



Some extensions for generalized (ϕ, ψ) -almost contractions

Esra Yolacan^{a,*}, Mehmet Kır^b, Hukmı Kızıltunc^c

^aRepublic of Turkey Ministry of National Education, Tokat, Turkey.

^bDepartment of Civil Engineering, Şırnak University, Şırnak, Turkey.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Ataturk University College, Erzurum, Turkey.

Abstract

In this paper, we derive a new fixed point results in partially ordered b -metric-like spaces. Our results generalize and extend several well-known comparable results in the literature. Further, two examples are also given to show that our results are influential. ©2016 All rights reserved.

Keywords: b -metric-like, common fixed point, fixed point, ordered set.

2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

In 1922, the Polish mathematician Stefan Banach established an attention grabbing fixed point theorem known as the "Banach Contraction Principle" (BCP) [3] which is one of the pivotal results of analysis and considered as the pivotal source of metric fixed point theory. Generalization of this BCP have been studied excessively (see [5, 6, 8] and [10]). Nominately, Jaggi [5] proved a theorem satisfying a contractive condition of rational type on a complete metric space. In 2010, Harjani et al. [6] showed the ordered version of this theorem proved by Jaggi. Luong and Thuan [8], in 2011, generalized the results of Harjani et al. [6]. Recently, Mustafa et al. [10] proved the following theorem involving a generalized (ϕ, ψ) -almost contraction.

Theorem 1.1 ([10]). *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric d such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality*

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: yolacanesra@gmail.com (Esra Yolacan), mehmetkir04@gmail.com (Mehmet Kır), hukmu@atauni.edu.tr (Hukmı Kızıltunc)

Received 2016-01-03

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(fx, fy)) &\leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \psi(M(x, y)) \\ &\quad + L\phi(\min\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy), d(x, fy), d(y, fx)\}) \end{aligned}$$

for all distinct points $x, y \in X$ with $y \leq x$ where $\phi \in \Phi$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y) \right\}.$$

Also, assume either

- (i) f is continuous or;
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup\{x_n\}$.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Inspired and motivated by this facts, we are to generalize the above results for a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying a generalized (ϕ, ψ) -almost contraction in partially ordered b -metric-like spaces. Two examples are also given to show that our results are influential.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let X be a nonempty set and $\kappa \geq 1$ a given real number. A function $A : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is b -metric-like if, for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (A1) if $A(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$;
- (A2) $A(x, y) = A(y, x)$;
- (A3) $A(x, y) \leq \kappa[A(x, z) + A(y, z)]$.

A b -metric-like space is a pair (X, A) such that X is nonempty set and A is b -metric-like on X . The number κ is called the coefficient of (X, A) .

Proposition 2.2 ([1]). Let (X, A) be a b -metric-like space. Define $A^p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $A^p(x, y) = |2A(x, y) - A(x, x) - A(y, y)|$. Frankly, $A^p(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Each b -metric-like A on X generates a topology τ_A on X whose base is the family of all open A -balls $\{D_A(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$, where

$$D_A(x, \varepsilon) = \{a \in X : |A(x, a) - A(x, x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition 2.3 ([1]). Let (X, A) be a b -metric-like space with coefficient κ , and let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then,

- (a) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to x with respect to τ_A , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_n, x) = A(x, x)$;
- (b) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, A) if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} A(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite;
- (c) (X, A) is a complete b -metric-like space if for every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} A(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_n, x) = A(x, x)$.

It is obvious that the limit of a sequence in b -metric-like space is usually not unique (see [7]).

Lemma 2.4 ([1]). *Let (X, A) be a b -metric-like space with coefficient κ , and let $\{x_n\}$ be sequence in X such that*

$$A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda A(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

for some λ , $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{\kappa}$, and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_m, x_n) = 0$.

Let Φ be a family of functions $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ϕ is continuous and nondecreasing;
- (ii) $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$;
- (iii) $\phi(0) = 0 < \phi(t)$ for all $t > 0$.

