Online: ISSN 2008-949X



Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science

Journal Homepage: www.isr-publications.com/jmcs





An outlook on stability of implicit θ -Caputo fractional differential equation with application involving the Riemann-Stieltjes type

A. M. A. El-Sayed^a, Sh. M. Al-Issa^{b,c,*}, I. H. Kaddoura^{b,c}, Z. A. Sleiman^b

^a Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt. ^b Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Lebanese International University, Saida, Lebanon. ^c Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, The International University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon.

Abstract

The objective of the current article is to guarantee the solvability of implicit θ -Caputo fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions. We establish the necessary conditions to guarantee unique solutions and demonstrate Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability. Additionally, we include examples to illustrate the key findings.

Keywords: θ-Caputo fractional operator, mild solution, Ulam stability, Riemann-Stieltjes. **2020 MSC:** 26A33, 34A60, 45G05.

©2024 All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus is a potent tool in applied mathematics, offering a way to analyze a wide range of problems in various scientific and technical fields. Fractional derivatives have yielded significant results in [5, 18–23]. The study of partial fractional differential equations, as well as ordinary differential equations, has made substantial progress in recent years. For further exploration, one could refer to the monographs by Abbas et al. [2], Baleanu et al. [17], Kilbas et al. [26], Lakshmikantham et al. [28], and numerous researchers have contributed highly useful findings in this area [6, 7, 9–12, 25].

During a lecture at Wisconsin University in 1940, Ulam first brought up the subject of stability in functional equations. He asked, "Under what circumstances does the existence of an additive mapping that is close to an essentially additive mapping hold?" (See [33] for more information.) In 1941, Hyers offered the first response to Ulam's query, focusing on the situation involving Banach spaces [29]. Thistype of

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: amasayed@alexu.edu.eg (A. M. A. El-Sayed), shorouk.alissa@liu.edu.lb (Sh. M. Al-Issa) doi: 10.22436/jmcs.034.01.04

Received: 2023-09-04 Revised: 2023-12-06 Accepted: 2024-01-03

stability is referred to as Ulam-Hyers stability. In 1978, Rassias significantly expanded the Ulam-Hyers stability by including variables [14]. The concept of stability in functional equations appears when an inequality is employed as a perturbation instead of the original equation. As a result, the difference between the solutions of the inequality and those of the functional equation that is being presented revolves around the issue of stability in functional equations. Ulam-Hyers and Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability in different types of functional equations have received a lot of attention, as addressed in the monographs by [1, 24, 29].

The Riemann-Liouville derivative has been generalized in the classical papers to include fractional derivatives of a function with regard to function, for example, called it θ . Since the kernel is visible According to θ , In [4], Almeida recently updated his analysis of this derivative and provided a Caputo-type regularization of the previous formulation along with several intriguing features. This operator's properties can be found in [3, 27, 30].

In several references, the authors have discussed the existence, stability, or other qualitative characteristics of solutions to different kinds of integral equations, For further details, the readers can refer to some interesting research papers [8, 13, 31, 32, 35].

Motivated by these works, we study existence and Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of following implicit θ -Caputo fractional differential problem (IFDP).

$${}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathsf{f}\big(\mathfrak{t},\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}),{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\delta;\theta}\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}}\mathsf{k}(\mathfrak{t},\tau){}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}\mathsf{y}(\tau)d\tau\big), \tag{1.1}$$

$$y(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^1 \theta'(\tau) (\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho - 1} h_1(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau,$$
(1.2)

$$y'(1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^1 \theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho - 1} h_2(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau,$$
(1.3)

where $1 < \delta < \gamma \le 2$ and $0 < \rho < 1$, here $\theta(\mathfrak{t})$ is non decreasing function with $\theta'(\mathfrak{t}) \neq 0$, $\forall \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J} = [0, 1]$, and $^{c}D^{\gamma,\theta}$ is the θ -Caputo fractional derivative. In this study, we discuss some results on the stability of solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.3). In order to fulfill these aims, we use the concepts of fixed-point theorem to establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the proposed problem and analyze some stabilities, namely, Hyers–Ulam, and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. We present our results in a general platform, which covers many particular cases for specific values of θ as particular cases of our main result, we obtain implicit θ -Caputo fractional differential problem with nonlocal boundary conditions involving Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, and integral equation of Volterra-Stieltjes type

The paper has been divided under four sections. Two parts make up Section 2, which includes the primary findings. In part one, it was discussed how the integral equation (2.1) and FIDE (1.1)-(1.3) are equivalent. The results of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) are presented in Part two, one utilizing the Banach contraction principle and the other employing the Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. In Section 3, we will also discuss the Ulam-Hyers Russian stability of our problem. Additionally, we provide some specific cases and examples that illustrate our conclusions in Section 4. We terminate the investigation with conclusions.

2. Existence results

This section discusses existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for the Ulam stability for the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

 $(H_1) \ \ \text{Functions} \ h_i :: \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, i = 1,2 \ \text{are continuous, such that there exist constants} \ 0 \leqslant k_i < 1, \ \text{meet}$

$$|\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t},\tau_1)-\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t},\tau_2)| \leq k_{\mathbf{i}}|\tau_1-\tau_2|,$$

note that

$$|h_i(t,\tau)| \leqslant H_i + k_i |\tau|, \ \text{ where } \ H_i = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{J}} |h_i(t,0)|, \ i=1,2$$

(H₂) $f: \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, such that there exists $\psi \in C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}_+)$, with norm $\|\psi\|$, meet

$$|\mathsf{f}(\mathfrak{t},\tau_1,\tau_2,\tau_3)-\mathsf{f}(\mathfrak{t},\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)| \leqslant \psi(\mathfrak{t}) \big(|\tau_1-\sigma_1|+|\tau_2-\sigma_2|+|\tau_3-\sigma_3|\big),$$

note that

$$|f(\mathfrak{t},\tau_1,\tau_2,\tau_3)| \leq \|\psi\|(|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|+|\tau_3|) + \mathsf{F}, \text{ with } \mathsf{F} = \sup_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}} |f(\mathfrak{t},0,0,0)|.$$

