

ISOMORPHISMS AND GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS IN PROPER CQ^* -ALGEBRAS

CHOONKIL PARK^{1,*} AND DEOK-HOON BOO²

Dedicated to Professor Themistocles M. Rassias on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in proper CQ^* -algebras and of generalized derivations on proper CQ^* -algebras for the following Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings:

$$\begin{aligned}f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2}\right) &= f(x) + f(z), \\f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2}\right) &= f(y), \\2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) &= f(x) + f(y) + f(z),\end{aligned}$$

which were introduced and investigated in [3, 30].

This is applied to investigate isomorphisms in proper CQ^* -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In a series of papers [1, 2], [4]–[9] and [46]–[48], many authors have considered a special class of quasi $*$ -algebras, called *proper CQ^* -algebras*, which arise as completions of C^* -algebras. They can be introduced in the following way:

Let A be a Banach module over the C^* -algebra A_0 with involution $*$ and C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ such that $A_0 \subset A$. We say that (A, A_0) is a *proper CQ^* -algebra* if

- (i) A_0 is dense in A with respect to its norm $\|\cdot\|$;

Date: Received: August 30, 2010; Revised: November 16, 2010.

*Corresponding author

© 2010 N.A.G.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B72, 17A40, 46L05, 46B03, 47Jxx.

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability; Cauchy-Jensen functional equation; proper CQ^* -algebra isomorphism; generalized derivation.

- (ii) an involution $*$, which extends the involution of A_0 , is defined in A with the property $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ for all $x, y \in A$ whenever the multiplication is defined;
- (iii) $\|y\|_0 = \sup_{x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1} \|xy\|$ for all $y \in A_0$.

Definition 1.1. Let (A, A_0) and (B, B_0) be proper CQ^* -algebras. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a *proper CQ^* -algebra homomorphism* if $H(x) \in B_0$ and $H(xz) = H(x)H(z)$ for all $x \in A_0$ and all $z \in A$. If, in addition, the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ and the mapping $H|_{A_0} : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ are bijective, then the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a *proper CQ^* -algebra isomorphism*.

Definition 1.2. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is called a *generalized derivation* if

$$\delta(xyz) = \delta(xy)z + x\delta(y)z + x\delta(yz)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A_0$ (see [13]).

Ulam [49] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems. Among these was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

We are given a group G and a metric group G' with metric $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if $f : G \rightarrow G'$ satisfies $\rho(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G$, then a homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow G'$ exists with $\rho(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G$?

By now an affirmative answer has been given in several cases, and some interesting variations of the problem have also been investigated. We shall call such an $f : G \rightarrow G'$ an *approximate homomorphism*.

Hyers [20] considered the case of approximately additive mappings $f : E \rightarrow E'$, where E and E' are Banach spaces and f satisfies *Hyers inequality*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in E$. It was shown that the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and that $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Th.M. Rassias [38] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*.

Theorem 1.3. (Th.M. Rassias). *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p < 0$ then inequality (1.1) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (1.2) for $x \neq 0$. Also, if for each $x \in E$ the function $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then L is \mathbb{R} -linear.

Th.M. Rassias [39] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. Gajda [14] following the same approach as in Th.M. Rassias [38], gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p > 1$. It was shown by Gajda [14], as well as by Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [44] that one cannot prove a Th.M. Rassias' type theorem when $p = 1$. The counterexamples of Gajda [14], as well as of Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [44] have stimulated several mathematicians to invent new definitions of *approximately additive* or *approximately linear* mappings, cf. P. Găvruta [15], who among others studied the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. The inequality (1.1) that was introduced for the first time by Th.M. Rassias [38] provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations (cf. the books of P. Czerwik [10, 11], D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [21]).

Beginning around the year 1980 the topic of approximate homomorphisms and their stability theory in the field of functional equations and inequalities was taken up by several mathematicians (cf. D.H. Hyers and Th.M. Rassias [22], Th.M. Rassias [42] and the references therein).

J.M. Rassias [32] following the spirit of the innovative approach of Th.M. Rassias [38] for the unbounded Cauchy difference proved a similar stability theorem in which he replaced the factor $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$ by $\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p + q \neq 1$ (see also [33] for a number of other new results).

