

The Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Vol. 4 No.3 (2012) 295 - 300

On Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds

A. Taleshian^{*,1} and N. Asghari²

 Department of Mathematics, University of Mazandaran, P.O.Box 47416-1467, Mazandaran, Iran taleshian@umz.ac.ir
 Department of Mathematics, University of Mazandaran, P.O.Box 47416-1467, Mazandaran, Iran. nasgharigm2009@yahoo.com

Received: February 2012, Revised: May 2012 Online Publication: July 2012

Abstract

We study Ricci-semi symmetric, ϕ -Ricci semisymmetric and ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds. Also, we study a Lorentzia α -Sasakian manifold satisfies $S(X,\xi).R = 0$.

keywords: Ricci semisymmetric Lorentzia α -Sasakian manifold, ϕ -Ricci symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold.

1 Introduction

The notion of local symmetry of Rimannian manifolds have been weakened by many authors in several ways to the different extent. As a weaker version of local symmetry, Takahashi [6], introduced the notion of locally ϕ -symmetry on sasakian manifolds. In respect of contact Geometry, the notion of ϕ -symmetry was introduced and studied by Boeckx, Buecken and Vanhecke [2], with several examples. In [3], De studied the notion of ϕ -symmetry with several examples for Kenmotsu manifolds. In 1977, Adati and Matsumoto defined Para-sasakian manifold and special Para-Sasakian manifolds [4], which are special classes of an almost para contact manifold introduced by sato [5].

Corresponding author

2 Preliminaries

A differentiable manifold M of dimension n is called a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold if it admits a (1,1) tensor filed ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy [4, 7]

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.5}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$. From the above relations it follows that a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold satisfies

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha \phi X \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(X, Y), \tag{2.7}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = \alpha g(X, Y) \xi - \alpha \eta(Y) X, \qquad (2.8)$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g.

Also, a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M is said to be η -Einstein if its Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$
(2.9)

for any vector fields X, Y where a, b are functions on M. Further, on such an From the above relations it follows that a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold satisfies the following relations hold[7]

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \alpha^{2}(\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y),$$
(2.10)

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^{2}(g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X),$$
(2.11)

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = \alpha^{2}(X + \eta(X)\xi),$$
(2.12)

$$S(X,\xi) = (n-1)\alpha^2 \eta(X),$$
 (2.13)

$$Q\xi = (n-1)\alpha^2\xi, \tag{2.14}$$

$$S(\xi,\xi) = -(n-1)\alpha^2,$$
 (2.15)

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)\alpha^2 \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$
(2.16)

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, where R(X,Y)Z is the curvature tensor, and S is the Ricci tensor.

Definition 2.1 An *n*-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is said to be an Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor satisfies the condition

$$S(X,Y) = \lambda g(X,Y), \tag{2.17}$$

where λ is a constant.

Definition 2.2 A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is said to be Ricci-semi symmetric if its Ricci tensor satisfies the condition

$$R(X,Y).S = 0,$$
 (2.18)

for any vector fields X, Y.

3 Main Results

In this section, we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 3.1 Let *M* be an *n*-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold. If *M* is Ricci semisymmetric then it is an η -Einstein manifold.

Proof. Suppose that M is Ricci semisymmetric then in view of (2.18) we have

R(X,Y).S=0,

this implies that

$$S(R(X,Y)U,V) + S(U,R(X,Y)V) = 0.$$
(3.1)

Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.1) we get

$$S(R(\xi, Y)U, V) + S(U, R(\xi, Y)V) = 0.$$
(3.2)

Using (2.11) in (3.2) we get

$$S(\alpha^{2}(g(Y,U)\xi + \eta(U)Y), V) + S(U,\alpha^{2}(g(Y,V)\xi + \eta(V)Y)) = 0,$$

which implies

$$0 = \alpha^{2} g(Y,U) S(\xi,V) + \alpha^{2} \eta(U) S(Y,V)$$

$$+ \alpha^{2} g(Y,V) S(U,\xi) + \alpha^{2} \eta(V) S(U,Y),$$
(3.3)

Putting $U = \xi$ in (3.3) and using (2.2), (2.5) and (2.13) we obtain

$$S(Y,V) = -(n-1)\alpha^2 g(Y,V) + 2(n-1)\alpha^2 \eta(Y)\eta(V).$$

Therefore, in view of (2.9), M is an η -Einstein manifold. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Definition 3.2 A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M is said to be ϕ -Ricci symmetric if the Ricci operator satisfies

$$\phi^2((\nabla_X Q)(Y)) = 0,$$

for all vector fields X and Y on M and S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) [4]. If X and Y are orthogonal to ξ , then manifold is said to be locally ϕ -Ricci symmetric.