 $\forall \ \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}, \ \tau_{\mathfrak{i}}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \mathbb{R}, \ (\mathfrak{i}=1,2,3).$

(H₃) $k(t, \sigma)$ is continuous for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{J}$, with K is a positive constant, such that

$$\max_{\mathfrak{t},\sigma\in\mathbb{J}}|k(\mathfrak{t},\sigma)|=K.$$

Lemma 2.1. The IFDP (1.1)-(1.3) has a mild solution of which it satisfies

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t}, \tau) \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau,$$
(2.1)

here

$$\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t}) = f(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}) + \int_0^1 \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\mathfrak{u}(\tau)d\tau, I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t}), \int_0^\mathfrak{t} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\mathfrak{u}(\tau)d\tau),$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t},\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} - \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-2}}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\gamma-1)}, & 0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant \mathfrak{t} \leqslant 1 \\\\ \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0))(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-2}}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\gamma-1)}, & 0 \leqslant \mathfrak{t} \leqslant \tau \leqslant 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\circ} := \max\{\int_{0}^{1} |\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t},\tau)| \ d\tau, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2)$$

and

$$h(t, y(t)) = \int_0^1 \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_1(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{(\theta(t) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1)} \int_0^1 \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_2(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau.$$
(2.3)

Proof. Let ${}^{c}D^{\gamma,\theta}y(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t})$ in (1.1), then

$$u(\mathfrak{t}) = f(\mathfrak{t}, y(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta; \theta}u(\mathfrak{t}), \int_0^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)u(\tau)d\tau),$$

and

$$y(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{a}_{\circ} + \mathfrak{a}_{1}(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \mathfrak{u}(\tau) d\tau.$$
(2.4)

We can get the following from (1.2) and (1.3)

$$a_{\circ} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} h_{1}(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau,$$

differentiate (2.4) we receive

$$\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{\theta}'(\mathbf{t}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma - 1)} \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{\theta}'(\tau) (\mathbf{\theta}(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{\theta}(\tau))^{\gamma - 2} \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau.$$

So,

$$a_{1} = \frac{1}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\rho)} \int_{0}^{1} \theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1} h_{2}(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau$$
$$- \frac{1}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{0}^{1} \theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-2} u(\tau) d\tau.$$

Consequently, the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) is outlined below:

$$\begin{split} y(\mathfrak{t}) &= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_{1}(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \\ &+ \frac{(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_{2}(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \\ &- \frac{(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\gamma - 1)} \int_{0}^{1} \theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-2} \mathfrak{u}(\tau) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{u}(\tau) d\tau. \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The Lipschitzian function* $h : \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *, has a Lipschitz constant* c*, with*

$$\|\mathbf{h}(\mathfrak{t},\boldsymbol{\mu}) - \mathbf{h}(\mathfrak{t},\boldsymbol{\nu})\| \leq c \|\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu}\|.$$

Proof. We obtain for any for all $x, y \in X$ and $t \in J$

$$\begin{split} \left| h(t,x(t)) - h(t,y(t)) \right| \\ \leqslant \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_1(\tau,x(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{(\theta(t) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1)} \int_0^1 \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_2(\tau,x(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^1 \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_1(\tau,y(\tau)) d\tau - \frac{(\theta(t) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1)} \int_0^1 \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} h_2(\tau,y(\tau)) d\tau \right| \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\leqslant \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} \|h_1(\tau,x) - h_1(\tau,y)\| + \frac{(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1) \ \Gamma(\rho+1)} \|h_2(\tau,x) - h_2(\sigma,y)\| \\ &\leqslant \frac{k_1(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} \|x - y\| + \frac{k_2 \ (\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho} \ (\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1) \ \Gamma(\rho+1)} \|x - y\| \\ &\leqslant \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho} [k_1 \ \theta'(1) \ + k_2 \ (\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0))}{\theta'(1) \ \Gamma(\rho+1)]} \|x - y\|. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\|h(t,x) - h(t,y)\| \leq c \|x - y\|,$$
with $c = \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho}[k_1 \theta'(1) + k_2 (\theta(1) - \theta(0))]}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\rho+1)}.$

2.1. Exsistence of solution

Based on the Krasnoselskii [14] fixed point Theorem, we will proved the first existence result for IFDP (1.1)-(1.3).

Theorem 2.3. There is at least one mild solution on I for IFDP (1.1)-(1.3) under the assumptions (H_1) through (H_3) . If

$$\frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\rho}k_1+t(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\rho+1}k_2}{\Gamma(\rho+1)}+\frac{\mathsf{G}_\circ\;\|\psi\|}{1-\aleph}<1$$

Proof. Consider the operator $F : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$ by:

$$F \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t}, \tau) \mathbf{v}(\tau) d\tau, \qquad (2.5)$$

with

$$\nu(\mathfrak{t}) = f\big(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\nu(\mathfrak{t}), \int_0^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\nu(\tau)d\tau\big).$$

Define the set

$$B_{\sigma} = \{ y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}) : \|y\| \leqslant \sigma \},\$$

with

$$\sigma \ge \frac{\frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\rho}H_1+t(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\rho+1}H_2}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} + \frac{g_{\circ} F}{1-\aleph}}{1-\kappa}}{1-\left(\frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\rho}k_1+t(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\rho+1}k_2}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} + \frac{g_{\circ} \|\psi\|}{1-\kappa}\right)}$$

and

$$\aleph = \frac{\|\psi\|(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)} + \|\psi\|K.$$

Additionally, we define operators \digamma_1 and \digamma_2 on B_σ by

$$F_1 y(t) = h(t, y(t)),$$

$$F_2 y(t) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(t, \tau) v(\tau) d\tau.$$

Take into account that F_1 and F_2 are defined on B_{σ} , and for any $y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$F(\mathfrak{t}) = F_1 \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}) + F_2 \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}), \quad \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$$