Găvruta [15] provided a further generalization of Th.M. Rassias' Theorem. In 1996, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [21] applied the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability theory to prove fixed point theorems and study some new applications in Nonlinear Analysis. In [22], D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias studied the asymptotic aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings. During the several papers have been published on various generalizations and applications of Hyers-Ulam stability and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability to a number of functional equations and mappings, for example: quadratic functional equation, invariant means, multiplicative mappings - superstability, bounded n th differences, convex functions, generalized orthogonality functional equation, Navier-Stokes equations. Several mathematician have contributed works on these subjects (see [12], [16]–[19], [23]–[37], [40]–[43], [45]).

Throughout this paper, assume that (A, A_0) is a proper CQ^* -algebra with C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_{A_0}$, norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and unit e , and that (B, B_0) is a proper CQ^* -algebra with C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_0}$, norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ and unit e' .

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in proper CQ^* -algebras and of generalized derivations on proper CQ^* -algebras.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sections 2 and 4, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in proper CQ^* -algebras and of generalized derivations on proper CQ^* -algebras for the Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings.

In Section 3, we investigate isomorphisms in proper CQ^* -algebras, associated to the Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings.

2. STABILITY OF HOMOMORPHISMS IN PROPER CQ^* -ALGEBRAS

For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$C_\mu f(x, y, z) := f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + \mu z}{2}\right) + \mu f\left(\frac{x - y + z}{2}\right) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(z)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$ and all $x, y, z \in A$.

We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in proper CQ^* -algebras for the functional equation $C_\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that $f(x_0) \in B_0$ and*

$$\|C_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|C_1 f(x_0, y_0, z_0)\|_{B_0} \leq \theta(\|x_0\|_{A_0}^r + \|y_0\|_{A_0}^r + \|z_0\|_{A_0}^r), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|f(x_0 z) - f(x_0)f(z)\|_B \leq \theta(\|x_0\|_A^{2r} + \|z\|_A^{2r}) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in A_0$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique proper CQ^* -algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = -1$ and $x = y = z = 0$ in (2.1), we get $f(0) = 0$. Letting $\mu = 1$ and $y = 2x$ and $z = x$ in (2.1), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_B \leq (2^r + 2)\theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_B \leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j}{2^{rj}} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.6) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), we get (2.4).

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) + H\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2}\right) - H(x) - H(z) \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^{n+1}}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \theta}{2^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$H\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) + H\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2}\right) = H(x) + H(z) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Letting $y = 0$ in (2.7), we get

$$2H\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right) = H(x) + H(z) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x, z \in A$.

Since $H(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{0}{2^n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(0) = 0$, by letting $y = 2x$ and $z = x$ in (2.7), we get

$$H(2x) = 2H(x)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Replacing x by $2x$ and z by $2z$ in (2.8), we get

$$H(x+z) = H(x) + H(z)$$

for all $x, z \in A$. Hence $H : A \rightarrow B$ is Cauchy additive.

Letting $y = 0$ and $z = x$ in (2.1), we get

$$\|f(\mu x) - \mu f(x)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. So

$$H(\mu x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{\mu x}{2^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \cdot 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = \mu H(x) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [29], the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

It follows from (2.2) that $H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \in B_0$ for all $x \in A_0$. So it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(xz) - H(x)H(z)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xz}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|z\|_A^{2r}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A_0$ and all $z \in A$. So

$$H(xz) = H(x)H(z)$$

for all $x \in A_0$ and all $z \in A$.

Now, let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be another Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping satisfying (2.4). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_B &= 2^n \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq 2^n \left(\left\| H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2(2^r + 2)}{2^r - 2} \cdot \frac{2^n \cdot \theta}{2^{nr}} \|x\|_A^r, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in A$. So we can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a unique proper CQ^* -algebra homomorphism satisfying (2.4). \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) such that $f(x) \in B_0$ for all $x \in A_0$. Then there exists a unique proper CQ^* -algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. It follows from (2.5) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{rj}}{2^j} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.11) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B

is complete, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.11), we get (2.10).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that $f(x_0) \in B_0$ and*

$$\|C_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|y\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|z\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\|C_1 f(x_0, y_0, z_0)\|_{B_0} \leq \theta \cdot \|x_0\|_{A_0}^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|y_0\|_{A_0}^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|z_0\|_{A_0}^{\frac{r}{3}}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\|f(x_0 z) - f(x_0)f(z)\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x_0\|_A^r \cdot \|z\|_A^r \quad (2.14)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in A_0$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique proper CQ^* -algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}\theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.15)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = -1$ and $x = y = z = 0$ in (2.12), we get $f(0) = 0$. So, letting $\mu = 1$ and $y = 2x$ and $z = x$ in (2.12), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_B \leq 2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.16)$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{4^{\frac{r}{3}}} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\|2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{4^{\frac{r}{3}}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j}{2^{rj}} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.17) that the sequence $\left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.17), we get (2.15).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) such that $f(x) \in B_0$ for all $x \in A_0$. Then there exists a unique proper CQ^* -algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.18)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. It follows from (2.16) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j}f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}f(2^{j+1} x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{rj}}{2^j} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.19) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.19), we get (2.18).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

