(e_3,e_4,\cdots,e_1,e_2)}(\varphi(s)) \\ \leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + h(F_{e_3,e_4}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + \cdots + h(F_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + h(F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c})))}{m}\}, \\ \vdots \\ h(F_{e_n,e_1}(\varphi(s))) = h(F_{T(e_m,e_1,\cdots,e_{m-2},e_{m-1}),T(e_1,e_2,\cdots,e_{m-1},e_m)}(\varphi(s))$$

$$\begin{split} h(F_{e_{\mathfrak{m}},e_{1}}(\varphi(s))) &= h(F_{T(e_{\mathfrak{m}},e_{1},\cdots,e_{\mathfrak{m}-2},e_{\mathfrak{m}-1}),T(e_{1},e_{2},\cdots,e_{\mathfrak{m}-1},e_{\mathfrak{m}})}(\varphi(s)) \\ &\leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{e_{\mathfrak{m}},e_{1}}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + h(F_{e_{1},e_{2}}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + \cdots + h(F_{e_{\mathfrak{m}-2},e_{\mathfrak{m}-1}}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c}))) + h(F_{e_{\mathfrak{m}-1},e_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\varphi(\frac{s}{c})))}{m}\}. \end{split}$$

Suppose that  $\mathsf{E}(s) = \frac{\mathsf{h}(\mathsf{F}_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(s))) + \mathsf{h}(\mathsf{F}_{e_2,e_3}(\varphi(s))) + \cdots + \mathsf{h}(\mathsf{F}_{e_{m-1},e_m}(\varphi(s))) + \mathsf{h}(\mathsf{F}_{e_m,e_1}(\varphi(s)))}{\mathsf{m}}, \text{ then } \mathsf{E}(s) \leqslant \psi\{\mathsf{E}(\frac{s}{c})\}.$  By n-iterations we get

$$h(\mathsf{F}_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(s))\leqslant \psi\{\mathsf{E}(\frac{s}{c})\}\leqslant \psi^2\{\mathsf{E}(\frac{s}{c^2})\}\leqslant \cdots \leqslant \psi^n\{\mathsf{E}(\frac{s}{c^n})\}.$$

Thus, since  $\psi^n(\mathfrak{a}_n) \to 0$  whenever  $\mathfrak{a}_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we get  $F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(s)) = 1$ . It follows that  $F_{e_1,e_2}(t) = H(t)$  for all t > 0. In fact, if t is not in range of  $\varphi$ , since  $\varphi$  is continuous at 0, there exists s > 0 such that  $\varphi(s) < t$ . This implies that  $F_{e_1,e_2}(t) \geqslant F_{e_1,e_2}(\varphi(s)) = 1$ , then  $e_1 = e_2$ . Similarly, we have  $e_2 = e_3, \cdots, e_m = e_1$ , i.e.,  $u = v = \cdots = w$ . Thus,  $u \in X$  is the unique multipled common fixed point of A and A.

Taking m=1 in Theorem 3.2, then  $T: X \to X$ ,  $A: X \to X$ , Ax = x for all  $x \in X$ . It is obvious that  $T(X) \subset A(X)$ . A is continuous and commutative with T, which also satisfy the conditions in Theorem 3.1, then we have the following consequence.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let*  $(X, F, \Delta)$  *be a complete Menger space with*  $\Delta$  *as a continuous* t-norm. *Let*  $T : X \to X$  *satisfy the following inequality:* 

$$h(F_{Tx,Ty}(\phi(ct))) \leq \psi(h(F_{x,y}(\phi(t)))),$$

for all  $x,y \in X$ ,  $c \in (0,1)$ ,  $\varphi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi_0$ , t > 0, such that  $F_{x,y}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ . Then T has a unique fixed point such that u = Au = Tu.

Taking A = I (I is the identity mapping) in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger PMT-space and  $\Delta$  be a continuous t-norm. Let

$$T: \underbrace{X \times X \cdots \times X}_{\text{m-times}} \to X$$

and  $A: X \to X$  be two mappings satisfying the following inequality:

$$h(F_{T(x,y,\cdots,z),T(p,q,\cdots,r)}(\varphi(ct)))\leqslant \psi\{\frac{h(F_{x,p}(\varphi(t)))+h(F_{y,q}(\varphi(t)))+\cdots+h(F_{z,r}(\varphi(t)))}{m}\},$$

for all  $x, y, \dots, z, p, q, \dots, r \in X$ ,  $c \in (0,1)$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi_0$ , t > 0. Let T be continuous and commutative. Then there exists a unique multipled common fixed point of T.