The proof will be divided down into the following steps:

Step 1. Take $y_1, y_2 \in B_r$ and $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_{1}\mathfrak{y}_{1}(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathcal{F}_{2}\mathfrak{y}_{2}(\mathfrak{t})| \leq |\mathcal{F}_{1}\mathfrak{y}_{1}(\mathfrak{t})| + |\mathcal{F}_{2}\mathfrak{y}_{2}(\mathfrak{t})| \leq |\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{y}_{1}(\mathfrak{t}))| + \int_{0}^{1} |\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t},\tau)||\mathfrak{v}(\tau)|d\tau,$$

$$(2.6)$$

where $\nu(\mathfrak{t})=f\big(\mathfrak{t},y_2(\mathfrak{t}),I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\nu(\mathfrak{t}),\int_0^\mathfrak{t}k(\mathfrak{t},\tau)\nu(\tau)d\tau\big)$

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu(\mathfrak{t})| &= \left| f\big(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}_{2}(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta; \theta} \nu(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \nu(\tau) d\tau \big) \right| \\ &\leqslant F + \psi(\mathfrak{t}) |\mathfrak{y}_{2}(\mathfrak{t})| + \psi(\mathfrak{t}) \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-\delta-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta)} |\nu(\tau)| \ d\tau + \psi(\mathfrak{t}) \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} |k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)| |\nu(\tau)| d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

Taking supermum for $t \in I$, we have

$$\|\nu\|\leqslant F + \|\psi\| \|y_2\| + \|\psi\| \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)} \|\nu\| + \|\psi\|K\|\nu\|.$$

Then

$$\|\nu\| \leqslant \frac{F + \|\psi\| \sigma}{1 - \left(\frac{\|\psi\|(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)} + \|\psi\|K\right)}.$$

And

$$\begin{split} |h(\mathfrak{t},y_{1}(\mathfrak{t}))| \leqslant & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1)-\theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} |h_{1}(\tau,y_{1}(\tau))| d\tau \\ & + \frac{(\theta(\mathfrak{t})-\theta(0))}{\theta'(1)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(1)-\theta(\tau))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} |h_{2}(\tau,y_{1}(\tau))| d\tau, \end{split}$$

$$\Gamma(\rho)|[H_2 + k_2|y_2(\tau)|]d\tau \leqslant \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho}[H_1 + k_1||y_1||]}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} + \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho}(\theta(1) - \theta(0))[H_2 + k_2||y_2||]}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\rho + 1)}.$$

Hence (2.6) implying that, for each $t \in J$,

$$\begin{split} |F_{1}y_{1}(\mathfrak{t}) + F_{2}y_{2}(\mathfrak{t})| &\leqslant \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho}[H_{1} + k_{1}||y_{1}||]}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} + \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\rho + 1} [H_{2} + k_{2}||y_{2}||]}{\theta'(1) \Gamma(\rho + 1)} \\ &+ \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} (F + ||\psi|| \sigma)}{1 - \aleph} \\ &\leqslant \sigma. \end{split}$$

Taking supremum over $t\in I,$ we have

$$\|F_1y_1+F_2y_2\|\leqslant \ \sigma.$$

This proves that $F_1y_1 + F_2y_2 \in B_{\sigma}, \forall y_1, y_2 \in B_{\sigma}.$

Step 2. lemma 2.2 makes it evident that A_1 represents a contration mapping when c < 1.

Step 3. First, establish the continuity of operator F_2 is continuous. Let $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence with $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$ in $C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$. Afterward, for each $t \in \mathbb{J}$

$$|\mathcal{F}_{2}y_{n} - \mathcal{F}_{2}y| \leqslant \int_{0}^{1} |\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)| |v_{n}(\tau) - v(\tau)| d\tau, \qquad (2.7)$$

where $v_n, v \in C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{split} \nu_{n}(\mathfrak{t}) &= f\big(\mathfrak{t}, y_{n}(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\nu_{n}(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\nu_{n}(\tau)d\tau\big) \\ \nu(\mathfrak{t}) &= f\big(\mathfrak{t}, y(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\nu(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\nu(\tau)d\tau\big), \end{split}$$

so that by (H_2)

$$\begin{split} &|\nu_{n}(\mathfrak{t})-\nu(\mathfrak{t})| \\ &\leqslant \ \psi(\mathfrak{t}) \ \left(|y_{n}(\mathfrak{t})-y(\mathfrak{t})|+\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t})-\theta(\tau))^{\gamma-\delta-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta)}|\nu_{n}(\tau)-\nu(\tau)|d\tau+\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t},\tau)|\nu_{n}(\tau)-\nu(\tau)|d\tau\right) \\ &\leqslant \|\psi\| \big(\|y_{n}-y\|+\frac{\|\nu_{n}-\nu\|(\theta(1)-\theta(\tau))^{\gamma-\delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)}+K \ \|\nu_{n}-\nu\| \ \big). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\|\nu_{n} - \nu\| \leqslant \frac{\|\psi\|}{1 - \|\psi\| \big(K + \frac{(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)}\big)} \|y_{n} - y\|.$$

For $y_n \to y$, thus, we have $\nu_n(\mathfrak{t}) \to \nu(\mathfrak{t})$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$. And then let $\varepsilon > 0$ be shall ensure, for each $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$, we get $|\nu_n(\tau)| \leqslant \varepsilon$, and $|\nu(\tau)| \leqslant \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t},\tau)||\nu_{\mathfrak{n}}(\tau)-\nu(\tau)| &\leq |\mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t},\tau)|[|\nu_{\mathfrak{n}}(\tau)|+|\nu(\tau)|] \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon|\mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t},\tau)|, \end{aligned}$$

 $\forall t \in J$, the function $\tau \to 2\epsilon |\mathcal{G}(t,\tau)|$ is integrable on J. Then applying Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, and (2.7), we may conclude that

$$\|F_2y_n - F_2y\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

As a result, F_2 is continuous. Furthermore, it is simple to confirm that

$$\|F_2 \mathbf{y}\| \leq \mathcal{G}_0 \left(\frac{\mathbf{F} + \|\mathbf{\psi}\| \ \sigma}{1 - \mathbf{X}}\right) \leq \sigma,$$

according to the definitions of \aleph . This demonstrate that F_2 is uniformly bounded on B_{σ} .

