

## SOME PROPERTIES OF $B$ -CONVEXITY

HONGMIN SUO<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

*Communicated by S. S. Chang*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a characteristic of  $B$ -convexity structures of finite dimensional  $B$ -spaces: if a finite dimensional  $B$ -space has the weak selection property then its  $B$ -convexity structure satisfies  $H$ -condition. We also get some relationships among  $B$ -convexity structures, selection property and fixed point property. We show that in a compact convex subset of a finite dimensional  $B$ -space satisfying  $H$ -condition the weak selection property implies the fixed point property.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The convexity of space plays a very important role in fixed point theory and continuous selection theory. There were many works deal with various kinds of generalized, topological, or axiomatically defined convexities[1, 2, 3, 4]. Most of them were to establish various fixed point theorems and selection theorems in topological space without linear structure such as some generalizations of Brouwer fixed point theorem, Fan-Browder fixed point theorem and Michael selection theorem[2, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Recently, Bricc[2] introduced the  $B$ -convexity by algebra borrows from topological ordered vector spaces and semilattice both. Bricc proved that all the basic results related to fixed point theorems available in  $B$ -convexity[2].

The aim of this paper is to give some relationships among  $B$ -convexity structure, selection property and fixed point theorems. We prove that if  $X$  is a  $B$ -space

---

*Date:* Received: 2 January 2009; Revised: 5 March 2009.

\*Corresponding author. Supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of GuiZhou (No.2008(2050)).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46A55; Secondary 47H10, 54H25.

*Key words and phrases.*  $B$ -Convexity, continuous selection, fixed point,  $KKM$ -mapping.

with  $B$ -convexity and of weak selection property with respect to any standard simplex  $\Delta_N$  then  $X$  satisfies  $H$ -condition, and we show that in a compact convex subset of a  $B$ -space with  $B$ -convexity structure the weak selection property implies the fixed point property.

A  $B$ -convex set can be seen as an abstract cone, in as much as we have a partial order and a multiplication by positive reals compatible with that partial order, we will remain in the finite dimensional setting of  $R^n$  with its natural partial order. Let  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  be two positive integers whose sum is  $n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} R_-^{n_1} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}) \in R^{n_1} \quad \max\{x_i\} \leq 0\}, \\ R_+^{n_2} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n_2}) \in R^{n_2} \quad \max\{x_i\} \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We identify  $R_-^{n_1} \times R_+^{n_2}$  with an octant of  $R^n$ . For  $t \in R_+$  and  $x \in R_-^{n_1} \times R_+^{n_2}$ ,  $tx$  is usual multiplication by a scalar, for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R_-^{n_1} \times R_+^{n_2}$ , we let  $x \vee y$  be the element of  $R_-^{n_1} \times R_+^{n_2}$  defined in the following way:

$$(x \vee y)_j = \begin{cases} \min\{x_j, y_j\} & \text{if } j \leq n_1 \\ \max\{x_j, y_j\} & \text{if } j > n_1. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Then one can easily see that:

(A)  $(x, y) \rightarrow x \vee y$  is associative, commutative, and idempotent, and also continuous, and  $x \vee 0 = x$  for all  $R_-^{n_1} \times R_+^{n_2}$ .

(B) For  $t \in R_+$ , the map  $t \rightarrow tx$  is continuous and order preserving, and for all  $t_1, t_2$  in  $R_+$  and for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R_-^{n_1} \times R_+^{n_2}$ ,  $(t_1 t_2)x = t_1(t_2 x)$  and  $t(x \vee y) = (tx) \vee (ty)$ .

A finite dimensional  $B$ -space (of type  $(n_1, n_2)$ ) is, by definition, a subset  $X$  of  $R_-^{n_1} \times R_+^{n_2}$  such that:

(BS)  $0 \in X, \forall t \geq 0$  and  $\forall x \in X, tx \in X$  and  $\forall x, y \in X, x \vee y \in X$ .