From the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can similarly prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger PMT-space with  $\Delta$  as a continuous t-norm. Let

$$T: \underbrace{X \times X \cdots \times X}_{\text{m-times}} \to X$$

and  $A: X \to X$  be two mappings satisfying the following inequality:

$$h(F_{\mathsf{T}(x,y,\cdots,z),\mathsf{T}(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q},\cdots,\mathfrak{r})}(\varphi(\mathsf{ct}))) \leqslant \psi\{\min\{h(F_{\mathsf{A}x,\mathsf{A}\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi(\mathsf{t}))),h(F_{\mathsf{A}y,\mathsf{A}\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi(\mathsf{t}))),\cdots,h(F_{\mathsf{A}z,\mathsf{A}\mathfrak{r}}(\varphi(\mathsf{t})))\}\}$$

Taking A = I (I is the identity mapping) in Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete PMT-space with  $\Delta$  as a continuous t-norm. Let

$$T: \underbrace{X \times X \cdots \times X}_{m-times} \to X$$

and  $A: X \to X$  be two mappings satisfying the following inequality:

$$h(F_{T(x,y,\cdots,z),T(p,q,\cdots,r)}(\varphi(ct)))\leqslant \psi\{\min\{h(F_{x,p}(\varphi(t))),h(F_{y,q}(\varphi(t))),\cdots,h(F_{z,r}(\varphi(t)))\}\},$$

for all  $x, y, \dots, z, p, q, \dots, r \in X$ ,  $c \in (0,1)$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi_0$ , t > 0, and T is continuous and commutative. Then there exists a unique multipled common fixed point of T.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger PMT-space with  $\Delta$  as a continuous t-norm and  $\Delta \leqslant \Delta_p$ . Let  $T: \underbrace{X \times X \cdots \times X}_{\bullet} \to X$  and  $A: X \to X$  be two mappings satisfying the following inequality:

$$h(F_{T(x,y,\cdots,z),T(p,q,\cdots,r)}(\varphi(ct)))\leqslant \psi\left\{\sqrt[m]{\Delta(h(F_{Ax,Ap}(\varphi(t))),\Delta(h(F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t))),\cdots,h(F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)))))}\right\}$$

for all  $x, y, \dots, z, p, q, \dots, r \in X$ ,  $c \in (0,1)$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi_0$ , t > 0, such that  $F_{A\alpha,Ap}(\phi(t)) > 0$ ,  $F_{Ay,Aq}(\phi(t)) > 0$ ,  $F_{Az,Ar}(\phi(t)) > 0$ , where  $F_{Az,Ar}(\phi(t)) > 0$ , w

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta \leq \Delta_p$ , we get

$$\begin{split} h(F_{T(x,y,\cdots,z),T(p,q,\cdots,r)}(\varphi(ct))) \leqslant \psi \left\{ \sqrt[m]{\Delta(h(F_{Ax,Ap}(\varphi(t))),\Delta(h(F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t))),\cdots,h(F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)))))} \right\} \\ \leqslant \psi \left\{ \sqrt[m]{h(F_{Ax,Ap}(\varphi(t)))h(F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t))),\cdots,h(F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)))} \right\} \\ \leqslant \psi \left\{ \frac{h(F_{Ax,Ap}(\varphi(t))) + h(F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t))) + \cdots + h(F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)))}{m} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Then we can complete the proof by Theorem 3.2.

# 4. An application

**Example 4.1.** Let X = [0,1],  $h(x) = \frac{1}{x} - 1$ , and d be the usual metric on X. Define  $T : \underbrace{X \times X \cdots \times X}_{\text{m-times}} \to X$  as

$$T(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_m)=\frac{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_m}{5m}.$$
   