In the end, we demonstrate that F_2 maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of $C(J, \mathbb{R})$, i.e., B_{σ} is equicontinuous.

Next, suppose that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ and $\mathfrak{t}_1, \mathfrak{t}_2 \in I, \ \mathfrak{t}_1 < \mathfrak{t}_2, \ |\mathfrak{t}_2 - \mathfrak{t}_1| < \delta$, Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_{2} \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}_{2}) - \mathcal{F}_{2} \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}_{1})| &\leq \int_{0}^{1} |\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t}_{2}, \tau) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t}_{1}, \tau)| |\mathbf{v}(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{F} + \|\mathbf{\psi}\| \ \sigma}{1 - \aleph} \int_{0}^{1} |\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t}_{2}, \tau) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t}_{1}, \tau)| \ d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

As $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, he right-hand side of the above mentioned inequality tends to zero and is independent of y . Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{F}_2 \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}_2) - \mathcal{F}_2 \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}_1)| \to 0, \ \forall |\mathbf{t}_2 - \mathbf{t}_1| \to 0.$$

As a result, {Fy} is equi-continuous on B_{σ} . according to the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem [16], and F is a compact operator, we conclusion that $F : C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$ is completely continuous.

As a result, all assumptions of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem are met and demonstrates that $F_1 + F_2$ has a fixed point on B_{σ} . Hence, there is a mild solution to the IFDP (1.1)-(1.3).

Our second conclusion proves using Banach's fixed point theorem the uniqueness of solution to the IFDP (1.1)-(1.3).

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 meet, with

$$c + \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} \|\Psi\|}{1 - \|\Psi\| \left(\mathsf{K} + \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)}\right)} < 1, \tag{2.8}$$

Then, a unique mild solution on \mathbb{J} provided by the IFDP (1.1)-(1.3).

Proof. Therefore, IFDP (1.1)-(1.3) has at least one solution, according to Theorem 2.3. Thus, all that is required of us is to demonstrate that F mentioned in (2.9) is a contraction operator.

Next, select $x, y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$. After that, for $t \in \mathbb{J}$, we obtain

$$F \mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t}) - F \mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t})) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau - \mathbf{h}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t})) - \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \mathbf{v}(\tau) d\tau,$$
(2.9)

here $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$, with

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t}) &= f\big(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\mathfrak{u}(\tau)d\tau\big).\\ \nu(\mathfrak{t}) &= f\big(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\nu(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\nu(\tau)d\tau\big). \end{split}$$

Then, for $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$

$$|Fx(\mathfrak{t}) - Fy(\mathfrak{t})| \leq |h(\mathfrak{t}, x(\mathfrak{t})) - h(\mathfrak{t}, y(\mathfrak{t}))| + \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) |u(\tau) - v(\tau)| d\tau,$$
(2.10)

however, given the condition (H_2) , we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t}) &- \mathfrak{v}(\mathfrak{t})| \\ \leqslant \ \psi(\mathfrak{t}) \left(|\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})| + \int_0^{\mathfrak{t}} \frac{\theta'(\tau)(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma - \delta - 1}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta)} |\mathfrak{u}(\tau) - \mathfrak{v}(\tau)| \ d\tau + \int_0^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) |\mathfrak{u}(\tau) - \mathfrak{v}(\tau)| \ d\tau \right) \\ \leqslant \ \|\psi\| \left(\|\mathfrak{x} - \mathfrak{y}\| + \frac{(\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)} \|\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{v}\| + K \|\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{v}\| \right). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\|\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}}-\boldsymbol{\nu}\| \leqslant \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|}{1-\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|\left(\boldsymbol{K} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\theta}(1)-\boldsymbol{\theta}(0))^{\gamma-\delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)}\right)}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|.$$

Back to (2.10) and using lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{split} \| F \mathbf{x} - F \mathbf{y} \| \\ \leqslant \bigg(c + \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} \| \psi \|}{1 - \| \psi \| \big(K \ + \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)} \big)} \bigg) \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|. \end{split}$$

By $\left(c + \frac{g_{\circ} \|\psi\|}{1 - \|\psi\| \left(\kappa + \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)}\right)}\right) < 1$, we deduce that F is a contraction. As a result, according to Banach's contraction principle, F has a unique fixed point on \mathbb{J} , and that is a mild solution of the IFDP (1.1)-(1.3).

3. Ulam-Hyers Stability

We now investigate the Ulam stability for IFDP (1.1)-(1.3). Make $\Phi : \mathbb{J} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function and suppose that $\epsilon > 0$. This kind of inequality is considered:

$$|{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}) - f\big(\mathfrak{t},\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}),{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\delta;\theta}\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}),\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}}k(\mathfrak{t},\tau){}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}\mathsf{y}(\tau)d\tau\big)|\leqslant\varepsilon, \quad \mathfrak{t}\in\mathbb{J}$$
(3.1)

$$|{}^{c}D^{\gamma;\theta}y(\mathfrak{t}) - f(\mathfrak{t}, y(\mathfrak{t}), {}^{c}D^{\delta;\theta}y(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau){}^{c}D^{\gamma;\theta}y(\tau)d\tau)| \leqslant \theta(\mathfrak{t}), \ \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$$

$$(3.2)$$

$$|{}^{c}D^{\gamma;\theta}y(\mathfrak{t}) - f(\mathfrak{t}, y(\mathfrak{t}), {}^{c}D^{\delta;\theta}y(\mathfrak{t}), \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau){}^{c}D^{\alpha;\phi}y(\tau)d\tau)| \leqslant \varepsilon \ \theta(\mathfrak{t}), \ \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}.$$

$$(3.3)$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the premises of Theorem 2.4 meet. Ulam–Hyers is thus stable for IFDP (1.1)-(1.3).