We denote by Ψ the set of functions $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying

- (a) ψ is lower semi continuous;
- (b) $\psi(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $\psi(0) = 0$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a function A such that (X, A) is a complete b -metric-like space with the constant $\kappa \geq 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality*

$$\phi(\kappa^2 A(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \psi(M(x, y)) + L\phi(N^p(x, y)) \quad (3.1)$$

for all distinct points $x, y \in X$ with $y \leq x$, where $\phi \in \Phi$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{A(x, fx)A(y, fy)}{A(x, y)}, A(x, y) \right\},$$

$$N^p(x, y) = \min \{A^p(x, fx), A^p(y, fy), A^p(x, fy), A^p(y, fx)\}.$$

Also, assume either

- (i) f is continuous or;
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup \{x_n\}$.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$. Define $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Using that f is a nondecreasing, we can construct inductively, starting with arbitrary $x_0 \in X$, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ for some n_0 , then it is clear that x_{n_0} is a fixed point of f . Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all n . Therefore, by $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $x_n > x_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Owing to $x_n > x_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, from (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(\kappa^2 A(x_n, x_{n+1})) &= \phi(\kappa^2 A(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)) \\
 &\leq \phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{A(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})A(x_n, fx_n)}{A(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, A(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right\}\right) \\
 &\quad - \psi\left(\max\left\{\frac{A(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})A(x_n, fx_n)}{A(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, A(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right\}\right) \\
 &\quad + L\phi(\min\{A^p(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), A^p(x_n, fx_n), \\
 &\quad A^p(x_{n-1}, fx_n), A^p(x_n, fx_{n-1})\}) \\
 &= \phi(\max\{A(x_n, x_{n+1}), A(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}) \\
 &\quad - \psi(\max\{A(x_n, x_{n+1}), A(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}) \\
 &\quad + L\phi(\min\{A^p(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), A^p(x_n, fx_n), \\
 &\quad A^p(x_{n-1}, fx_n), A^p(x_n, fx_{n-1})\}) \\
 &= \phi(\max\{A(x_n, x_{n+1}), A(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}) \\
 &\quad - \psi(\max\{A(x_n, x_{n+1}), A(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.2}$$

If $A(x_{n-1}, x_n) < A(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for some $n \geq 1$, then from (3.2) we get that

$$\phi(\kappa^2 A(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \phi(A(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \psi(A(x_n, x_{n+1})),
 \tag{3.3}$$

or equivalently,

$$\kappa^2 A(x_n, x_{n+1}) < A(x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

This is a contradiction. Thus from (3.2) it follows that

$$\phi(\kappa^2 A(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \phi(A(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \psi(A(x_{n-1}, x_n)) < \phi(A(x_{n-1}, x_n))
 \tag{3.4}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa^2 A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &< A(x_{n-1}, x_n), \\
 A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &< \lambda A(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad \text{where } \lambda = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} < \frac{1}{\kappa}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.4 we have $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_m, x_n) = 0$. Due to Definition 2.3 part (b), $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, A) is a complete b -metric-like space, $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to $\omega \in X$ so that

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} A(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_n, \omega) = A(\omega, \omega) = 0.
 \tag{3.5}$$

Now, suppose that the assumption (i) holds. The continuity of f implies

$$\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{n-1} = f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n-1}\right) = f\omega$$

and this proved that ω is a fixed point of f . Eventually, suppose that the assumption (ii) holds. Since $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence and $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup\{x_n\}$. Thus, $x_n \leq \omega$ for all n . Since f is nondecreasing, $fx_n \leq f\omega$ for all n , that is to say, $x_{n+1} \leq f\omega$ for all n . Further, as $x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq f\omega$ for all n and $\omega = \sup\{x_n\}$, we get $\omega \leq f\omega$. For this purpose, we establish the sequence $\{y_n\}$ as follows:

$$y_0 = \omega, \quad y_n = fy_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Due to $\omega \leq f \omega$, we obtain that $y_0 \leq fy_0 = y_1$. Therefore, $\{y_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u$ for certain $u \in X$. By the assumption (ii), we get $u = \sup \{y_n\}$.

Due to $x_n < \omega = y_0 \leq fy_0 = y_n \leq u$ for all n , suppose that $\omega \neq u$, from (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\kappa^2 A(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) &= \phi(\kappa^2 A(fx_n, fy_n)) \\ &\leq \phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{A(x_n, fx_n)A(y_n, fy_n)}{A(x_n, y_n)}, A(x_n, y_n)\right\}\right) \\ &\quad - \psi\left(\max\left\{\frac{A(x_n, fx_n)A(y_n, fy_n)}{A(x_n, y_n)}, A(x_n, y_n)\right\}\right) \\ &\quad + L\phi(\min\{A^p(x_n, fy_n), A^p(y_n, fx_n), \\ &\quad A^p(x_n, fx_n), A^p(y_n, fy_n)\}) \\ &= \phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{A(x_n, x_{n+1})A(y_n, y_{n+1})}{A(x_n, y_n)}, A(x_n, y_n)\right\}\right) \\ &\quad - \psi\left(\max\left\{\frac{A(x_n, x_{n+1})A(y_n, y_{n+1})}{A(x_n, y_n)}, A(x_n, y_n)\right\}\right) \\ &\quad + L\phi(\min\{A^p(x_n, y_{n+1}), A^p(y_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad A^p(x_n, x_{n+1}), A^p(y_n, y_{n+1})\}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the upper limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5), we have