For a subset  $B$  of  $X$  the following properties are equivalent[1]:

(B1)  $\forall x, y \in B, tx \vee y \in B \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$ ,

(B2)  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_m \in B$ , and  $\forall t_1, \dots, t_m \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{t_i\} = 1, \quad t_1 x_1 \vee \dots \vee t_m x_m = \vee t_i x_i \in B.$$

**Definition 1.1.** A subset of  $X$  for which (B1) or (B2) holds is called  $B$ -convex[1].

For example (B1) holds for increasing set ( $S$  is increasing if  $x \leq y$  and  $x \in S$  implies  $y \in S$ ). Sets of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i]$  are  $B$ -convex in  $R_+^n$ .

Since an arbitrary intersection of  $B$ -convex sets is  $B$ -convex, and arbitrary set  $S \subset X$  is always contained in a smallest  $B$ -convex subset of  $X$ , we call that set the  $B$ -convex hull of  $S$ , it is denoted by  $[S]$ . From (B2) one has the following characterization:

The  $B$ -convex hull of  $S$  it is the set of all elements of the form  $t_1x_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_mx_m$  with  $x_i \in S$  and  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{t_j\} = 1$ ,  $t_i \in [0, 1]$ .

$B$ -convex sets also are contractible[2]. We recall that a set  $A$  is contractible if there exists a continuous map  $h : A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  such that the map  $a \rightarrow h(a, 0)$  is constant and  $a \rightarrow h(a, 1)$  is the identity map of  $A$ .

For finite dimensional  $B$ -space  $X$  we define a map as follows:

$$(K(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} x \vee 2ty & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ (2 - 2t)x \vee y & \text{if } 1/2 < t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

To see that a  $B$ -convex set  $B$  is contractible one fixes  $x_0 \in B$  and take  $h(x, t) = K(x_0, x, t)$ .

Other properties of  $B$ -convex and foxed points theorem and related matters in the framework of  $B$ -convexity see [2].

A topological space  $X$  with a convexity structure  $C$  (e.g.  $B$ -convexity) is said to be of weak selection property with respect to  $S$  if every multivalued mapping  $F : S \rightarrow 2^X$  admits a singlevalued continuous selection whenever  $F$  is lower semicontinuous and nonempty closed convex valued.  $(X, C)$  is said to be of weak selection property with respect to  $S$  if  $F : S \rightarrow 2^X$  admits a singlevalued continuous selection whenever  $F$  is multivalued mapping with nonempty convex images and preimages relatively open in  $X$  (i.e.,  $F(x)$  is convex for each  $x \in S$  and  $F^{-1}$  is open in  $S$ ).  $X$  is said to be of fixed point property if every continuous selfmap  $F$  on  $X$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

Let  $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\Delta_N = e^0e^1 \cdots e^n$  be the standard simplex of dimension  $n$ , where  $\{e^0e^1 \cdots e^n\}$  is the canonical basis of  $R^{n+1}$ , and for  $J \subset N$ , and  $\Delta_N = \text{co}\{e^j : j \in J\}$  be a face of  $\Delta_N$ . For each  $x \in e^0e^1 \cdots e^n$ , there is a unique set of numbers  $t_0, \dots, t_n$  with,  $\sum_{t=0}^n t_i = 1$ ,  $t_i \geq 0, i \in N$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=0}^n t_i e^i$ . The coefficients  $t_0, \dots, t_n$  are called the barycentric coordinates of  $x$ . Let

$$\chi(v) = \{i : v = \sum_{i=0}^n t_i e^i, t_i \geq 0\}.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be some simplicial subdivision of standard simplex  $\Delta_N = e^0e^1 \cdots e^n$ ,  $\nu$  denote the collection of all vertices of all subsimplexes in in the subdivision. A function  $\lambda : \nu \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  satisfying

$$\lambda(v) \in \chi(v), \forall v \in \nu,$$

is called a normal labeling of this subdivision. Moreover,  $T_i$  is called a completely labeled subsimplex or completely labeled lattice if  $T_i$  must have vertices with the complete set of labels:  $0, 1, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be any simplicial subdivision of  $\Delta_N$  and normally labeled by a function  $\lambda$ . Then there exist odd numbers of completely labeled subsimplices of lattices in the subdivision with respect to the labeling function  $\lambda$ .*