Proof. Take $\epsilon > 0$ and let the function $z \in C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$ be fulfills inequality (3.1), i.e.,

$$|{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}z(\mathfrak{t}) - f\big(\mathfrak{t},z(\mathfrak{t}),{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\delta;\theta}z(\mathfrak{t}),\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}}k(\mathfrak{t},\tau){}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}z(\tau)ds\big)| \leqslant \varepsilon, \quad \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$$

and permit FIDE (1.1)-(1.3) to have a unique solution $y \in C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$, consequently, lemma 2.1 gives the

equivalence betwwen IFDP (1.1)-(1.3) and integral equation

$$y(\mathfrak{t}) = h(\mathfrak{t}, y(\mathfrak{t})) + \int_0^1 G(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) u(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t})=f\big(\mathfrak{t},h(\mathfrak{t},y(\mathfrak{t}))+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\int_{0}^{1}\mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t},\tau)\mathfrak{u}(\tau)d\tau,I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{t}),\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}}k(\mathfrak{t},\tau)\mathfrak{u}(\tau)d\tau\big).$$

Operating by $I^{\gamma,\theta}$ on (3.1), and by integrating, we obtain

$$\left| z(\mathfrak{t}) - h(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t})) - \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \nu(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon \ (\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}.$$
(3.4)

For each $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{split} z(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}) &|= \left| z(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}) - \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \mathfrak{u}(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \left| z(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t}) + \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \nu(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &+ \left| \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t}) + \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \nu(\tau) ds - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}) - \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \mathfrak{u}(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon \ (\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} + \left| \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t})) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})) \right| + \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) |\nu(\tau) - \mathfrak{u}(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon \ (\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} + \mathfrak{c} \ \| z - \mathfrak{y} \| + \mathfrak{G}_{\circ} \| \mathfrak{u} - \nu \| \,. \end{split}$$

Actuality, demonstration of Theorem 2.4 gives

$$\|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\nu}\| \leqslant \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|}{1 - \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\| \left(\boldsymbol{K} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\theta}(1) - \boldsymbol{\theta}(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)}\right)} \|\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}\|.$$

Then, $\forall t \in J$

$$\|z-\mathbf{y}\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon \ (\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + c \ \|z-\mathbf{y}\| + \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} \ \|\psi\|}{1-\|\psi\| \left(K \ + \frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\gamma-\delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)}\right)} \|z-\mathbf{y}\|$$

Thus

$$\|z-y\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon \ (\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \left[1 - \left(c + \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} \|\psi\|}{1-\|\psi\| \left(K + \frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\gamma-\delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)}\right)}\right) \right]^{-1} = \chi \ \epsilon,$$

for let $\chi = \frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \left[1 - \left(c + \frac{g_{\circ} \|\psi\|}{1 - \|\psi\| \left(K + \frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\gamma-\delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)}\right)}\right) \right]^{-1}$. So, the FIDE (1.1)-(1.3) is Ulam-Hyers stable.

By setting $\Phi(\varepsilon) = \chi \varepsilon$, $\Phi(0) = 0$. It can be demonstrated that the FIDE (1.1)-(1.3) is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable.

3.1. Ulam-Hyers-Rassias Stability.

Next, we present the Ulam–Hyers –Rassias stable result.

Theorem 3.2. Assume assumptions $(H_1) - (H_3)$ and

(H₄) The function $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is increasing and there exists $\lambda_{\Phi} > 0$ with, $\forall t \in \mathbb{J}$, we get

$$I^{\gamma} \Phi(\tau) \leqslant \lambda_{\Phi} \Phi(\tau)$$

are satisfied. Then IFDP (1.1)-(1.3) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with regard to to Φ .

Proof. Consider $z \in C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$ to be the solution of Eq.(3.3), that is,

$$\left|{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}z(\mathfrak{t}) - f(\mathfrak{t},z(\mathfrak{t}),{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\delta;\theta}z(\mathfrak{t}),\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}}k(\mathfrak{t},\tau){}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma;\theta}z(\tau)d\tau\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon \ \Phi, \ \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$$

and take y is a solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3). As a result, we get

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t}, \tau) \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau,$$

with $u \in C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$

$$u(\mathfrak{t}) = f\big(\mathfrak{t}, y(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}u(\mathfrak{t}), \int_0^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)u(\tau)d\tau\big).$$

Applying I^{γ} on each sides of the inequality (3.3) and then integrating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| z(\mathfrak{t}) - h(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t})) - \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \nu(\tau) d\tau \right| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{\mathfrak{t}} \theta'(\tau) (\theta(\mathfrak{t}) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \Phi(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \varepsilon \lambda_\Phi \Phi(\mathfrak{t}), \end{aligned}$$

with $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$

$$\nu(\mathfrak{t}) = f(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t}), I^{\gamma-\delta;\theta}\nu(\mathfrak{t}), \int_0^{\mathfrak{t}} k(\mathfrak{t}, \tau)\nu(\tau)d\tau).$$

For each $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |z(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})| &= |z(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})) + \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \mathfrak{u}(\tau) d\tau | \\ &\leq |z(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t})) + \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \nu(\tau) d\tau | \\ &+ |\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, z(\mathfrak{t})) + \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \nu(\tau) ds - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})) - \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{t}, \tau) \mathfrak{u}(\tau) d\tau | \\ &\leq \varepsilon \lambda_{\Phi} \Phi(\mathfrak{t}) + \varepsilon ||z - \mathfrak{y}|| + \mathfrak{G}_{\circ} ||\nu - \mathfrak{u}||. \end{aligned}$$

In actuality, from evidence of Theorem 2.4,

$$\|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}\| \leqslant \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|}{1 - \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\| \left(\mathsf{K} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\theta}(1) - \boldsymbol{\theta}(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)}\right)} \|\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}\|.$$

