



# On the stability of an affine functional equation

Liviu Cădariu\*, Laura Găvruta, Pașc Găvruta

"Politehnica" University of Timișoara, Department of Mathematics, Piața Victoriei no.2, 300006 Timișoara, Romania.

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Viorel Radu

Communicated by Dorel Mihet

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we obtain the general solution and we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for an affine functional equation.

**Keywords:** Generalized Ulam-Hyers stability, affine functional equation, direct method, fixed points  
**2010 MSC:** Primary 39B82, 39B72, 39B62, 47H10

---

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The study of the functional equations stability originated from a question of S. M. Ulam ([29], 1940) in a talk at the University of Wisconsin, concerning the stability of group homomorphisms:

Let  $(G_1, \circ)$  be a group and  $(G_2, *)$  a metric group with a metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies

$$d(f(x \circ y), f(x) * f(y)) \leq \delta, \text{ for all } x, y \in G_1,$$

then there exists a homomorphism  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with

$$d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for all } x \in G_1?$$

In 1941 D. H. Hyers [22] gave an affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Cauchy functional equation in Banach spaces. The result of D. H. Hyers was generalized in 1950 by T. Aoki [1] for approximately additive mappings and in 1978 by Th. M. Rassias [27] for approximately linear mappings, by considering

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [liviu.cadariu@mat.upt.ro](mailto:liviu.cadariu@mat.upt.ro), [lcadariu@yahoo.com](mailto:lcadariu@yahoo.com) (Liviu Cădariu), [laura.gavruta@mat.upt.ro](mailto:laura.gavruta@mat.upt.ro) (Laura Găvruta), [pgavruta@yahoo.com](mailto:pgavruta@yahoo.com) (Pașc Găvruta)

Received 2012-12-10

the unbounded Cauchy differences. A further generalization was obtained by P. Găvruta [19] in 1994, by replacing the Cauchy differences by a control mapping  $\varphi$  satisfying a very simple condition of convergence. We refer the reader to the expository papers [15], [28] and to the books [12], [23] and [24] (see also the papers [14], [17], [20], [16], for supplementary details).

A large part of proofs in this topic used the *direct method* (of Hyers): the exact solution of the functional equation is explicitly constructed as a limit of a sequence, starting from the given approximate solution. On the other hand, in 1991 J. A. Baker [2] used the Banach fixed point theorem to give Hyers-Ulam stability results for a nonlinear functional equation. In 2003, V. Radu [26] proposed a new method, successively developed in [6, 7, 8], to obtaining the existence of the exact solutions and the error estimations, based on the *fixed point alternative*. Subsequently, these results were generalized by D. Miheţ [25], L. Găvruta [18] and by L. Cădariu & V. Radu [9, 10]. Lately, P. Găvruta and L. Găvruta introduced a new method in [21], called the *weighted space method*, for the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability (see, also [4]). Recently, a general fixed point result and some applications to the stability of a nonlinear functional equation were obtained in [5] (see also [3]).

In the paper [11] I.-S. Chang & H.-M. Kim obtained the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the quadratic type functional equations:

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 6f(x)$$

and

$$f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) = 4f(x + y) + f(x) + f(y).$$

In the present paper we obtain the general solution of the following affine functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) + f(x) + f(y) = 4f(x + y), \forall x, y \in G, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f : G \rightarrow X$ ,  $G$  is an abelian group and  $X$  is a normed space. After that, by using the *direct method* as well as the *fixed point method*, we prove some generalized Hyers-Ulam stability results for this equation.

## 2. Solution of the functional equation (1.1)

**Theorem 2.1.** *A mapping  $f$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.1) iff it is an affine mapping (i.e., it is the sum between a constant and an additive function).*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that any affine function  $f$  is a solution of the equation (1.1).

Conversely, we have two cases:

*Case 1:*  $f(0) = 0$ .

If we take  $y = -x$  in (1.1), we obtain

$$f(x) + f(-x) + f(x) + f(-x) = 4f(0) = 0, \forall x \in G,$$

which implies  $f(-x) = -f(x)$ , for all  $x \in G$ . It results that  $f$  is an odd mapping.

By replacing  $x$  with  $x - y$  in (1.1), we have:

$$f(2x - y) + f(x + y) + f(x - y) + f(y) = 4f(x), \forall x, y \in G.$$

If we substitute  $y$  by  $-y$  in the last equation, the following relation holds:

$$f(2x + y) + f(x - y) + f(x + y) + f(-y) = 4f(x), \forall x, y \in G, \quad (2.1)$$

Interchanging  $x$  with  $y$  in the above equation, it results

$$f(2y + x) + f(y - x) + f(y + x) + f(-x) = 4f(y), \forall x, y \in G. \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we sum up the relations (2.1) and (2.2):

$$f(2x+y) + f(x+2y) + 2f(x+y) - (f(x) + f(y)) = 4(f(x) + f(y)), \forall x, y \in G,$$

hence

$$f(2x+y) + f(x+2y) + 2f(x+y) + f(x) + f(y) = 6(f(x) + f(y)) - 2f(x+y) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

From (1.1) and (2.3) we obtain

$$4f(x+y) = 6(f(x) + f(y)) - 2f(x+y) \Leftrightarrow f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \forall x, y \in G.$$

so,  $f$  is an additive mapping.

