



# Fixed point theorems for generalized $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings in $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric spaces

Preeyaluk Chuadchawna, Anchalee Kaewcharoen\*, Somyot Plubtieng

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand.*

Communicated by P. Kumam

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and prove the unique fixed point theorems for such mappings in  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric spaces without assuming the subadditivity of  $\psi$ . We also give an example for supporting the result and present an application using our main result to obtain a solution of the integral equation. ©2016 All rights reserved.

*Keywords:*  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric spaces,  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous mappings, triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mappings, generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings.

*2010 MSC:* 47H10, 54H25.

---

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

One of the most important results in fixed point theory is the Banach contraction principle introduced by Banach [1]. There were many authors have studied and proved the results for fixed point theory by generalizing the Banach contraction principle in several directions (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] and references contained therein). One of the remarkable result is Geraghty's theorem given by Geraghty [4]. In 2013, Cho *et al.* [3] introduced the notion of  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and assured the unique fixed point theorems for such mappings in complete metric spaces. Recently, Popescu [12] defined the concept of triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mappings and proved the unique fixed point theorems for

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* [Chuadchawna@hotmail.com](mailto:Chuadchawna@hotmail.com) (Preeyaluk Chuadchawna), [anchaleeka@nu.ac.th](mailto:anchaleeka@nu.ac.th) (Anchalee Kaewcharoen), [somyotp@nu.ac.th](mailto:somyotp@nu.ac.th) (Somyot Plubtieng)

the mentioned mappings which are generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings. On the other hand, Karapinar [8] proved the existence of a unique fixed point for a triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping which is a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping.

For the sake of convenience, we recall the Geraghty's theorem. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  satisfying the condition:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(t_n) = 1 \text{ implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0.$$

Geraghty [4] proved the following unique fixed point theorem in a complete metric space.

**Theorem 1.1** ([4]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .*

In 2012, Samet *et al.* [13] introduced the notion of  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings.

**Definition 1.2** ([13]). Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $T$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -admissible if

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \text{ implies } \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1.$$

Karapinar *et al.* [9] defined the concept of triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings.

**Definition 1.3** ([9]). A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible if

- (a)  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible;
- (b)  $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$  and  $\alpha(z, y) \geq 1$  imply  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ .

The definitions of  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mappings and triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mappings are defined by Popescu [12] in 2014.

**Definition 1.4** ([12]). Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $T$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible if

$$\alpha(x, Tx) \geq 1 \text{ implies } \alpha(Tx, T^2x) \geq 1.$$

**Definition 1.5** ([12]). Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $T$  is said to be triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible if

- (a)  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible;
- (b)  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$  and  $\alpha(y, Ty) \geq 1$  imply  $\alpha(x, Ty) \geq 1$ .

*Remark 1.6.* Every triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping. There exists a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping which is not a triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping. For more details see [12].

Popescu [12] gave the definition of generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and proved the fixed point theorems for such mappings in complete metric spaces.

**Definition 1.7** ([12]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping if there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(M_T(x, y))M_T(x, y),$$

where

$$M_T(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 1.8** ([12]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $T$  is a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (ii)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;
- (iii) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq 1$ ;
- (iv)  $T$  is a continuous mapping.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

Recently, Karapinar [8] introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings in complete metric spaces.

Let  $\Psi$  denote the class of the functions  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing;
- (b)  $\psi$  is continuous;
- (c)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ;
- (d)  $\psi$  is subadditive, that is  $\psi(s + t) \leq \psi(s) + \psi(t)$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping if there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\alpha(x, y)\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(M(x, y)))\psi(M(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \text{ and } \psi \in \Psi.$$

**Theorem 1.10** ([8]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $T$  is a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (ii)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping;
- (iii) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq 1$ ;
- (iv)  $T$  is a continuous mapping.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

On the other hand, Hussain *et al.* [6] introduced the concepts of  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric spaces and  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous functions.