Last theorem is famous Sperner's lemma[3].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $Y$  be a topological space. For each  $J \subset N$ , let  $\Gamma_J$  be a nonempty contractible subset of  $Y$ . If  $\emptyset \neq J \subset J' \subset N$  implies  $\Gamma_J \subset \Gamma_{J'}$ , then there exists a continuous mapping  $f$  such that  $f(\Delta_J) \subset \Gamma_J$  for each nonempty subset  $J \subset N$ .*

This is Horvath' lemma[6, 7].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

According to Horvath's lemma, we call that a finite dimensional  $B$ -space satisfies  $H$ -condition if the  $B$ -convexity has the following property:

( $H$ ) For each finite subset  $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$ , there exists a continuous mapping  $f : \Delta_N \rightarrow [\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}]$  such that  $f(\Delta_J) \subset [y_j : j \in J]$  for each nonempty subset  $J \subset N$ .

Now, we first prove the crucial result of this section as below.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If a finite dimensional  $B$ -space  $Y$  with  $B$ -convexity is of weak selection property with respect to any standard simplex, then a finite dimensional  $B$ -space  $Y$  satisfies  $H$ -condition.*

*Proof.* . Let  $A = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be any finite subset of  $Y$ ,  $\Delta_N = e^0 e^1 \dots e^n$  the standard simplex of dimension  $n$ . For each  $J \subset N$  and each face  $\Delta_J$  of  $\Delta_N$ , denote the interior of  $\Delta_J$  by

$$\Delta_J^0 = \{v \in \Delta_J : \chi(v) = J\}.$$

Define  $T : \Delta_N \rightarrow 2^Y$  as follows:

$$T(x) = [\{y_j : j \in \chi(x)\}], \quad x \in \Delta_N.$$

It is routinely to check that  $T$  is with nonempty convex images and preimages relatively open in  $\Delta_N$ . In fact, for each  $y \in Y$  and each  $x \in T^{-1}(y)$ , there is only one face  $\Delta_J$ ,  $J = \chi(x)$  such that  $x \in \Delta_J^0$ . So  $x \notin \Delta_{J'}$  for any face  $\Delta_{J'}$  not containing  $\Delta_J$ . For any  $\Delta_{J'} \supset \Delta_J$ , there exists a neighborhood  $O(x) \subset \Delta_N$  of  $x$  such that  $O(x) \cap \Delta_{J'} = \emptyset$  as every face  $\Delta_{J'}$  is closed and the number of faces  $\Delta_N$  of is finite. Therefore, for any  $z \in O(x)$ , any face  $\Delta_{J'}$  contains  $z$  only if  $\Delta_J \subset \Delta_{J'}$ . Then for each  $z \in O(x)$ ,  $z \in \Delta_{\chi(z)}$  implies  $\Delta_{\chi(z)} \supset \Delta_J$ , So that  $\chi(z) \supset J = \chi(x)$ . It follow that  $T(z) \supset T(x)$  for all  $z \in O(x)$ , and so  $y \in T(x) \subset T(z)$ , i.e.,  $z \in T^{-1}(y)$  for all  $z \in O(x)$ . . Hence  $T^{-1}(y)$  is relatively

open in  $\Delta_N$ .