*Case 2: General case.*

Let us consider the function  $g(x) := f(x) - f(0)$ . It is clear that  $g(0) = 0$  and  $f(x) = g(x) + f(0)$ .

Replacing  $f$  in (1.1), it results

$$g(2x+y) + g(x+2y) + g(x) + g(y) = 4g(x+y), \forall x, y \in G.$$

Taking in account that  $g(0) = 0$ , from *Case 1*, we obtain that  $g$  is an additive mapping, hence  $f(x) = g(x) + f(0)$  is an affine function.  $\square$

### 3. The direct method for the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the equation (1.1)

In this section we will obtain some properties of the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the affine functional equation (1.1). For the proof, we will use the direct method.

We denote by  $(G, +)$  an abelian group, by  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  a Banach space and by  $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a mapping such that

$$\Phi(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^k x, 0)}{2^k} < \infty, \forall x \in G \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^n} = 0, \forall x, y \in G. \quad (3.2)$$

We formulate the main result of the paper:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f : G \rightarrow X$ , such that*

$$\|f(2x+y) + f(x+2y) + f(x) + f(y) - 4f(x+y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \forall x, y \in G. \quad (3.3)$$

*Then there exists a unique mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$ , which satisfies the equation (1.1) and*

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\Phi(x), \quad (3.4)$$

*for all  $x \in G$ .*

*Proof:* For  $y = 0$  in (3.3), we obtain

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) + f(0)\| \leq \varphi(x, 0), \forall x \in G.$$

If we define the function  $g : G \rightarrow X$ ,

$$g(x) := f(x) - f(0), \quad (3.5)$$

we have

$$\|g(2x) - 2g(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, 0), \forall x \in G.$$

Thus

$$\left\| \frac{g(2x)}{2} - g(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x, 0), \forall x \in G. \quad (3.6)$$

If we replace  $x$  by  $2x$  in the above relation and divide it by 2, it results

$$\left\| \frac{g(2^2x)}{2^2} - \frac{g(2x)}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^2} \varphi(2x, 0), \forall x \in G. \quad (3.7)$$

Using the triangle inequality, from (3.6) and (3.7), it follows that

$$\left\| \frac{g(2^2x)}{2^2} - g(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi(x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi(2x, 0) \right), \forall x \in G.$$

It is easy to prove, by induction on  $n$ , that

$$\left\| \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - g(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi(2^k x, 0)}{2^k}, \forall x \in G.$$

Now we claim that the sequence  $\{2^{-n}g(2^n x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for  $n > m > 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^{-n}g(2^n x) - 2^{-m}g(2^m x)\| &= 2^{-m} \|2^{-(n-m)}g(2^{n-m} \cdot 2^m x) - g(2^m x)\| \leq \\ &\leq 2^{-m} 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+m} x, 0)}{2^k} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=m}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi(2^p x, 0)}{2^p}, \forall x \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it results that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|2^{-n}g(2^n x) - 2^{-m}g(2^m x)\| = 0, \forall x \in G.$$

Since  $X$  is a Banach space, then we obtain that the sequence  $\{2^{-n}g(2^n x)\}$  converges. We define

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n},$$

for each  $x$  in  $G$ . From (3.5) it is clear that

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}, \forall x \in G. \quad (3.8)$$

We claim that  $A$  satisfies (1.1). Replace  $x$  and  $y$  by  $2^n x$  and  $2^n y$ , respectively, in relation (3.3) and divide by  $2^n$ . It follows that

$$\|2^{-n}f(2^n(2x+y)) + 2^{-n}f(2^n(x+2y)) + 2^{-n}f(2^n(x)) + 2^{-n}f(2^n(y)) - 2^{-n} \cdot 4f(2^n(x+y))\| \leq 2^{-n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y),$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Taking on the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above relation and using (3.2) and (3.8), it results

$$A(2x+y) + A(x+2y) + A(x) + A(y) = 4A(x+y).$$

In order to show that  $A$  is the unique function defined on  $G$ , with the properties (1.1) and (3.4), let  $B : G \rightarrow X$  be another affine mapping such that

$$\|f(x) - f(0) - B(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(x), \forall x \in G,$$