**Definition 1.11** ([6]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . Then  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  converges in  $X$ .

**Example 1.12.** Let  $X = (0, \infty)$  and define a metric on  $X$  by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore  $X$  is not complete. Let  $Y$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Define  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x + y)^3, & \text{if } x, y \in Y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x, y) = 3x^2y.$$

We will prove that  $(X, d)$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space. Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is in  $Y$ . By the completeness of  $Y$ , there exists  $x^* \in Y$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1.13** ([6]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous mapping if for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  imply  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Example 1.14.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and define a metric on  $X$  by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Assume that  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  are defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} x^4, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ \cos \pi x + 3, & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty), \end{cases}, \quad \alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^3 + y^3 + 1, & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x, y) = x^3.$$

Therefore  $T$  is not continuous. We will prove that  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous mapping. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $x_n \in [0, 1]$  and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^4 = x^4 = Tx$ .

In this work, we introduce the notion of generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings in metric spaces. Moreover, we prove the unique fixed point theorems for generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings which are triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mappings in the setting of  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric spaces without assuming the subadditivity of  $\psi$ . Our results improve and generalize the results proved by Karapinar [8] and Popescu [12]. Furthermore, we also give an example for supporting the result and present an application using our main result to obtain a solution of the integral equation.

## 2. Main results

Let  $\Psi'$  denote the class of the functions  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing;
- (b)  $\psi$  is continuous;
- (c)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $T$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$  if

$$\alpha(x, Tx) \geq \eta(x, Tx) \text{ implies } \alpha(Tx, T^2x) \geq \eta(Tx, T^2x).$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $T$  is said to be triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$  if

1.  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ ;
2.  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  and  $\alpha(y, Ty) \geq \eta(y, Ty)$  imply  $\alpha(x, Ty) \geq \eta(x, Ty)$ .

*Remark 2.3.* If we suppose that  $\eta(x, y) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then Definition 2.1 reduces to Definition 1.4 and Definition 2.2 reduces to Definition 1.5.

We now prove the important lemma that will be used for proving our main results.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ . Assume that there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . Then  $\alpha(x_n, x_m) \geq \eta(x_n, x_m)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n < m$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$  and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ , we obtain that

$$\alpha(x_2, x_3) = \alpha(Tx_1, T(Tx_1)) \geq \eta(Tx_1, T(Tx_1)) = \eta(x_2, x_3).$$

By continuing the process as above, we have  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that

$$\alpha(x_n, x_m) \geq \eta(x_n, x_m) \tag{2.1}$$

and we will prove that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{m+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{m+1})$ , where  $m > n$ . Since  $\alpha(x_m, x_{m+1}) \geq \eta(x_m, x_{m+1})$ , we obtain that

$$\alpha(x_m, Tx_m) = \alpha(x_m, x_{m+1}) \geq \eta(x_m, x_{m+1}) = \eta(x_m, Tx_m). \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.1), (2.2) and triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissibility of  $T$ , we have

$$\alpha(x_n, Tx_m) \geq \eta(x_n, Tx_m).$$

This implies that

$$\alpha(x_n, x_{m+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{m+1}).$$

Hence  $\alpha(x_n, x_m) \geq \eta(x_n, x_m)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n < m$ . □

We now introduce the concept of generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and prove the fixed point theorems for such mappings.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping if there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  implies

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x, y)))\psi(M_T(x, y)),$$

where

$$M_T(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\} \text{ and } \psi \in \Psi'.$$

*Remark 2.6.* In Definition 2.5, if we take  $\eta(x, y) = 1$  and  $\psi(t) = t$ , then it reduces to Definition 1.7.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Assume that  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space;
- (ii)  $T$  is a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (iii)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ ;
- (iv) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ ;
- (v)  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous mapping.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1 \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0}$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point. Hence we suppose that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $T$  is a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &= \psi(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1})))\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1})) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}(d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n))\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2})}{2} + \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \left[ \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})}{2} \right] \right\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1})))\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1})))\psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) < \psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that

$$\max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = d(x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