3. ISOMORPHISMS IN PROPER CQ^* -ALGEBRAS

For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$D_\mu f(x, y, z) := f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + \mu z}{2}\right) - \mu f\left(\frac{x - y + z}{2}\right) - \mu f(y)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$.

We investigate isomorphisms in proper CQ^* -algebras, associated to the functional equation $D_\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping such that $f(x_0) \in B_0$ and*

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|D_1 f(x_0, y_0, z_0)\|_{B_0} \leq \theta(\|x_0\|_{A_0}^r + \|y_0\|_{A_0}^r + \|z_0\|_{A_0}^r), \quad (3.2)$$

$$f(x_0 z) = f(x_0) f(z) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in A_0$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. If $f|_{A_0} : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is bijective and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{e}{2^n}) = e'$, then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a proper CQ^* -algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$, $y = x$ and $z = 2x$ in (3.1), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_B \leq (2^r + 2)\theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_B \leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j}{2^{rj}} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (3.5) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5), we get

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$.

It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) - H\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2}\right) - H(y) \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \theta}{2^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$H\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) - H\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2}\right) = H(y) \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Letting $z = x + y$ in (3.6), we get

$$H(x+y) = H(x) + H(y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Hence the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is Cauchy additive.

Letting $x = 0$ and $z = y$ in (3.1), we get

$$\|f(\mu y) - \mu f(y)\|_B \leq 2\theta \|y\|_A^r$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y \in A$. So

$$H(\mu x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{\mu x}{2^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \cdot 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = \mu H(x) \quad (3.7)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [29], the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Since $f(xz) = f(x)f(z)$ for all $x \in A_0$ and all $z \in A$,

$$H(xz) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n} \cdot \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \cdot 2^n f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) = H(x)H(z)$$

for all $x \in A_0$ and all $z \in A$. So the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a proper CQ^* -algebra homomorphism.

It follows from (3.2) that $H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \in B_0$ for all $x \in A_0$. So it follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= H(ex) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{ex}{2^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{e}{2^n}x\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{e}{2^n}\right) f(x) \\ &= e' f(x) = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence the bijective mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a proper CQ^* -algebra isomorphism. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) such that $f(x) \in B_0$ for all $x \in A_0$. If $f|_{A_0} : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is bijective and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a proper CQ^* -algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. It follows from (3.4) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{rj}}{2^j} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (3.8) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.8), we get

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (3.3) such that $f(x_0) \in B_0$ and*

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|y\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|z\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\|D_1 f(x_0, y_0, z_0)\|_{B_0} \leq \theta \cdot \|x_0\|_{A_0}^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|y_0\|_{A_0}^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|z_0\|_{A_0}^{\frac{r}{3}}, \quad (3.10)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in A_0$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. If $f|_{A_0} : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is bijective and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{e}{2^n}) = e'$, then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a proper CQ*-algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$, $y = x$ and $z = 2x$ in (3.9), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_B \leq 2^{\frac{r}{3}} \theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (3.11)$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{4^{\frac{r}{3}}} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{4^{\frac{r}{3}}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j}{2^{rj}} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (3.12) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12), we get

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}} \theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorem 2.3 and 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (3.3), (3.9) and (3.10) such that $f(x) \in B_0$ for all $x \in A_0$. If $f|_{A_0} : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is bijective and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a proper CQ*-algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. It follows from (3.11) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j}f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}f(2^{j+1}x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{rj}}{2^j} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (3.13) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.13), we get

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorem 2.4 and 3.1. \square

4. STABILITY OF GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON PROPER CQ^* -ALGEBRAS

For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$E_\mu f(x, y, z) := 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y + \mu z}{2}\right) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) - \mu f(z)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$.