Theorem 3.3 An *n*-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is ϕ -Ricci symmetric if and only if manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Proof. Suppose that the manifold is ϕ -Ricci symmetric then in view of Definition 3.2 we have

$$\phi^2((\nabla_X Q)(Y)) = 0$$

Using (2.1) in above equation we obtain

$$(\nabla_{X}Q)(Y) + \eta((\nabla_{X}Q)(Y))\xi = 0.$$
Taking inner product of (3.4) with Z we get
$$(3.4)$$

$$g((\nabla_X Q)(Y), Z) + \eta((\nabla_X Q)(Y))\eta(Z) = 0,$$

which implies

$$g(\nabla_{X}Q(Y) - Q(\nabla_{X}Y), Z) + \eta((\nabla_{X}Q)(Y))\eta(Z) = 0,$$
which on simplifying gives

$$g(\nabla_{X}Q(Y), Z) - S(\nabla_{X}Y, Z) + \eta((\nabla_{X}Q)(Y))\eta(Z) = 0.$$
(3.5)
Replacing Y by ξ in (3.5) we get

$$g(\nabla_{X}Q(\xi), Z) - S(\nabla_{X}\xi, Z) + \eta((\nabla_{X}Q)(\xi))\eta(Z) = 0.$$
(3.6)
Using (2.4), (2.13) and (2.14) in (3.6) we obtain

$$-(n-1)\alpha^{3}g(\phi X, Z) + \alpha S(\phi X, Z) + \eta((\nabla_{X}Q)(\xi))\eta(Z) = 0.$$
(3.7)
Replacing Z by ϕZ in (3.7) we get

$$S(\phi X, \phi Z) = (n-1)\alpha^{2}g(\phi X, \phi Z).$$
(3.8)
Using (2.3) and (2.16) in (3.8) we obtain

$$S(X, Z) = (n-1)\alpha^{2}g(X, Z).$$
Therefore the manifold is an Einstein manifold

Therefore, the manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Next, suppose that the manifold is an Einstein manifold. Then in view of (2.17) we have $S(X,Y) = \lambda g(X,Y)$, wher S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) and λ is constant. Hence $QX = \lambda X$. Therefore, we obtain $\phi^2((\nabla_X Q)(Y)) = 0$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.4 An *n*-dimensional (n > 3), Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold satisfying the condition $S(X,\xi).R = 0$ is an η -Einstein manifold.

Proof. Since
$$S(X,\xi).R = 0$$
 we have

$$S(X,\xi).R)(U,V)Z = 0,$$
which implies

$$0 = ((X \wedge_S \xi).R)(U,V)Z$$

$$= (X \wedge_S \xi)R(U,V)Z + R((X \wedge_S \xi)U,V)Z$$

$$+ R(U,(X \wedge_S \xi)V)Z + R(U,V)(X \wedge_S \xi)Z,$$
(3.9)
where endomorphism $X \wedge_S Y$ is defined by

$$(X \wedge_S Y)Z = S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y.$$
(3.10)
Using (3.10) in (3.9) we get by virtue of (2.13)

$$0 = (n-1)\alpha^2[\eta(R(U,V)Z)X + \eta(U)R(X,V)Z + \eta(V)R(U,X)Z + \eta(V)R(U,X)Z + \eta(Z)R(U,V)X] - S(X,R(U,V)Z)\xi - S(X,U)R(\xi,V)Z - S(X,V)R(U,\xi)Z - S(X,Z)R(U,V)\xi,$$
taking the inner product with ξ we obtain

$$0 = (n-1)\alpha^2[\eta(R(U,V)Z)\eta(X) + \eta(U)\eta(R(X,V)Z) + \eta(V)\eta(R(U,X)Z) + \eta(V)\eta(R(U,X)Z) + \eta(Z)\eta(R(U,V)X)] + S(X,R(U,V)Z) - S(X,Z)\eta(R(U,V)X)] + S(X,R(U,V)Z) - S(X,Z)\eta(R(U,V)\xi).$$
Putting $U = Z = \xi$ in the above equation an using (2.10)-(2.13) we get

$$0 = (n-1)\alpha^2[-2\alpha^2\eta(V)\eta(X) + \alpha^2g(V,X) - \alpha^2\eta(V)\eta(X)]$$