By (2.3), we get that  $\psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) < \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\psi$  is nondecreasing, we have  $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence we deduce that the sequence  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is nonincreasing. Therefore, there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r$ . We claim that  $r = 0$ . Suppose that  $r > 0$ . Then due to (2.3), we have

$$\psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1})))\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))}{\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))} \leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1}))) < 1.$$

This implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1}))) = 1$ . Since  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(M_T(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0$ , which yields

$$r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

This is a contradiction. Next, we will show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m(k) > n(k) > k$  with  $d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \geq \varepsilon$ . Let  $m(k)$  be the smallest number satisfying the condition above. Then we have  $d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) < \varepsilon$ . Therefore

$$\varepsilon \leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < \varepsilon + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}).$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) = \varepsilon$ . Since

$$|d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) - d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)})| \leq d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}),$$

we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \varepsilon$ . Similarly, we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have  $\alpha(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \geq \eta(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)})) &= \psi(d(Tx_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})))\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) &= \max\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}))\} \\ &= \max\left\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})}{2} + \frac{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})}{2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$1 = \frac{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}))}{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}))} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}))),$$

which implies  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}))) = 1$ . Consequently, we get  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_T(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) = 0$ . Hence  $\varepsilon = 0$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ . Since  $T$  is  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous, we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tx^*$  and so  $x^* = Tx^*$ . Hence  $T$  has a fixed point.  $\square$

In following theorem, we replace the continuity of  $T$  by some suitable conditions.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Assume that  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space;
- (ii)  $T$  is a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (iii)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ ;
- (iv) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ ;
- (v) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x^*) \geq \eta(x_{n(k)}, x^*)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

*Proof.* By the analogous proof as in Theorem 2.7, we can construct the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  converging to  $x^* \in X$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (v), there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x^*) \geq \eta(x_{n(k)}, x^*)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n(k)+1}, Tx^*)) &= \psi(d(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*)))\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*) &= \max\{d(x_{n(k)}, x^*), d(x_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}), d(x^*, Tx^*), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(d(x_{n(k)}, Tx^*) + d(x^*, Tx_{n(k)}))\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_{n(k)}, x^*), d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}), d(x^*, Tx^*), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(d(x_{n(k)}, Tx^*) + d(x^*, x_{n(k)+1}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $Tx^* \neq x^*$ . Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*) = d(x^*, Tx^*).$$

From (2.7), we have

$$\frac{\psi(d(x_{n(k)+1}, Tx^*))}{\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*))} \leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*))) < 1.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we obtain that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*))) = 1$  and so  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_T(x_{n(k)}, x^*) = 0$ . Hence  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ . This is a contradiction. It follows that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .  $\square$

For the uniqueness of a fixed point of a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mapping, we assume the suitable condition introduced by Popescu [12].

**Theorem 2.9.** *Suppose all assumptions of Theorem 2.7 (respectively Theorem 2.8) hold. Assume that for all  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x, v) \geq \eta(x, v)$ ,  $\alpha(y, v) \geq \eta(y, v)$  and  $\alpha(v, Tv) \geq \eta(v, Tv)$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x^*$  and  $y^*$  are two fixed points of  $T$  such that  $x^* \neq y^*$ . Then by assumption, there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x^*, v) \geq \eta(x^*, v)$ ,  $\alpha(y^*, v) \geq \eta(y^*, v)$  and  $\alpha(v, Tv) \geq \eta(v, Tv)$ . Since  $T$  is triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ , we have

$$\alpha(x^*, T^n v) \geq \eta(x^*, T^n v) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(y^*, T^n v) \geq \eta(y^*, T^n v),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x^*, T^{n+1}v)) &= \psi(d(Tx^*, TT^n v)) \\ &\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x^*, T^n v)))\psi(M_T(x^*, T^n v)), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(x^*, T^n v) &= \max\{d(x^*, T^n v), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(T^n v, T^{n+1}v), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(d(x^*, T^{n+1}v) + d(T^n v, Tx^*))\} \\ &= \max\{d(x^*, T^n v), d(T^n v, T^{n+1}v), \frac{1}{2}(d(x^*, T^{n+1}v) + d(T^n v, x^*))\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.7, we deduce that  $\{T^n v\}$  converges to a fixed point  $z^*$  of  $T$ . Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_T(x^*, T^n v) = d(x^*, z^*).$$