Hence, for each $\mathfrak{t}\in \mathbb{J}$

$$\|z-y\| \leqslant \varepsilon \ \lambda_{\Phi} \ \Phi(\mathfrak{t}) + c \ \|z-y\| + \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} \ \|\psi\|}{1 - \|\psi\| \left(\mathsf{K} \ + \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma-\delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)}\right)} \|z-y\|.$$

Thus

$$\|z-y\| \leqslant \big[1-\big(c+\frac{\mathfrak{G}_\circ \|\psi\|}{1-\|\psi\|\big(\mathsf{K}\ +\frac{(\theta(1)-\theta(0))^{\gamma-\delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)}\big)}\big)\big]\ \varepsilon\ \lambda_\Phi\ \Phi(t)=c_\Phi\varepsilon\ \Phi(t),$$

where

$$c_{\Phi} = \big[1 - \big(c + \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} \|\psi\|}{1 - \|\psi\| \big(K \ + \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)}\big)}\big)\big] \ \lambda_{\Phi}.$$

Therefore, the IFDP (1.1)-(1.3) is Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable considering Φ .

4. Discussion and Illustrations

In this part, we offer specific existence results for a few boundary value problems that serve as special illustrations of our fundamental finding.

• Assume $\Upsilon(\tau) = \frac{(\theta(1) - \theta(\tau))^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho+1)}$, Then, using Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, we build

$$^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma,\theta}\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t})=\mathsf{f}\big(\mathfrak{t},\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}),^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\delta,\theta}\mathsf{y}(\mathfrak{t}),\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}}\mathsf{k}(\mathfrak{t},\tau)^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\gamma,\theta}\mathsf{y}(\tau)d\tau\big),\quad\mathfrak{t}\in(0,1).$$

With the Riemann-Stieltjes boundary condition

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{y}(0) &= \int_0^1 \mathbf{h}_1(\tau, \mathbf{y}(\tau)) d\Upsilon(\tau), \\ \mathbf{y}'(1) &= \int_0^1 \, \mathbf{h}_2(\tau, \mathbf{y}(\tau)) \, d\Upsilon(\tau). \end{split}$$

Several investigations have looked into this type of boundary condition, for instance [34].

• For $\rho \to 1$, $\theta(t) = t$, $f(t, y(t), u(t), v(t)) = -\chi n(t) \phi(y(t))$, $h_1(t, y(t)) = h_2(t, y(t)) = y(t)$, a nonlocal value problem with an integral condition is obtained.

$$\label{eq:cdistance} \begin{split} {}^cD^{\gamma,\theta}y(\mathfrak{t}) + \chi \ \mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{t})\varphi(y(\mathfrak{t})) &= 0, \quad \mathfrak{t} \in (0,1) \\ y(0) &= \int_0^1 \ y(\tau)d\tau \ \text{and} \ y'(1) = \int_0^1 \ y(\tau)d\tau \end{split}$$

which this kind of problem is investigated in [15].

• For $\gamma \to 0$, $\theta(t) = t \quad f(t, y(t), u(t), v(t)) = p(t) + f_1(t, v(t))$, and $k(t, \tau) = \frac{t}{t+\tau}$. Then problem (1.1)-(1.3) represents Chandrasekhar's integral equations with integral boundary condition as follows

$$y(t) = p(t) + f_1(t, \int_0^t \frac{t}{t+\tau} y(\tau) d\tau), \qquad (4.1)$$

$$y(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{\rho-1} h_1(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \qquad (4.2)$$

$$y'(1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{\rho-1} h_2(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \qquad (4.3)$$

let us analyze the function $g: R \times R \to R$, as defined by the formula

$$g(t,\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} t \ ln \, \frac{t+\tau}{t}, & \text{for } t > 0 \ \text{ and } \tau \geqslant 0, \\ \\ 0 & \text{for } t = 0 \ \text{ and } \tau \geqslant 0. \end{array} \right.$$

We observe that the problem (4.1)-(4.3) can be expressed as Volterra-Stieltjes type

$$y(t) = p(t) + f(t, \int_0^t y(\tau) d_\tau g(t, \tau)), \qquad (4.4)$$

with integral bounday conditions (4.2)-(4.3). Recently, the problem of such a type was intensively investigated in [19].

Example 1. Take the following IFDP into account:

$${}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\frac{4}{3};\ln(1+\mathfrak{t})} = \frac{e^{-\mathfrak{t}}}{e^{\mathfrak{t}}+8} \left(\frac{|\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})|}{1+|\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})|} - \frac{|{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\frac{5}{4};\ln(1+\mathfrak{t})}\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})|}{1+|{}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\frac{5}{4};\ln(1+\mathfrak{t})}\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})|} - \frac{|\int_{0}^{1}\ln(\mathfrak{t}+\tau){}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\frac{5}{4};\ln(1+\mathfrak{t})}\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})|}{1+|\int_{0}^{1}\ln(\mathfrak{t}+\tau){}^{c}\mathsf{D}^{\frac{5}{4};\ln(1+\mathfrak{t})}\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})|} \right)$$
(4.5)

$$y(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (\ln(2) - \ln(1+\tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sin y(\tau)}{20(1+\tau)} d\tau,$$
(4.6)

$$y'(1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (\ln(2) - \ln(1+\tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{-y(\tau)}}{30(1+\tau)} d\tau.$$
(4.7)

Let

$$f(\mathfrak{t},\mu,\nu,\omega) = \frac{e^{-\mathfrak{t}}}{e^{\mathfrak{t}}+8} \left(\frac{|\mu(\mathfrak{t})|}{1+|\mu(\mathfrak{t})|} - \frac{|\nu(\mathfrak{t})|}{1+|\nu(\mathfrak{t})|} - \frac{|\omega(\mathfrak{t})|}{1+|\omega(\mathfrak{t})|} \right)$$