+ $(n-1)\alpha^4\eta(V)\eta(X) + \alpha^2S(X,V)$,

with simplify of the last equation we have

 $S(X,V) = -(n-1)\alpha^2 g(X,V) + 2(n-1)\alpha^2 \eta(X)\eta(V).$

Therefore, in view of (2.9) manifold is an η -Einstein manifold. The proof is complete.

Definition 3.5 A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M is said to be ϕ -symmetric if

 $\phi^2((\nabla_w R)(X,Y)Z) = 0,$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M [6].

Theorem 3.6 A ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

Proof. If manifold is ϕ -symmetric then in view of Definition 3.5 we have

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W R)(X,Y)Z) = 0,$$

by virtue of (2.1) we get

$$(\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z + \eta((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z)\xi = 0,$$

taking inner product with U, we obtain

$$g((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z,U) + \eta((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z)g(\xi,U) = 0.$$
 (3.11)

Let $\{e_i\}$, i=1,2,...,n, be an orthonormal basis of tangent space at any point of the manifold. Then by putting $X = U = e_i$ in (3.11) and taking summation over i, $1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y,Z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta((\nabla_W R)(e_i,Y)Z)g(\xi,e_i) = 0.$$

Replacing $Z = \xi$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$(\nabla_{W}S)(Y,\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta((\nabla_{W}R)(e_{i},Y)\xi)g(\xi,e_{i}) = 0.$$
(3.12)

The second term of (3.12), takes the form

$$\eta((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi) = g(\nabla_W R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(\nabla_W e_i, Y)\xi, \xi)$$

$$-g(R(e_i,\nabla_W Y)\xi,\xi)-g(R(e_i,Y)\nabla_W\xi,\xi),$$

with simplify of the above equation we have

$$((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi) = 0.$$
 (3.13)

The equations (3.12) and (3.13) imply that

$$(\nabla_{w}S)(Y,\xi) = 0,$$

which gives

$$\nabla_{W}(S(Y,\xi)) - S(\nabla_{W}Y,\xi) - S(Y,\nabla_{W}\xi) = 0,$$

in view of (2.6) and (2.6) we obtain

$$(n-1)\alpha^{2}\nabla_{W}\eta(Y) - (n-1)\alpha^{2}\eta(\nabla_{W}Y) + \alpha S(Y,\phi W) = 0.$$
(3.14)

Replacing Y by ϕY in (3.14) we get

$$S(\phi Y, \phi W) = (n-1)\alpha g((\nabla_W \phi)Y, \xi).$$
(3.15)

Using (2.2), (2.8) and (2.16) in the above equation we have

 $S(Y,W) = -(n-1)\alpha^2 g(W,Y) - 2(n-1)\alpha^2 \eta(Y)\eta(W).$

This implies that manifold is an η -Einstein.

Reference

[1] Adati, T. and Matsumoto, K., *On conformally recurrent and conformally symmetric P-Sasakian manifolds, TRU Math.*, 13 (1977), 25-32.

[2] Boeckx, E., Buecken, P. and vanhecke, L., ϕ -symmetric contact metric spaces, glasgow *Math. J.*, 41 (1999), 409-416.

[3] De, U.C., $On\phi$ -symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds, International Electronic J. Math., Vol. 1, No. 1, (2009), 33-38.

[4] De, U. C. and Sarkar, A., $On\phi$ -Ricci symmetric Sasakian manifolds, Proceedings of the Janieon Math. Soc., 11 (1) (2008), 47-52.

[5] Sato, I., On a structure similar to the almost contact structure, Tensor, (N.S.), 30 (1976), 219-224.

[6] Takahashi T., Sasakian ϕ -symmetric spaces, Tohoku Math. J. 29(1977), 91-113.

[7] Yildiz, A. and Turan, M., A class of Lorenzian α -Sasakian manifolds, Kyungpook Math. J. 49 (2009), 789-799.