We will prove that  $x^* = z^*$ . Suppose that  $x^* \neq z^*$ . Since

$$\frac{\psi(d(x^*, T^{n+1}v))}{\psi(M_T(x^*, T^n v))} \leq \beta(\psi(M_T(x^*, T^n v))),$$

we obtain that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(x^*, T^n v))) = 1$ . This implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_T(x^*, T^n v) = 0$ , and then  $d(x^*, z^*) = 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $x^* = z^*$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $y^* = z^*$ . Thus  $x^* = y^*$ . It follows that  $T$  has a unique fixed point. □

In Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8, if we put  $\eta(x, y) = 1$  and  $\psi(t) = t$ , then we obtain the following result proved by Popescu [12].

**Corollary 2.10** ([12]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $T$  is a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (ii)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;
- (iii) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq 1$ ;
- (iv)  $T$  is a continuous mapping or if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x^*) \geq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

By taking  $\eta(x, y) = 1$  and the same techniques using in Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;
- (ii) if there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \text{ implies } \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(M(x, y)))\psi(M(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \text{ and } \psi \in \Psi';$$

- (iii) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq 1$ ;
- (iv)  $T$  is a continuous mapping or if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x^*) \geq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

Consequently, we obtain that the following result proved by Karapinar [8].

**Corollary 2.12** ([8]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Assume that  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping;
- (ii)  $T$  is a generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (iii) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq 1$ ;
- (iv)  $T$  is a continuous mapping or if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x^*) \geq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

### 3. Consequences

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping if there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  implies

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(d(x, y)))\psi(d(x, y)),$$

where  $\psi \in \Psi'$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Assume that  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space;
- (ii)  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (iii)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ ;
- (iv) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ ;
- (v)  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous mapping.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1 \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we can construct the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  converging to some  $x^* \in X$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $T$  is  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous, we have

$$x_{n+1} = Tx_n \rightarrow Tx^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $T$  has a fixed point . □

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Assume that  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space;
- (ii)  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping;
- (iii)  $T$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ ;
- (iv) there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ ;
- (v) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x^*) \geq \eta(x_{n(k)}, x^*)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1 \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we can construct the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  converging to some  $x^* \in X$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (v), there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x^*) \geq \eta(x_{n(k)}, x^*)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n(k)+1}, Tx^*)) &= \psi(d(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq \beta(\psi(d(x_{n(k)}, x^*)))\psi(d(x_{n(k)}, x^*)) \\ &< \psi(d(x_{n(k)}, x^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality, we obtain that  $\psi(d(x^*, Tx^*)) \leq 0$ . Thus  $\psi(d(x^*, Tx^*)) = 0$ . This implies that  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ . Hence  $x^* = Tx^*$ . □

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose all assumptions of Theorem 3.2 (respectively Theorem 3.3) hold. Assume that for all  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x, v) \geq \eta(x, v)$ ,  $\alpha(y, v) \geq \eta(y, v)$  and  $\alpha(v, Tv) \geq \eta(v, Tv)$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x^*$  and  $y^*$  are two fixed points of  $T$  such that  $x^* \neq y^*$ . Then by assumption, there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x^*, v) \geq \eta(x^*, v)$ ,  $\alpha(y^*, v) \geq \eta(y^*, v)$  and  $\alpha(v, Tv) \geq \eta(v, Tv)$ . Since  $T$  is triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ , we have