Note that, f is continuous function. For each $\mu_i, \nu_i, \omega_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{t} \in [0, 1]$, $(\mathfrak{i} = 1, 2)$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathsf{f}(\mathfrak{t},\mu_{1},\nu_{1},\omega_{1})-\mathsf{f}(\mathfrak{t},\mu_{2},\nu_{2},\omega_{2})| &\leqslant \frac{e^{-\mathfrak{t}}}{e^{\mathfrak{t}}+8} \big(|\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}|+|\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}|+|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}|\big) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{9} \big(|\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}|+|\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}|+|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}|\big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (H_2) is verified with $\|\psi\| = \frac{1}{9}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |h(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t})) - h(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}))| &\leq \frac{1}{1.772453} \int_{0}^{1} (\ln(2) - \ln(1+\tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|\sin \mathfrak{x}(\tau) - \sin \mathfrak{y}(\tau)|}{20(1+\tau)} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{1.772453} \int_{0}^{1} (\ln(2) - \ln(1+\tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|e^{-\mathfrak{x}(\tau)} - e^{-\mathfrak{y}(\tau)}|}{30(1+\tau)} \, \mathrm{d}\tau. \end{aligned}$$

And we are going to be meeting the lemma 2.2, so, with constant c = 0.031348808 the function h is lipschitz. We shall show that condition (2.8) holds.

$$c + \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\circ} \|\psi\|}{1 - \|\psi\| \left(\mathsf{K} + \frac{(\phi(1) - \phi(0))^{\gamma - \delta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \delta + 1)}\right)} \simeq 0.4297118717 < 1,$$

Hence $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$, $\delta = \frac{5}{4}$, c = 0.03134, $\|\Psi\| = \frac{1}{9}$, $K = \ln(2)$ and $\mathcal{G}_0 < 3$. We can see that all hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 are fulfilled. Consequently, problem IFDP (4.5)-(4.7) has a unique mild solution defined on I. Moreover, problem IFDP (4.5)-(4.7) is Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

Example 2. Take the following IFDP into account:

$${}^{c}D^{\frac{3}{2};t}y(t) = \frac{2 + y(t) + {}^{c}D^{\frac{4}{3};t}y(t) + \int_{0}^{1} e^{t-\tau} {}^{c}D^{\frac{3}{2};t}y(\tau)d\tau}{2e^{t+1}(1 + y(t) + {}^{c}D^{\frac{4}{3};t}y(t) + \int_{0}^{1} e^{t-\tau} {}^{c}D^{\frac{3}{2};t}y(\tau)d\tau)}, \quad t \in [0,1]$$

$$(4.8)$$

$$y(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{y}{10e^{-\tau+2}(1+y)} d\tau,$$
(4.9)

$$y'(1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos y}{30(\tau+2)} d\tau.$$
(4.10)

Let

$$f(t, \mu, \nu, \omega) = \frac{2 + |\mu| + |\nu| + |\omega|}{2e^{t+1}(1 + |\mu| + |\nu| + |\omega|)},$$

Note that, f is continuous function. For each $\mu_i, \nu_i, \omega_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{t} \in [0,1]$, $(\mathfrak{i} = 1,2)$

$$|f(\mathfrak{t},\mu_{1},\nu_{1},\omega_{1})-f(\mathfrak{t},\mu_{2},\nu_{2},\omega_{2})| \leq \frac{1}{2e} (|\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}|+|\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}|+|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}|).$$

Thus, (H_2) is verified with $\psi(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{1}{2e^{\mathfrak{t}+1}}$. In addition, we obtain

$$|\mathsf{f}(\mathfrak{t},\mu,\nu,\omega)| = \frac{1}{2e^{\mathfrak{t}+1}} (2+|\mu|+|\nu|+|\omega|),$$

with $f(t, 0, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{e^{t+1}}$, and $\|\psi\| = \frac{1}{2e}$. Set $h_1(t, x(t)) = \frac{\cos x}{30(t+2)}$ and $h_2(t, x(t)) = \frac{x}{10e^{-s+2}(1+x)}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{h}_{1}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t})) - \mathfrak{h}_{1}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t}))| &\leq \left| \frac{\cos x}{30(\mathfrak{t}+2)} - \frac{\cos y}{30(\mathfrak{t}+2)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{30} |\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t})|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{h}_{2}(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t}))-\mathfrak{h}_{2}(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}))| &\leqslant \Big|\frac{\mathfrak{x}}{10e^{-\mathfrak{t}+2}(1+\mathfrak{x})} - \frac{\mathfrak{y}}{10e^{-\mathfrak{t}+2}(1+\mathfrak{y})}\Big| \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{10e} |\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t})-\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t})|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the condition (H₁) is satisfied with $k_1 = \frac{1}{30}$ and $k_2 = \frac{1}{10e}$. From (2.2) clearly for $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, then $\mathcal{G}_0 < 1$. Thus condition

$$\frac{k_1 + \mathfrak{t} k_2}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} + \frac{\mathfrak{G}_\circ \|\Psi\|}{1 - \aleph} \simeq 0.4103216862 < 1,$$

where $\aleph = \frac{\|\Psi\|}{\Gamma(\gamma-\delta+1)} + \|\Psi\|K = 0.2568803025$ is satisfied with $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$, $\delta = \frac{4}{3}$, $F = \frac{1}{e}$, $\|\Psi\| = \frac{1}{2e} k_1 = \frac{1}{30}$, $k_2 = \frac{1}{10e}$ and K = e. Theorem 2.3 implies that the IFDP (4.8)-(4.10) has at least one mild solution on I.

5. Conclusion

Our purpose in this paper is to study the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for boundary value problems of implicit θ –Caputo fractional differential equation (1.1)-(1.3) our established based on Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and Banach contraction principle. In addition, stability analysis in the Ulam–Hyers sense of a given implicit θ –Caputo differential equation of fractional order, supplemented with fractional integral type boundary conditions was considered. Finally, we end the article with illustrations were provided to confirm the results' applicability. In the future, the concept presented here can be extended to the system of fractional integral equations of n-product type. New results can also be obtained by considering more generalized kernels. Interested researchers can subsequently extend this concept to two-dimensional integral equations of fractional order.

