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Abstract

Sufficient conditions are obtained for the permanence of the following nonlinear mutualism model with time varying delay

\[ \frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} = r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \frac{K_1(t) + \alpha_1(t)N_1^\beta_1(t - \tau_2(t))}{1 + N_2^\beta_2(t - \tau_2(t))} - N_1^\delta_1(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right], \]

\[ \frac{dN_2(t)}{dt} = r_2(t)N_2(t) \left[ \frac{K_2(t) + \alpha_2(t)N_2^\beta_2(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 + N_1^\beta_1(t - \tau_1(t))} - N_2^\delta_2(t - \sigma_2(t)) \right], \]

where \( \tau_i, K_i, \alpha_i, \tau_i, \) and \( \sigma_i, i = 1, 2 \) are continuous functions bounded above and below by positive constants, \( \alpha_i > K_i, i = 1, 2, \)
and \( \beta_i, \delta_i, i = 1, 2 \) are all positive constants.
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1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, for a continuous function \( g(t) \), we set

\[ g^\ell = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} g(t), \quad g^u = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} g(t). \]

The aim of this paper is to investigate the persistent property of the following nonlinear mutualism model with time varying delay

\[ \frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} = r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \frac{K_1(t) + \alpha_1(t)N_1^\beta_1(t - \tau_2(t))}{1 + N_2^\beta_2(t - \tau_2(t))} - N_1^\delta_1(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right], \]

\[ \frac{dN_2(t)}{dt} = r_2(t)N_2(t) \left[ \frac{K_2(t) + \alpha_2(t)N_2^\beta_2(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 + N_1^\beta_1(t - \tau_1(t))} - N_2^\delta_2(t - \sigma_2(t)) \right]. \]

We assume that the coefficients of system (1.1) satisfies:

Email address: runxinwu@163.com (Runxin Wu)

doi: 10.22436/jmcs.019.02.07

Received: 2019-01-26 Revised: 2019-01-29 Accepted: 2019-04-30
(A) \(r_{ij}, K_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}, \tau_{ij}\) and \(\sigma_{ij}, i = 1, 2\) are continuous functions bounded above and below by positive constants, \(\alpha_{i} > K_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \) and \(\beta_{ij}, \delta_{ij}, i = 1, 2\) are all positive constants.

Let \(\tau = \sup\{\tau_{i}(t), \sigma_{i}(t), i = 1, 2\}\), we consider (1.1) together with the following initial conditions

\[N_{i}(s) = \varphi_{i}(s) \geq 0, s \in [-\tau, 0], \varphi_{i}(0) > 0.\]  

(1.2)

It is not difficult to see that solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) are well defined for all \(t \geq 0\) and satisfy

\[N_{i}(t) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2.\]

During the past decades, many scholars focused their attention to the study of the dynamic behaviors of the cooperative system, see [1–37]. Stimulated by the work of Gopalsamy [14], Dean [13], Boucher [1], Wolin and Lawlor [1], Li [21], and Li and Xu [24] studied the following two species mutualism model

\[
\frac{dN_{1}(t)}{dt} = r_{1}(t)N_{1}(t) \left[ \frac{K_{1}(t) + \alpha_{1}(t)N_{2}(t - \tau_{2}(t))}{1 + N_{2}(t - \tau_{2}(t))} - N_{1}(t - \sigma_{1}(t)) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{2}(t)}{dt} = r_{2}(t)N_{2}(t) \left[ \frac{K_{2}(t) + \alpha_{2}(t)N_{1}(t - \tau_{1}(t))}{1 + N_{1}(t - \tau_{1}(t))} - N_{2}(t - \sigma_{2}(t)) \right].
\]

(1.3)

Under the assumption \(r_{ij}, K_{ij}, \alpha_{i}\) and \(\tau_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, i = 1, 2\) are continuous periodic functions with common period \(\omega\). \(\alpha_{i} > K_{ij}, i = 1, 2\). By applying the coincidence degree theory, they showed that system (1.3) admits at least one positive \(\omega\)-periodic solution. After that, by constructing some suitable Lyapunov functional, they also obtained a set of sufficient conditions which ensure the global attractivity of the positive periodic solution. After the works of [1, 13, 14, 21, 24, 27, 28], many scholars ([4, 7, 8, 12, 16, 23, 31, 32, 34–36]) done works on this direction. For example, Chen [12] further incorporated the feedback control variables to the system (1.3) and investigated the persistent property of the system. Chen and Xie [8] showed that feedback control variables has no influence to the persistent property of a discrete mutualism model.