$$\alpha(x^*, T^n v) \geq \eta(x^*, T^n v) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(y^*, T^n v) \geq \eta(y^*, T^n v)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x^*, T^{n+1}v)) &= \psi(d(Tx^*, TT^n v)) \\ &\leq \beta(\psi(d(x^*, T^n v)))\psi(d(x^*, T^n v)) \\ &< \psi(d(x^*, T^n v)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently, the sequence  $\{\psi(d(x^*, T^n v))\}$  is nonincreasing, then there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(x^*, T^n v)) = r$ . By (3.1) we have

$$\frac{\psi(d(x^*, T^{n+1}v))}{\psi(d(x^*, T^n v))} \leq \beta(\psi(d(x^*, T^n v))).$$

Letting limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\psi(d(x^*, T^n v))) = 1$  and then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(x^*, T^n v)) = 0$ . It follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x^*, T^n v) = 0$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n v = x^*$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n v = y^*$ . Hence  $x^* = y^*$ . □

**Corollary 3.5** ([8]). *Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Suppose that  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that*

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(d(x, y)))\psi(d(x, y))$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \preceq y$  where  $\psi \in \Psi'$ ;*

(ii) *there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_1 \preceq Tx_1$ ;*

(iii)  *$T$  is nondecreasing;*

(iv) *either  $T$  is continuous or if  $\{x_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n(k)} \preceq x$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_1\}$  converges to  $x^*$ . Further if for all  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $x \preceq v, y \preceq v$  and  $v \preceq Tv$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* Define functions  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \preceq y \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x \preceq y \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$ . By (i), we have

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(d(x, y)))\psi(d(x, y)).$$

This implies that  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping. Since  $X$  is complete metric space, we have  $X$  is  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space. By (ii), there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ . Let  $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq \eta(x, Tx)$ , we have  $x \preceq Tx$ . Since  $T$  is nondecreasing, we obtain that  $Tx \preceq T(Tx)$ . Then  $\alpha(Tx, T^2x) \geq \eta(Tx, T^2x)$ . Let  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  and  $\alpha(y, Ty) \geq \eta(y, Ty)$ , so we have  $x \preceq y$  and  $y \preceq Ty$ . It follows that  $x \preceq Ty$ . Then  $\alpha(x, Ty) \geq \eta(x, Ty)$ . Thus all conditions of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Hence  $T$  has a fixed point. □

We now give an example for supporting Theorem 3.2.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $\beta(t) = \frac{1}{1+2t}$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\beta(0) = 0$ . Then  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{4}t$  and a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 2x, & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Also, we define functions  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq x, y \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \eta(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } 0 \leq x, y \leq 1 \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First, we will prove that  $(X, d)$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete metric space. If  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ . Since  $([0, 1], d)$  is a complete metric space, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges in  $[0, 1] \subseteq X$ . Let  $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq \eta(x, Tx)$ . Thus  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $Tx \in [0, 1]$  and so  $T^2x = T(Tx) \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\alpha(Tx, T^2x) \geq \eta(Tx, T^2x)$ . Thus  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ . Let  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  and  $\alpha(y, Ty) \geq \eta(y, Ty)$ . We have  $x, y, Ty \in [0, 1]$ . This implies that  $\alpha(x, Ty) \geq \eta(x, Ty)$ . Hence  $T$  is triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{3}x_n = \frac{2}{3}x = Tx$ . That is  $T$  is  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -continuous. It is clear that condition (iv) of Theorem 3.2 is satisfied with  $x_1 = 1$  since  $\alpha(1, T(1)) = \alpha(1, \frac{2}{3}) = 1 > \frac{1}{4} = \eta(1, \frac{2}{3}) = \eta(1, T(1))$ . Finally, we will prove that  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping. Let  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$ . Therefore  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(\psi(d(x, y)))\psi(d(x, y)) - \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \\ &= \beta\left(\frac{1}{4}(d(x, y))\right) \cdot \frac{1}{4}(d(x, y)) - \frac{1}{4}(d(Tx, Ty)) \\ &= \beta\left(\frac{1}{4}|x - y|\right) \cdot \frac{1}{4}|x - y| - \frac{1}{4}|Tx - Ty| \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}|x - y|} \cdot \frac{1}{4}|x - y| - \frac{1}{4}\left|\frac{2}{3}x - \frac{2}{3}y\right| \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{4}|x - y|}{1 + \frac{1}{2}|x - y|} - \frac{1}{6}|x - y| \\ &= \frac{|x - y|(3 - 2 + |x - y|)}{6(2 + |x - y|)} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Then we have  $\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(d(x, y)))\psi(d(x, y))$ . Thus all assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^* = 0$ .