In addition, it is obvious that  $T$  is nonempty closed and convex. Since  $Y$  is of selection property with respect to any standard simplex, there exists a single-valued continuous mapping  $f : \Delta_N \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) \in T(x)$  for all  $x \in \Delta_N$ . The definition of  $T$  implies that  $f(\Delta_J) \subset [\{y_j : j \in J\}]$  for each nonempty subset  $J \subset N$ , which complete the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** . *If a finite dimensional  $B$ -space  $Y$  with  $B$ -convexity is of weak selection property with respect to any compact Hausdorff space, then a finite dimensional  $B$ -space  $Y$  satisfies  $H$ -condition.*

*Proof.* . It is immediate from Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a subset of a finite dimensional  $B$ -space  $Y$ . A multivalued mapping  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is called a  $KKM$ -mapping if  $[A] \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} F(x)$  for each finite subset  $A \subset X$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  is subset of a finite dimensional  $Y$   $B$ -space satisfying  $H$ -condition and  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  is a  $KKM$ -mapping. If  $F$  is closed-valued, then family  $\{F(y) : y \in Y\}$  has the finite intersection property.*

*Proof.* . Let  $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be arbitrary finite subset of  $X$ . Since  $Y$  satisfies  $H$ -condition, there exists a singlevalued continuous mapping  $f : \Delta_N \rightarrow [\{y_0, \dots, y_n\}]$  such that  $f(\Delta_J) \subset [\{y_j : j \in J\}]$  for each nonempty subset  $J \subset N$ .

For each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and each  $\varepsilon_k = 1/k \geq 0$ , let  $\{T_i^k : i \in I_k\}$  be some simplicial subdivision of  $\Delta_N$  such that the mesh of the subdivision less than  $1/2^k$ . And let  $\nu^k$  be the set of vertices of all subsimplexes in this subdivision.

For each  $v \in \nu^k$ , let

$$\lambda^k(v) = \min\{j \in \chi(v) : f(v) \in F(y_j)\}.$$

Then  $\lambda^k(v)$  is nonempty, since  $v \in \text{conv}\{e^j : j \in \chi(v)\}$  and

$$f(v) \in f([\{e^j : j \in \chi(v)\}]) \subset [\{y_j : j \in \chi(v)\}] \subset \bigcup_{j \in \chi(v)} F(y_j).$$

By the hypothesis, it is easy to see that  $\lambda^k$  is a normal label function of the subdivision.

So for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , there must exist a subsimplex  $T_{i_k}$  with complete labels by Sperner's Lemma. Let  $z_0^k, \dots, z_n^k$  be all vertices of subsimplex  $T_{i_k}$ , and

$$\lambda(z_0^k) = 0, \lambda(z_1^k) = 1, \dots, \lambda(z_n^k) = n.$$

By the definition of  $\lambda$ , we have

$$f(z_0^k) \in F(y_0), f(z_1^k) \in F(y_1), \dots, f(z_n^k) \in F(y_n).$$

Note that  $z_0^k, \dots, z_n^k$  are some vertices of subsimplex  $T_{i_k}$ , so that  $d(z_i^k, z_j^k) \leq 1/2^k$ ,  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $\Delta_N$  is compact, we may assume that there

is  $y^* \in \Delta_N$  such that  $z_i^k \rightarrow y^*$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $f(z_i^k) \rightarrow f(y^*)$ . It follows from the closeness of each  $F(y_i)$  that  $f(y^*) \in F(y_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\bigcap_{i \in N} F(y_i) \neq \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** . *Let a finite dimensional B-space  $Y$  satisfying H-condition,  $X$  is a convex compact subset of  $Y$ , and  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$  a multivalued mapping with nonempty convex images and preimages relatively open in  $X$ . Then  $F$  has a fixed point.*

*Proof.* . Since  $X$  is compact and  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} F^{-1}(x)$ , there exists a finite subset  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^n F^{-1}(x_i)$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i=0}^n [X \setminus F^{-1}(x_i)] = \emptyset$ . Let

$$G(x) = [X \setminus F^{-1}(x)], \quad \forall x \in X.$$

With Theorem 2.3, we know that  $G$  is not a  $KKM$ -mapping, so that there exists a finite subset  $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  such that

$$[\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}] \not\subset \bigcup_{i=0}^m G(y_i).$$