It bring to our attention that the model (1.3) is based on the following single species Logistic model:

\[
\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = r(t)N(t) [K(t) - N(t)].
\]

Already, during the past decades, in their series papers, based on the traditional single species Ayala model, Chen and his coauthors ([2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 11, 18–20, 22, 33]) proposed several kind of nonlinear population models, and investigated the extinction, persistent, and stability property of the system. For example, Chen [11] investigated the permanence and extinction of following general nonautonomous \(n\)-species Gilpin-Ayala competition system

\[
\dot{x}_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t) \left[ b_{i}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t)(x_{j}(t))^{\alpha_{ij}} \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where \(b_{i}(t), 1 \leq i \leq n\) and \(a_{ij}(t), i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\) are continuous for \(c \leq t < +\infty\, \alpha_{ij}\) are positive constants. In [10], Chen et al investigated the extinction property of the following two species competitive model:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) &= x_{1}(t)[r_{1}(t) - a_{11}(t)x_{1}(t) - b_{1}(t)x_{2}(t) - c_{1}(t)x_{1}(t)x_{2}(t)], \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) &= x_{2}(t)[r_{2}(t) - a_{22}(t)x_{1}(t) - b_{2}(t)x_{2}(t) - c_{2}(t)x_{1}(t)x_{2}(t)].
\end{align*}
\]

In [6], Chen and Wu studied the persistent and global stability property of the following \(n\)-species discrete Gilpin-Ayala competition model:

\[
x_{i}(k + 1) = x_{i}(k) \exp \left[ b_{i}(k) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(k)(x_{j}(k))^{\alpha_{ij}} \right],
\]
where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \); \( x_i(k) \) is the density of competition species \( i \) at \( k \)-th generation.

Though much progress has been obtained on the Gilpin-Ayala type system ([2, 3, 5, 6, 9–11, 15, 17–
20, 22, 33, 37]), all of those works are focus on the competition system or predator-prey system, and none of them consider the mutualism model, this motivated us to propose the system (1.1), which is a generalization of system (1.3). Also, as far as population model concerned, the persistent property is one of the most important property of the system, since it represents the long time existence of the species.

The aim of this paper is, by further developing the analysis technique of [2] and the differential inequality theory, to obtain a set of sufficient conditions to ensure the permanence of the system (1.1). More precisely, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Under the assumption (A), system (1.1) is permanent, that is, there exist positive constants \( m_i, M_i, i = 1, 2 \) which are independent of the solutions of system (1.1), such that for any positive solution \( (x_1(t), x_2(t))^T \) of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2), one has:

\[
m_i \leq \liminf_{t \to +\infty} x_i(t) \leq \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x_i(t) \leq M_i, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

2. Proof of the main result

Now let’s state the following lemma which will be useful in the proving of main result.

**Lemma 2.1 ([10]).** If \( a > 0, b > 0 \) and \( \dot{x} \geq x(b - ax^\alpha) \), where \( \alpha \) is a positive constant, when \( t \geq 0 \) and \( x(0) > 0 \), we have

\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \geq \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^{1/\alpha}.
\]

If \( a > 0, b > 0 \) and \( \dot{x} \leq x(b - ax^\alpha) \), where \( \alpha \) is a positive constant, when \( t \geq 0 \) and \( x(0) > 0 \), we have

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^{1/\alpha}.
\]

Now we are in the position of proving the main result of this paper.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Set

\[
\tau = \sup_{t} [\tau_i(t), \sigma_i(t), i = 1, 2].
\]

Let \((N_1(t), N_2(t))\) be any positive solution of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2). From the first equation of system (1.1) and \( \alpha_1(t) > K_1(t) \) it follows that

\[
\frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} = r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \frac{K_1(t) + \alpha_1(t)N_2^{\beta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))}{1 + N_2^{\beta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))} - N_1^{\delta_1}(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
\leq r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \frac{\alpha_1(t) + \alpha_1(t)N_2^{\beta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))}{1 + N_2^{\beta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))} - N_1^{\delta_1}(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right] \leq r_1(t)N_1(t) \alpha_1(t) \leq r_1^u \alpha_1^u N_1(t), \tag{2.1}
\]