It follows that

$$A(2^n x) + A(0) = 2^n A(x), \quad B(2^n x) + B(0) = 2^n B(x),$$

for all  $x$  in  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x) - B(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{(A(2^n x) + A(0)) - (B(2^n x) + B(0))}{2^n} \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{A(2^n x) - f(0) - f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\| + \left\| \frac{B(2^n x) - f(0) - f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\| + \left\| \frac{A(0) - B(0)}{2^n} \right\| \leq \\ &\leq 2^{-n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Phi(2^n x) + 2^{-n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Phi(2^n x) + 2^{-n} \|A(0) - B(0)\| = \\ &= 2^{-n} \Phi(2^n x) + 2^{-n} \|A(0) - B(0)\| = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+n} x, 0)}{2^k \cdot 2^n} + 2^{-n} \|A(0) - B(0)\| = \\ &= \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^p x, 0)}{2^p} + 2^{-n} \|A(0) - B(0)\|, \forall x \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above relation we obtain that  $A$  coincides with  $B$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

From the Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following corollary concerning the stability of type Aoki-Th.M. Rassias for the equation (1.1).

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $X$  be a Banach space, respectively. Let  $p, q, \varepsilon$  be real numbers such that  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p, q \in [0, 1)$ . Suppose that a function  $f : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies*

$$\|f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) + f(x) + f(y) - 4f(x + y)\| \leq \varepsilon(|x|^p + |y|^q), \forall x, y \in G.$$

*Then there exists a unique mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$ , which satisfies the equation (1.1) and the estimation*

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 - 2^p} |x|^p, \forall x \in G.$$

To prove this result, it is enough to take in the Theorem 3.1  $\varphi(x, y) := \varepsilon(|x|^p + |y|^q)$ , with  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p, q \in [0, 1)$ . Obviously, the relation (3.2) holds and  $\Phi(x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}} |x|^p$ .

**Remark 3.3.** For  $p = q = 0$  in the above corollary, properties of stability in Ulam-Hyers sense for the equation (1.1) are obtained.

**Remark 3.4.** It seems that in the case  $p = q = 1$  the affine functional equation (1.1) is unstable.

#### 4. Fixed points and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the affine functional equation (1.1)

In this section we will use our recent result in [5] to prove the properties of stability from the Theorem 3.1.

We consider a nonempty set  $G$ , a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and the mappings  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^G$  and  $\mathcal{T} : X^G \rightarrow X^G$ . We remember that  $X^G$  is the space of all mappings from  $G$  into  $X$ . In the following, we suppose that  $\Lambda$  satisfies the condition:

$$\text{for every sequence } (\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^G, \text{ with } \delta_n(t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, t \in G \implies (\Lambda \delta_n)(t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, t \in G. \quad (C_1)$$

**Proposition 4.1** ([5], Corollary 2.3). *Let  $G$  be a nonempty set,  $(X, d)$  a complete metric space and  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^G$  be a non-decreasing operator satisfying the hypothesis  $(C_1)$ . If  $\mathcal{T} : X^G \rightarrow X^G$  is an operator satisfying the inequality*

$$d((\mathcal{T}\xi)(x), (\mathcal{T}\mu)(x)) \leq \Lambda(d(\xi(x), \mu(x))), \quad \xi, \mu \in X^G, x \in G, \quad (4.1)$$

and the functions  $\varepsilon : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $g : G \rightarrow X$  are such that

$$d((\mathcal{T}g)(x), g(x)) \leq \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in G, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\varepsilon^*(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^k \varepsilon)(x) < \infty, \quad x \in G, \quad (C_2)$$

then, for every  $x \in G$ , the limit

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{T}^n g)(x)$$

exists and the function  $A \in X^G$ , defined in this way, is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}$ , with

$$d(g(x), A(x)) \leq \varepsilon^*(x), \quad x \in G.$$

Moreover, if the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^n \varepsilon^*)(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in G, \quad (C_3)$$

holds, then  $A$  is the unique fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}$  with the property

$$d(g(x), A(x)) \leq \varepsilon^*(x), \quad x \in G.$$

*The proof of Theorem 3.1.* We apply the above proposition taking the mapping

$$\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^G, (\Lambda \delta)(x) := \frac{\delta(2x)}{2}, \quad (\delta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+),$$

and the operator

$$\mathcal{T} : X^G \rightarrow X^G, (\mathcal{T}\psi)(x) := \frac{\psi(2x)}{2}, \quad (\psi : G \rightarrow X).$$

From the definition of  $\Lambda$ , the relation  $(C_1)$  is obvious and (4.1) holds with equality.