Then there is some  $y^* \in [\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}]$  such that  $y^* \notin G(y_i)$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , that is

$$y^* \in F^{-1}(y_i), \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Consequently

$$y^i \in F^*(y), \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Therefore

$$y^* \in [\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m\}] \subset F(y^*).$$

Which complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** . *Let  $X$  be a compact topological space, a finite dimensional B-space  $Y$  satisfying H-condition, and  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  a multivalued mapping with nonempty convex images and preimages relatively open in  $X$ . Then  $F$  has a continuous selection.*

*Proof.* . Since  $X$  is compact and  $X = \bigcup_{y \in Y} F^{-1}(y)$ , there exists a finite subset  $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  of  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^m F^{-1}(y_i)$ . Now let  $\{p_i : i = 0, 1, \dots, m\}$  be a partition of unity subordinate to the finite covering  $\{F^{-1}(y_i) : i = 0, 1, \dots, m\}$ . Define a mapping  $\phi : X \rightarrow \Delta_N$  by

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i(x) e^i, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

On the other hand, since  $Y$  satisfies H-condition, there exists a singlevalued continuous mapping  $f : \Delta_N \rightarrow [\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m\}]$  such that  $s(\Delta_J) \subset [y_j : j \in J]$  for each nonempty subset  $J \subset N$ .

Now our desired mapping  $g$  is given by

$$g = f \circ \phi.$$

In fact, it is easy to verify that  $\phi(x) \in \Delta_{J(x)}$  for each  $x \in X$ , where  $J(x) = \{i \in N : p_i(x) \neq 0\}$ . By the convexity of  $F(x)$ , we do have that  $\{y_j : j \in J(x)\} \subset F(x)$  and thus

$$g(x) = f(\phi(x)) \subset f(\Delta_{J(x)}) \subset [y_j : j \in J] \subset [y_j, p_j(x) \neq 0] \subset [y_j : y_j \in F(x)] \subset F(x).$$

This complete the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. W. Bricc and C.D. Horvath,  $B$ -convexity, Optimization. 53 (2004), 103–127.
2. W. Bricc and C.D. Horvath, Nash points, Ky Fan inequality and equilibria of abstract economies in Max-Plus and B-convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol 341, Issue 1 (2008), 188–199.
3. M. van de Vel, Theory of Convex Structures, North-Holland Mathematical Library. Vol.50, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993. 1, 1, 1.1
4. C.D. Horvath, Contractibility and general convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 156 (1991), 341–357. 1, 1, 1
5. S. Park, Fixed point theorems in locally  $G$ -convex spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 48 (2002), 868–879. 1, 1
6. X.P. Ding and W.A. Kim and K.K. Tan, A New minimax inequality on  $G$ -spaces with applications, Bull. Austra. Math. Soc. 41 (1990), 457–473. 1
7. C. Horvath, Some results on multivalued mappings and inequalities without convexity, in nonlinear and convex analysis, (Ed. B.L. Lin and S. Simons), Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math, Marcel Dekker 1987, 99–106. 1
8. S.-S. Chang, G. X.-Z. Yuan, G.-M. Lee, and Xiao-Lan Zhang, Saddle points and minimax theorems for vector-valued multifunctions on  $H$ -spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 11 (1998), 101–107. 1, 1

1, 1

<sup>1</sup>SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, GUIZHOU UNIVERSITY FOR NATIONALITIES, 550025, GUIYANG, GUIZHOU, CHINA.

<sup>2</sup>SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SOUTHWEST UNIVERSITY, 400715, CHONGQING, CHINA.

*E-mail address:* [gzmssxx88@sina.com](mailto:gzmssxx88@sina.com)