Integrating both sides of (2.1) from \( t - \sigma_1(t) \) to \( t \) leads to

\[
\ln \frac{N_1(t)}{N_1(t - \sigma_1(t))} \leq \int_{t - \sigma_1(t)}^{t} r_1^u \alpha_1^u ds \leq r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau,
\]

and so

\[
N_1(t - \sigma_1(t)) \geq N_1(t) \exp(-r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau). \tag{2.2}
\]
Substituting (2.2) into the first equation of system (1.1), it follows that

\[
\frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} \leq r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \alpha_1(t) - N_1^{\delta_1}(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
\leq N_1(t) \left[ r_1^u \alpha_1^u - r_1^l N_1^{\delta_1}(t \exp[-r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau]) \delta_1 \right]
= N_1(t) \left[ r_1^l \alpha_1^u - r_1^l N_1^{\delta_1}(t \exp[-\delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau]) \right].
\] (2.3)

Thus, as a direct corollary of Lemma 2.1, according to (2.3), one has

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} N_1(t) \leq \left( \frac{r_1^u \alpha_1^u}{r_1^l} \exp(\delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau) \right) \frac{r_1^l}{r_1^u} = \left( \frac{r_1^u \alpha_1^u}{r_1^l} \right) \exp(r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau) \overset{\text{def}}{=} M_1.
\] (2.4)

By using the second equation of system (1.1), similarly to the analysis of (2.1)-(2.4), we can obtain

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} N_2(t) \leq \left( \frac{r_2 \alpha_2^u}{r_2^l} \right) \exp(r_2 \alpha_2^u \tau) \overset{\text{def}}{=} M_2.
\] (2.5)

For any small positive constant \( \epsilon > 0 \), from (2.4)-(2.5) it follows that there exists a \( T_1 > 0 \) such that for all \( t > T_1 \) and \( i = 1, 2 \),

\[
N_i(t) < M_i + \epsilon.
\] (2.6)

For \( t \geq T_1 + \tau \), from (2.6) and the first equation of system (1.1), we have

\[
\frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} = r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \frac{K_1(t) + \alpha_1(t) N_2^{\delta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))}{1 + N_2^{\delta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))} - N_1^{\delta_1}(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
\geq r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \frac{K_1(t) + K_1(t) N_2^{\delta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))}{1 + N_2^{\delta_1}(t - \tau_2(t))} - N_1^{\delta_1}(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
= r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ K_1(t) - N_1^{\delta_1}(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
\geq N_1(t) \left[ r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \epsilon)^{\delta_1} \right].
\] (2.7)

Noting that

\[
r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \epsilon)^{\delta_1} \leq r_1^u K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \epsilon)^{\delta_1}
\leq r_1^u (K_1^l - (M_1 + \epsilon)^{\delta_1})
\leq r_1^u (K_1^l - (M_1)^{\delta_1})
\leq r_1^u \left( K_1^l - \frac{r_1^u \alpha_1^u}{r_1^l} \exp(\delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau) \right)
\leq r_1^u \left( K_1^l - \alpha_1^u \right) \leq 0.
\] (2.8)

Integrating both sides of (2.7) from \( t - \sigma_1(t) \) to \( t \) leads to

\[
\ln \frac{N_1(t)}{N_1(t - \sigma_1(t))} \geq \int_{t - \sigma_1(t)}^t \left[ r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \epsilon)^{\delta_1} \right] ds \geq \left[ r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \epsilon)^{\delta_1} \right] \tau,
\]

and so

\[
N_1(t - \sigma_1(t)) \leq N_1(t) \exp \left\{ - \left[ r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \epsilon)^{\delta_1} \right] \tau \right\}.
\] (2.9)
Substituting \((2.9)\) into the first equation of system \((1.1)\), using \((2.9)\), for \(t \geq T_1 + \tau\), it follows that

\[
\frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} \geq r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ \frac{K_1(t) + K_1(t)N_2^\delta(t - \tau(t))}{1 + N_2^\delta(t - \tau(t))} - N_1^\delta(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
\]