References

 S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, On the generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability for Darboux problem for partial fractional implicit differential equations, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 14 (2014), 20–28. 1

- [2] S. Abbes, M. Benchohra, G M. N'Guérékata, Topics in Fractional Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, (2012). 1
- [3] R. P. Agrawal, Some generalized fractional calculus operators and their applications in integral equations, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 15 (2012), 700–711. 1
- [4] R. Almeida, A Caputo fractional derivative of a function concerning another function, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 44 (2017), 460–481.
- [5] Sh. M Al-Issa, A. M. A. El-Sayed, H. H. G. Hashem, An Outlook on Hybrid Fractional Modeling of a Heat Controller with Multi-Valued Feedback Control, Fractal Fract., 7 (2023), 759. 1
- [6] A. Babakhani, V. Daftardar-Gejji, Existence of positive solutions for N-term non-autonomous fractional differential equations, Positivity, 9 (2005), 193–206. 1
- [7] A. Babakhani, V. Daftardar-Gejji, Existence of positive solutions for multi-term non-autonomous fractional differential equations with polynomial coefficients, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **2006** (2006), 12 pages. 1
- [8] Z. Baitiche, C. Derbazi, J. Alzabut, M. E. Samei, M. K. A. Kaabar, Z. Siri, Monotone Iterative Method for ψ–Caputo Fractional Differential Equation with Nonlinear Boundary Conditions, Fractal Fract., 5 (2021), 81. 1
- M. Belmekki, M. Benchohra, Existence results for fractional order semilinear functional differential equations, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 146 (2008), 9–20. 1
- [10] M. Benchohra, J. R. Graef, S. Hamani, Existence results for boundary value problems with nonlinear fractional differential equations, Appl. Anal., 87 (2008), 851–863.
- [11] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, S. K. Ntouyas, Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order, Surv. Math. Appl., 3 (2008), 1–12.
- [12] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, A. Ouahab, Existence results for fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 338 (2008), 1340–1350. 1
- [13] A. Berhail, N. Tabouche, M. M. Matar, J. Alzabut, On nonlocal integral and derivative boundary value problem of nonlinear Hadamard Langevin equation with three different fractional orders. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., 26 (2020), 303–318. 1
- [14] T. A. Burton, C. Kirk, A fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii Schaefer type, Math. Nachr., 189 (1998), 23–31. 1, 2.1
- [15] S. Chasreechai, J. Tariboon, Positive solutions to generalized second—order three—point integral boundary–value problems, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2011 (2011), 14 pages. 4
- [16] R. F. Curtain, A. J. Pritchard, Functional analysis in modern applied mathematics, Academic Press, London-New York, (1977). 2.1
- [17] K. Diethelm, D. Baleanu, E. Scalas, J. J. Trujillo, Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods, World Scientific Publishing, New York, (2012). 1
- [18] A. M. A. El-Sayed, Sh. M. Al-Issa, Existence of integrable solutions for integro-differential inclusions of fractional order; coupled system approach, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 13 (2020), 180–186. 1
- [19] A. M. A. El-Sayed, Sh. M. Al-Issa, On a set-valued functional integral equation of Volterra-Stiltjes type, J. Math. Computer Sci., 21 (2020), 273–285. 4
- [20] A. M. A. El-Sayed, F. M. Gaafar, Fractional order differential equations with memory and fractional-order relaxationoscillation model, Pure Math. Appl., 12 (2001), 296–310.
- [21] A. M. A. El-Sayed, F. M. Gaafar, Fractional calculus and some intermediate physical processes, Appl. Math. Comput., 144 (2003), 117–126.
- [22] A. M. A. El-Sayed, H. H. G. Hashem, Sh. M Al-Issa, *New Aspects on the Solvability of a Multidimensional Functional Integral Equation with Multivalued Feedback Control*, Axioms, **12** (2023), 15 pages.
- [23] H. H. G. Hashem, A. M. A. El-Sayed, Sh. M. Al-Issa, Investigating Asymptotic Stability for Hybrid Cubic Integral Inclusion with Fractal Feedback Control on the Real Half-Axis, Fractal Fract., 7 (2023), 16 pages. 1
- [24] S. M. Jung, K. S. Lee, Hyers-Ulam stability of first order linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients, Math. Inequal. Appl., 10 (2007), 261–266. 1
- [25] A. A. Kilbas, S. A. Marzan, Nonlinear differential equations with the Caputo fractional derivative in the space of continuously differentiable functions, Differ. Equ., 41 (2005), 84–89. 1
- [26] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 204 (2006). 1
- [27] V. Kiryakova, Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications, Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (1994). 1
- [28] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, J. Vasundhara, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge, (2009). 1
- [29] M. Obloza, Hyers stability of the linear differential equation, Rocznik Nauk-Dydakt. Prace Mat., 13 (1993), 259–270. 1
- [30] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Mariche, *Fractional integrals and derivatives*, translated from the 1987 Russian original, Yverdon, (1993). 1
- [31] A. G. M. Selvam, D. Baleanu, J. Alzabut, D. Vignesh, S. Abbas, On Hyers–Ulam Mittag–Leffler stability of discrete fractional Duffing equation with application on inverted pendulum, Adv. Difference Equ., 2020 (2020), 15 pages. 1
- [32] C. Thaiprayoon, W. Sudsutad, J. Alzabut, S. Etemad, Sh. Rezapour, On the qualitative analysis of the fractional boundary value problem describing thermostat control model via ψ-Hilfer fractional operator, Adv. Difference Equ., 2021 (2021), 28 pages. 1
- [33] S. M. Ulam, A Collection of Mathematical Problems, Interscience Publ. New York, (1968). 1

- [34] J. R. L. Webb, G. Infante, *Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems: a unified approach*, J. London Math. Soc., **74** (2006), no. 2, 673–693. 4
- [35] A. Zada, H. Waheed, J. Alzabut, E. logo, X. Wang, *Existence and stability of impulsive coupled system of fractional integrodifferential equations*, Demonstratio Mathematica, **52** (2019), 296–335. 1