We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations on proper CQ^* -algebras for the functional equation $E_\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|E_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x_0 y_0 z_0) - f(x_0 y_0)z_0 - x_0 f(y_0)z_0 - x_0 f(y_0 z_0)\|_A \\ \leq \theta(\|x_0\|_A^{3r} + \|y_0\|_A^{3r} + \|z_0\|_A^{3r}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in A_0$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_A^r \quad (4.3)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$, $y = 2x$ and $z = x$ in (4.1), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_A \leq (2^r + 2)\theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (4.4)$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_A \leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_A &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \frac{(2^r + 2)\theta}{2^r} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j}{2^{rj}} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.5) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.5), we get (4.3).

It follows from (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| 2\delta\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) - \delta(x) - \delta(y) - \delta(z) \right\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \theta}{2^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$2\delta\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + \delta(z) \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = z = 0$ in (4.6), we get $\delta(0) = 0$.

Letting $z = x + y$ in (4.6), we get

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Hence the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is Cauchy additive.

Letting $y = x$ and $z = 0$ in (4.1), we get

$$\|f(\mu x) - \mu f(x)\|_A \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. So

$$\delta(\mu x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{\mu x}{2^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \cdot 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = \mu \delta(x) \quad (4.7)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [29], the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

It follows from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta(xyz) - \delta(xy)z - x\delta(y)z - x\delta(yz)\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xyz}{8^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{xy}{4^n}\right)\frac{z}{2^n} - \frac{x}{2^n}f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right)\frac{z}{2^n} - \frac{x}{2^n}f\left(\frac{yz}{4^n}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{8^n \theta}{8^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{3r} + \|y\|_A^{3r} + \|z\|_A^{3r}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A_0$. So

$$\delta(xyz) = \delta(xy)z + x\delta(y)z + x\delta(yz)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A_0$.

Now, let $T : A \rightarrow A$ be another Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping satisfying (4.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta(x) - T(x)\|_A &= 2^n \left\| \delta\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq 2^n \left(\left\| \delta\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2(2^r + 2)\theta}{(2^r - 2)2^{nr}} \|x\|_A^r, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in A$. So we can conclude that $\delta(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of δ . Thus the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is a unique generalized derivation satisfying (4.3). \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (4.1) and (4.2). Then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_A^r \quad (4.8)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. It follows from (4.4) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_A \leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\|_A &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j}f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}f(2^{j+1} x) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \frac{(2 + 2^r)\theta}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{rj}}{2^j} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.9) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A

is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.9), we get (4.8).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|E_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|y\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}} \cdot \|z\|_A^{\frac{r}{3}}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x_0 y_0 z_0) - f(x_0 y_0) z_0 - x_0 f(y_0) z_0 - x_0 f(y_0 z_0)\|_A \\ \leq \theta \cdot \|x_0\|_A^r \cdot \|y_0\|_A^r \cdot \|z_0\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, all $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in A_0$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}} \theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_A^r \quad (4.12)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$, $y = 2x$ and $z = x$ in (4.10), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_A \leq 2^{\frac{r}{3}} \theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (4.13)$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_A \leq \frac{\theta}{4^{\frac{r}{3}}} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_A &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{4^{\frac{r}{3}}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j}{2^{rj}} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.14) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.14), we get (4.12).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (4.10) and (4.11). Then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2-2^r}\|x\|_A^r \quad (4.15)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. It follows from (4.13) that

$$\left\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x)\right\|_A \leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2}\|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x)\right\|_A &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\|\frac{1}{2^j}f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}f(2^{j+1} x)\right\|_A \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{r}{3}}\theta}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{rj}}{2^j} \|x\|_A^r \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.16) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.16), we get (4.15).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.3. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2009-0070788).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.P. Antoine, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, O^* -dynamical systems and $*$ -derivations of unbounded operator algebras, *Math. Nachr.* 204 (1999), 5–28.
- [2] J.P. Antoine, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, *Partial $*$ -Algebras and Their Operator Realizations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [3] C. Baak, Cauchy-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings in Banach spaces, *Acta Math. Sinica.*, 22 (2006), 1789–1796.
- [4] F. Bagarello, Applications of topological $*$ -algebras of unbounded operators, *J. Math. Phys.*, 39 (1998), 6091–6105.
- [5] F. Bagarello, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, Some classes of topological quasi $*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129 (2001), 2973–2980.
- [6] F. Bagarello, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, $*$ -Derivations of quasi- $*$ -algebras, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 21 (2004), 1077–1096.