\[
= r_1(t)N_1(t) \left[ K_1(t) - N_1^\delta(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
\]

\[
\geq N_1(t) \left[ r_1^\delta K_1^\delta - r_1^\mu N_1^\delta(t - \sigma_1(t)) \right]
\]

\[
\geq N_1(t) \left[ r_1^\delta K_1^\delta - r_1^\mu (M_1 + \epsilon)^\delta \delta_1 \tau \right],
\]

thus, as a direct corollary of Lemma 2.1, according to \((2.10)\), one has

\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} N_1(t) \geq \left( \frac{r_1^\delta K_1^\delta}{r_1^\mu} \exp \left\{ \left[ r_1^\delta K_1^\delta - r_1^\mu (M_1 + \epsilon)^\delta \delta_1 \tau \right] \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{r_1^\delta K_1^\delta}{r_1^\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}} \exp \left\{ \left[ r_1^\delta K_1^\delta - r_1^\mu (M_1 + \epsilon)^\delta \right] \tau \right\}. \tag{2.11}
\]

Setting \(\epsilon \to 0\), it follows that

\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} N_1(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r_1^\delta K_1^\delta}{r_1^\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}} \exp \left\{ \left[ r_1^\delta K_1^\delta - r_1^\mu (M_1)^\delta \right] \tau \right\} \overset{\text{def}}{=} m_1. \tag{2.12}
\]

Similarly to the analysis of \((2.7)-(2.12)\), by applying \((2.6)\), from the second equation of system \((1.1)\), we can also have

\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} N_2(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r_2^\delta K_2^\delta}{r_2^\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_2}} \exp \left\{ \left[ r_2^\delta K_2^\delta - r_2^\mu (M_2)^\delta \right] \tau \right\} \overset{\text{def}}{=} m_2. \tag{2.13}
\]

\((2.4)-(2.5)\) and \((2.12)-(2.13)\) show that under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, system \((1.1)\) is permanent. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

\[\square\]

3. Numeric simulations

In this section we will give an example to show the feasibility of the Theorem 1.1.

Example 3.1.

\[
\frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} = N_1(t) \left[ \frac{2 + (4 - \frac{1}{2} \cos(t))N_2^\delta(t)}{1 + N_2^\delta(t)} - N_1^\delta(t) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{dN_2(t)}{dt} = N_2(t) \left[ \frac{1 + (3 + \frac{1}{10} \sin(t))N_1^\delta(t)}{1 + N_1^\delta(t)} - N_2^\delta(t) \right]. \tag{3.1}
\]

Corresponding to system \((1.1)\), one has

\[
r_1(t) = r_2(t) = 1, \quad \alpha_1(t) = 4 - \frac{1}{2} \cos(t), \quad \alpha_2(t) = 3 + \frac{1}{10} \sin(t), \quad K_1(t) = 2, \quad K_2(t) = 1.
\]

Obviously, \(\alpha_i(t) > K_i(t), i = 1, 2\), hence, the conditions of Theorem 1.1 hold, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that system \((3.1)\) is permanent. Figs. 1 and 2 also support this assertion.
Figure 1: Dynamic behavior of the first species in system (3.1) with the initial conditions \((N_1(0), N_2(0)) = (5, 5), (1, 1), (15, 13), (30, 15), \text{ and } (20, 20), \text{ respectively.}\)

Figure 2: Dynamic behavior of the second species in system (3.1) with the initial conditions \((N_1(0), N_2(0)) = (5, 5), (1, 1), (15, 13), (30, 15), \text{ and } (20, 20), \text{ respectively.}\)

4. Discussion

Li [21] proposed a model of mutualism (i.e., system (1.3)). Under the assumption \(\alpha_i > K_i, i = 1, 2,\) by using the coincidence degree theory, they showed that the system admits at least one positive periodic solution. In this paper, we generalize the system (1.3) to the nonlinear case. By using the theory of differential inequality, and applying the analysis technique of Chen [2], we also obtain a set of sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence of the system.

Numeric simulations (Example 3.1) supports our finding. At the end of the paper, we would like to mention that from Figs. 1 and 2, one could see that system (3.1) admits unique positive periodic solution which is globally attractive, hence, it seems interesting to investigate the stability property of the system (1.1), we leave this for future investigation.
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