#### 4. Applications to ordinary differential equations

The following ordinary differential equation is taken from Karapinar [8]:

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, 1] \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. The Green function associated to (4.1) is defined by

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \\ s(1 - t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $C(I)$  be the space of all continuous functions defined on  $I$  where  $I = [0, 1]$ . Suppose that  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t) - y(t)|$  for all  $x, y \in C(I)$ . It is well known that  $(C(I), d)$  is a complete metric space.

Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists a function  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\xi(a, b) \geq 0$ , we have  $|f(t, a) - f(t, b)| \leq 8 \ln(|a - b| + 1)$  for all  $t \in I$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $x_1 \in C(I)$  such that for all  $t \in I$ ,

$$\xi\left(x_1(t), \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x_1(s))ds\right) \geq 0;$$

- (iii) for all  $t \in I$  and for all  $x, y, z \in C(I)$ ,

$$\xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0 \text{ and } \xi(y(t), z(t)) \geq 0 \text{ imply } \xi(x(t), z(t)) \geq 0;$$

- (iv) for all  $t \in I$  and for all  $x, y \in C(I)$ ,

$$\xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0 \text{ implies } \xi\left(\int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds, \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, y(s))ds\right) \geq 0;$$

(v) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C([0, 1])$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in C([0, 1])$  and  $\xi(x_n(t), x_{n+1}(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $t \in I$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\xi(x_{n(k)}(t), x(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $t \in I$ .

We now assure the existence of a solution of the above second order differential equation. The method for proving the following result is taken from [8] but is slightly different.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that conditions (i)-(v) are satisfied. Then (4.1) has at least one solution  $x^* \in C^2(I)$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known that  $x^* \in C^2(I)$  is a solution of (4.1) if and only if  $x^* \in C(I)$  is a solution of the integral equation (see [8]). Define a mapping  $T : C(I) \rightarrow C(I)$  by

$$Tx(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

Therefore the problem (4.1) is equivalent to finding  $x^* \in C(I)$  that is a fixed point of  $T$ . Let  $x, y \in C(I)$  such that  $\xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ . From (i), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t) - Ty(t)| &= \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s)[f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))]ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s)|f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))|ds \\ &\leq 8 \int_0^1 G(t, s) \ln(|x(s) - y(s)| + 1)ds \\ &\leq 8 \int_0^1 G(t, s) \ln(d(x, y) + 1)ds \\ &\leq 8 \ln(d(x, y) + 1) \left( \sup_{t \in I} \int_0^1 G(t, s)ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_0^1 G(t, s)ds = -(t^2/2) + t/2$  for all  $t \in I$ , we have  $\sup_{t \in I} \int_0^1 G(t, s)ds = \frac{1}{8}$ . This implies that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \ln(d(x, y) + 1).$$

Therefore

$$\ln(d(Tx, Ty) + 1) \leq \ln(\ln(d(x, y) + 1) + 1) = \frac{\ln(\ln(d(x, y) + 1) + 1)}{\ln(d(x, y) + 1)} \ln(d(x, y) + 1).$$

Define mappings  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  by

$$\psi(x) = \ln(x + 1) \text{ and } \beta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\psi(x)}{x}, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous, nondecreasing and  $\psi$  is positive in  $(0, \infty)$  with  $\psi(0) = 0$  and also  $\psi(x) < x$ . Moreover, we obtain that  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  and

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(d(x, y)))\psi(d(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in C(I)$  such that  $\xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ .

Define  $\alpha, \eta : C(I) \times C(I) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0, t \in I \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \text{ and } \eta(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1] \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $x, y \in C(I)$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$ . It follows that  $\xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ . This yields

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(d(x, y)))\psi(d(x, y)).$$

Therefore  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping. Using (iv), for each  $x \in C(I)$  such that  $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq \eta(x, Tx)$ , we obtain that  $\xi(Tx(t), T^2x(t)) \geq 0$ . This implies that  $\alpha(Tx, T^2x) \geq \eta(Tx, T^2x)$ . Let  $x, y \in C(I)$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  and  $\alpha(y, Ty) \geq \eta(y, Ty)$ . Thus

$$\xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0 \text{ and } \xi(y(t), Ty(t)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

By applying (iii), we obtain that  $\xi(x(t), Ty(t)) \geq 0$  and so  $\alpha(x, Ty) \geq \eta(x, Ty)$ . It follows that  $T$  is triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible with respect to  $\eta$ . Using (ii), there exists  $x_1 \in C(I)$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \geq \eta(x_1, Tx_1)$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $C(I)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in C(I)$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (v), there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\xi(x_{n(k)}(t), x(t)) \geq 0$ . This implies that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \geq \eta(x_{n(k)}, x)$ . Therefore all assumptions in Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence  $T$  has a fixed point in  $C(I)$ . It follows that there exists  $x^* \in C(I)$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$  is a solution of (4.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and nondecreasing;
- (ii) for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a \leq b$ , we have

$$|f(t, a) - f(t, b)| \leq 8 \ln(|a - b| + 1);$$

- (iii) there exists  $x_1 \in C([0, 1])$  such that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$x_1(t) \leq \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x_1(s))ds.$$

Then (4.1) has a solution in  $C^2([0, 1])$ .

*Proof.* Define a mapping  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\xi(a, b) = b - a \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the analogous proof as in Theorem 4.1, we obtain that (4.1) has a solution.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements:

This work is supported by Naresuan University. The authors would like to express their deep thanks to Naresuan University.

### References

- [1] S. Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equation integrales*, Fund. Math., **3** (1922), 133–181.1
- [2] P. Chaipunya, Y. J. Cho, P. Kumam, *Geraghty-type theorems in modular metric spaces with an application to partial differential equation*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2012** (2012), 12 pages.1
- [3] S. H. Cho, J. S. Bae, E. Karapinar, *Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 11 pages.1
- [4] M. Geraghty, *On contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **40** (1973), 604–608.1, 1.1
- [5] M. E. Gordji, M. Ramezani, Y. J. Cho, S. Pirbavafa, *A generalization of Geraghty's theorem in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 9 pages.1
- [6] N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, P. Salimi, *Fixed point theory in  $\alpha$ -complete metric spaces with applications*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2014** (2014), 11 pages.1, 1, 1.11, 1.13

- [7] Z. Kadelburg, P. Kumam, S. Radenović, W. Sintunavarat, *Common coupled fixed point theorems for Geraghty-type contraction mappings using monotone property*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 14 pages.1
- [8] E. Karapinar,  *$\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and some related fixed point results*, Filomat, **28** (2014), 37–48. 1, 1, 1.10, 1, 2, 2.12, 3.5, 4, 4, 4
- [9] E. Karapinar, P. Kumam, P. Salimi, *On  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 12 pages. 1, 1, 1.3
- [10] C. Mongkolkeha, Y. J. Cho, P. Kumam, *Best proximity points for Geraghty's proximal contraction mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 17 pages.1
- [11] C. Mongkolkeha, P. Kumam, *Common best proximity points for proximity commuting mapping with Geraghty's functions*, Carpathian J. Math., **31** (2015), 359–364. 1
- [12] O. Popescu, *Some new fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 12 pages. 1, 1, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1, 1.7, 1.8, 1, 2, 2, 2.10
- [13] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **75** (2012), 2154–2165. 1, 1.2