If we take  $\varepsilon(x) := \frac{\varphi(x,0)}{2}$ , where the mapping  $\varphi$  is defined in Theorem 3.1, the relation (3.1) implies that the series

$$\varepsilon^*(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^k \varepsilon)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^k x, 0)}{2^k} = \frac{\Phi(x)}{2}, \quad \forall x \in G$$

is convergent, so  $(C_2)$  is verified.

As in the first part of the initial proof of Theorem 3.1, we have that

$$\left\| \frac{g(2x)}{2} - g(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x, 0), \quad \forall x \in G,$$

where  $g(x) := f(x) - f(0)$  and  $f$  satisfied the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. This means that (4.2) holds.

Also

$$(\Lambda^n \varepsilon^*)(x) = \frac{(\Lambda^n \Phi)(x)}{2} = \frac{\Phi(2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{n+k} x, 0)}{2^{n+k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^p x, 0)}{2^p}, \quad \forall x \in G.$$

Taking on the limit in the above relation as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain that  $(C_3)$  is verified.

From Proposition 4.1, it results that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{T}^n g)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for every  $x \in G$ . Moreover, the mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$ ,

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{T}^n g)(x)$$

is the unique fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}$ , with

$$d(g(x), A(x)) \leq \varepsilon^*(x), \forall x \in G,$$

which implies that

$$\|f(x) - f(0) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\Phi(x), \forall x \in G.$$

To prove that the function  $A$  is a solution of the affine equation (1.1) we use (3.2) and the definition of  $A$ .  
□

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2**(1950), 64–66. 1
- [2] J. A. Baker, *The stability of certain functional equations*, Proc. AMS **112**(3)(1991), 729–732. 1
- [3] J. Brzdęk and K. Ciepliński, *A fixed point approach to the stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis - TMA **74** (2011), 6861–6867. 1
- [4] L. Cădariu, L. Găvruta L. and P. Găvruta, *Weighted space method for the stability of some nonlinear equations*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **6** (2012), 126–139. 1
- [5] L. Cădariu, L. Găvruta L. and P. Găvruta, *Fixed points and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability.*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012**, Article ID 712743, (2012), 10 pages. 1, 4, 4.1
- [6] L. Cădariu L. and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*. J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. **4**(1) (2003), Art. 4. 1
- [7] L. Cădariu L. and V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed points approach*, Iteration theory (ECIT '02), (J. Sousa Ramos, D. Gronau, C. Mira, L. Reich, A. N. Sharkovsky - Eds.), Grazer Math. Ber. **346** (2004), 43–52. 1
- [8] L. Cădariu L. and V. Radu, *Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable*. Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2008**, Article ID 749392, (2008), 15 pages 1
- [9] L. Cădariu L. and V. Radu, *A general fixed point method for the stability of Cauchy functional equation*. in Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis, Th. M. Rassias, J. Brzdęk (Eds.), Series Springer Optimization and Its Applications **52**, 2011 1
- [10] L. Cădariu L. and V. Radu, *A general fixed point method for the stability of the monomial functional equation*, Carpathian J. Math. **28** (2012), no. 1, 25–36. 1
- [11] I.-S. Chang and H.-M. Kim, *On the Hyers-Ulam Stability of Quadratic Functional Equations*, **3**(3), (2002). 1
- [12] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, London, Singapore Hong Kong, 2002. 1
- [13] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 305–309.
- [14] G. L. Forti, *An existence and stability theorem for a class of functional equations*, Stochastica **4** (1980), 23–30. 1
- [15] G. L. Forti, *Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables*, Aeq. Math. **50** (1995), 143–90. 1
- [16] G. L. Forti, *Comments on the core of the direct method for proving Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295**(1) (2004), 127–133. 1
- [17] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434. 1
- [18] L. Găvruta, *Matkowski contractions and Hyers-Ulam stability*. Bul. Șt. Univ. "Politehnica" Timișoara, Seria Mat.-Fiz. **53**(67) (2008), No.2, 32–35. 1
- [19] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436. 1
- [20] P. Găvruta, *On a problem of G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias concerning the stability of mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **261** (2001), 543–553. 1
- [21] P. Găvruta and L. Găvruta, *A new method for the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. **1** (2010) No.2, 11–18. 1
- [22] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Prod. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **27** (1941), 222–224. 1
- [23] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac G. and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998. 1
- [24] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations in nonlinear analysis*, Series Springer Optimization and Its Applications 48, Springer, 2011. 1
- [25] D. Mihet, *The Hyers-Ulam stability for two functional equations in a single variable*, Banach J. Math. Anal. Appl. **2** (2008), No. 1, 48–52. 1
- [26] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory **4**, (2003), No. 1, 91–96 1

- 
- [27] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300. 1
  - [28] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Appl. Math. **62** (2000), 23–130. 1
  - [29] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI*. Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964. 1