- [7] F. Bagarello, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, Exponentiating derivations of quasi- $*$ -algebras: possible approaches and applications, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 2005 (2005), 2805–2820.
- [8] F. Bagarello and C. Trapani, States and representations of CQ^* -algebras, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, 61 (1994), 103–133.
- [9] F. Bagarello and C. Trapani, CQ^* -algebras: structure properties, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.*, 32 (1996), 85–116.
- [10] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, London, Singapore and Hong Kong, 2002.
- [11] S. Czerwik, *Stability of Functional Equations of Ulam-Hyers-Rassias Type*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida, 2003.
- [12] R. Farokhzad Rostami and S.A. R. Hosseinioun, Perturbations of Jordan higher derivations in Banach ternary algebras: An alternative fixed point approach, *Internat. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 1 (2010), 42–53.
- [13] R.J. Fleming and J.E. Jamison, *Isometries on Banach Spaces: Function Spaces*, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 129, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, London, New York and Washington D.C., 2003.
- [14] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14 (1991), 431–434.
- [15] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), 431–436.
- [16] N. Ghobadipour and C. Park, *Cubic-quartic functional equations in fuzzy normed spaces*, *Internat. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 1 (2010), 12–21.
- [17] M.E. Gordji, S.K. Gharetapeh, J.M. Rassias and S. Zolfaghari, Solution and stability of a mixed type additive, quadratic and cubic functional equation, *Advances in Difference Equations* 2009, Art. ID 826130, (2009).
- [18] M.E. Gordji, J.M. Rassias and N. Ghobadipour, Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of generalized (N, K) -derivations, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2009, Art. ID 437931, (2009).
- [19] M.E. Gordji, S. Zolfaghari, J.M. Rassias and M.B. Savadkouhi, Solution and stability of a mixed type cubic and quartic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2009, Art. ID 417473, (2009).
- [20] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 27 (1941), 222–224.
- [21] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [22] D.H. Hyers and Th.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.*, 44 (1992), 125–153.
- [23] S. Jung, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen’s equation and its application, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126 (1998), 3137–3143.
- [24] S. Jung and J.M. Rassias, A fixed point approach to the stability of a functional equation of the spiral of Theodorus, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2008, Art. ID 945010, (2008).
- [25] H. Khodaei and Th.M. Rassias, Approximately generalized additive functions in several variables, *Internat. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 1 (2010), 22–41.
- [26] C. Park, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 275 (2002), 711–720.
- [27] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, 36 (2005), 79–97.
- [28] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations, *J. Lie Theory*, 15 (2005), 393–414.
- [29] C. Park, Isomorphisms between unital C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 307 (2005), 753–762.

- [30] C. Park, Isomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras, *J. Math. Phys.*, 47, Art. ID 103512, (2006).
- [31] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 46 (1982), 126–130.
- [32] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 108 (1984), 445–446.
- [33] J.M. Rassias, Solution of a problem of Ulam, *J. Approx. Theory*, 57 (1989), 268–273.
- [34] J.M. Rassias, Solution of a stability problem of Ulam, *Discuss. Math.* 12 (1992), 95–103.
- [35] J.M. Rassias, Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings, *Glasnik Matematički*, 34 (1999), 243–252.
- [36] J.M. Rassias, Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings, *Glasnik Matematički*, 36 (2001), 63–72.
- [37] J.M. Rassias and M.J. Rassias, Asymptotic behavior of alternative Jensen and Jensen type functional equations, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 129 (2005), 545–558.
- [38] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1978), 297–300.
- [39] Th.M. Rassias, Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27th International Symp. on Functional Equations, *Aequationes Math.*, 39 (1990), 292–293.
- [40] Th.M. Rassias, The problem of S.M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 246 (2000), 352–378.
- [41] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 251 (2000), 264–284.
- [42] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam, *Acta Appl. Math.*, 62 (2000), 23–130.
- [43] Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003.
- [44] Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, On the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 173 (1993), 325–338.
- [45] F. Skof, Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano*, 53 (1983), 113–129.
- [46] C. Trapani, Quasi- $*$ -algebras of operators and their applications, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 7 (1995), 1303–1332.
- [47] C. Trapani, Some seminorms on quasi- $*$ -algebras, *Studia Math.*, 158 (2003), 99–115.
- [48] C. Trapani, Bounded elements and spectrum in Banach quasi $*$ -algebras, *Studia Math.*, 172 (2006), 249–273.
- [49] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1960.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR NATURAL SCIENCES, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 133-791, SOUTH KOREA

E-mail address: baak@hanyang.ac.kr

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEJEON 305-764, SOUTH KOREA

E-mail address: dhboo@cnu.ac.kr