 A : X  $\rightarrow$  X as Ax =  $\frac{x}{2}$  and

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m, x, y \in X$  where  $T(X \times X \cdots \times X) \subset A(X)$ . Then  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is a complete Menger PMT-space with  $\Delta$  is a continuous t-norm. Define  $\varphi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi_0$  by  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{5}$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{9t}{10}$  for all t > 0. And  $c = \frac{5}{4}$ . We obtain

$$\begin{split} h(F_{T(x,y,\cdots,z),T(p,q,\cdots,r)}(\varphi(ct))) &= \frac{1}{F_{T(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_m),T(y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_m)}(\varphi(ct))} - 1 \\ &= \frac{|T(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_m)-T(y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_m)|}{\varphi(ct)} \\ &= \frac{6|(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_m)-(y_1+y_2+\cdots+y_m)|}{5mt} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \psi \left\{ \frac{h(F_{Ax,Ap}(\varphi(t))) + h(F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t))) + \dots + h(F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)))}{m} \right\} \\ &= \psi \left\{ \frac{(\frac{1}{F_{Ax_1,Ay_1}(\varphi(t))} - 1) + (\frac{1}{F_{Ax_2,Ay_2}(\varphi(t))} - 1) + \dots + (\frac{1}{F_{Ax_m,Ay_m}(\varphi(t))} - 1)}{m} \right\} \\ &= \psi \left\{ \frac{|Ax_1 - Ay_1| + |Ax_2 - Ay_2| + \dots + |Ax_m - Ay_m|}{m\varphi(t)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{9(|x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| + \dots + |x_m - y_m|)}{4mt}. \end{split}$$

It is obvious that

$$h(F_{T(x,y,\cdots,z),T(p,q,\cdots,r)}(\varphi(ct)))\leqslant \psi\left\{\frac{h(F_{Ax,Ap}(\varphi(t)))+h(F_{Ay,Aq}(\varphi(t)))+\cdots+h(F_{Az,Ar}(\varphi(t)))}{m}\right\}.$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. Therefore, 0 is the unique multipled common fixed point of A and T.

#### Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11361042,11071108,11461045, 11326099), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province of China (20132BAB201001,20142BAB211016) and the Scientific Program of the Provincial Education Department of Jiangxi (150008).

#### References

- [1] A. A. N. Abdou, Y. J. Cho, R. Saadati, Distance type and common fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric type spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 265 (2015), 1145–1154. 1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, Fund. Math., 3 (1922), 133–181. 1
- [3] S.-S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang, Nonlinear operator theory in probabilistic metric spaces, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Huntington, NY, (2001). 1
- [4] Y. J. Cho, M. Grabiec, V. Radu, On nonsymmetric topological and probabilistic structures, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, (2006). 1
- [5] B. S. Choudhury, K. Das, A new contraction principle in Menger spaces, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 24 (2008), 1379–1386. 1, 2.6, 2.7
- [6] B. S. Choudhury, K. Das, A coincidence point result in Menger spaces using a control function, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **42** (2009), 3058–3063. 1
- [7] P. N. Dutta, B. S. Choudhury, K. Das, Some fixed point results in Menger spaces using a control function, Surv. Math. Appl., 4 (2009), 41–52. 1
- [8] O. Hadžić, E. Pap, *Fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces*, Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (2001). 1

- [9] M. S. Khan, M. Swalen, S. Sessa, Fixed points theorems by altering distances between the points, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **30** (1984), 1–9. 1
- [10] M. A. Kutbi, D. Gopal, C. Vetro, W. Sintunavarat, Further generalization of fixed point theorems in Menger PM-spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 10 pages. 1
- [11] T. Luo, C.-X. Zhu, Z.-Q. Wu, Tripled common fixed point theorems under probabilistic φ-contractive conditions in generalized Menger probabilistic metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 17 pages. 1
- [12] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 28 (1942), 535–537. 1
- [13] D. Mihet, Altering distances in probabilistic Menger spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009), 2734–2738. 1
- [14] A. F. Roldán López de Hierro, M. de la Sen, Some fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric-like spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2015 (2015), 16 pages. 1, 2.8, 2.10, 2.11
- [15] B. Schweizer, A. Sklat, *Probabilistic metric spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, (1983). 1, 2.1, 2.2
- [16] V. M. Sehgal, A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. Systems Theory, **6** (1972), 72–102. 1
- [17] C.-X. Zhu, Several nonlinear operator problems in the Menger PN space, Nonlinear Anal., 65 (2006), 1281–1284. 1
- [18] C.-X. Zhu, Research on some problems for nonlinear operators, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009), 4568-4571.
- [19] C.-X. Zhu, Z. Wei, Z.-Q. Wu, W.-Q. Xu, Multidimensional common fixed point theorems under probabilistic φ-contractive conditions in multidimensional Menger probabilistic metric spaces, Fixed Point Thenry Appl., **2015** (2015), 15 pages. 1, 2.9, 3.1