$$\phi(\kappa^2 A(\omega, u)) \leq \phi(\max\{0, A(\omega, u)\}) - \psi(\max\{0, A(\omega, u)\}) + L\phi(0) < \phi(A(\omega, u)),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\omega = u$. We have $u \leq fu \leq u$, consequently, $fu = u$. For this reason, x is a fixed point of f . □

If we take $L = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a function A such that (X, A) is a complete b -metric-like space with the constant $\kappa \geq 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality*

$$\phi(\kappa^2 A(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{3.6}$$

for all distinct points $x, y \in X$ with $y \leq x$ where $\phi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{\frac{A(x, fx)A(y, fy)}{A(x, y)}, A(x, y)\right\}.$$

Also, assume either

- (i) f is continuous or;
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup \{x_n\}$.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Proof. We omit the proof due to the analogy to Theorem 3.1. □

If we take $\phi(t) = t$ and $\psi(t) = (1 - k)t$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a function A such that (X, A) is a complete b -metric-like space with the constant $\kappa \geq 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality*

$$\kappa^2 A(fx, fy) \leq kM(x, y) + LN^p(x, y) \tag{3.7}$$

for all distinct points $x, y \in X$ with $y \leq x$ where $k \in (0, 1)$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{A(x, fx) A(y, fy)}{A(x, y)}, A(x, y) \right\},$$

$$N^p(x, y) = \min \{A^p(x, fx), A^p(y, fy), A^p(x, fy), A^p(y, fx)\}.$$

Also, assume either

- (i) f is continuous or;
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup \{x_n\}$.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Proof. Along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the desired results. Due to the analogy, we skip the details of the proof. □

Theorem 3.4. *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, assume that*

for every $\omega, u \in X$ there exists $v \in X$ that is comparable to ω and u ,

then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that ω and u are fixed points of f where $\omega \neq u$. From (3.4), there exists $v \in X$ which is comparable with ω and u . Define the sequence $v_{n+1} = fv_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Since v is comparable with ω , we obtain $v \leq \omega$. By induction, we have $v_n \leq \omega$.

If $v_{n_0} = \omega$ for some $n_0 \geq 1$, then $v_n = fv_{n-1} = f\omega = \omega$ for all $n \geq n_0 - 1$, that is, $v_n \rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, if $v_n \neq \omega$ for all n , from (3.1), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\kappa^2 A(\omega, v_n)) &= \phi(\kappa^2 A(f\omega, fv_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \phi(M(\omega, v_{n-1})) - \psi(M(\omega, v_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + L\phi(\min\{A^p(\omega, f\omega), A^p(v_{n-1}, fv_{n-1}), \\ &\quad A^p(\omega, fv_{n-1}), A^p(v_{n-1}, f\omega)\}) \\ &= \phi(M(\omega, v_{n-1})) - \psi(M(\omega, v_{n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

for all distinct points $\omega, u \in X$ with $u \leq \omega$ where $\phi \in \Phi$, $\psi \in \Psi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M(\omega, v_{n-1}) &= \max \left\{ \frac{A(\omega, f\omega) A(v_{n-1}, fv_{n-1})}{A(\omega, v_{n-1})}, A(\omega, v_{n-1}) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{A(\omega, \omega) A(v_{n-1}, v_n)}{A(\omega, v_{n-1})}, A(\omega, v_{n-1}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $A(\omega, \omega) = 0$ in the above inequality. Then, we have

$$M(\omega, v_{n-1}) = A(\omega, v_{n-1}). \tag{3.8}$$

Thus,

$$\phi(\kappa^2 A(\omega, v_n)) \leq \phi(A(\omega, v_{n-1})) - \psi(A(\omega, v_{n-1})),$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

4. Consequences of the Main Results

We know that b -metric-like spaces are a proper extension of partial metric space, metric-like and b -metric spaces. Therefore, we can deduce the following corollaries in the settings of metric-like, partial metric and b -metric spaces, respectively.

4.1. Fixed Point Results in Metric-Like Spaces.

The notion of metric-like spaces which is an interesting generalization of partial metric space was introduced by Amini-Harandi (see [[2]-Definition 2.1]).

Corollary 4.1. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a function ξ such that (X, ξ) is a complete metric-like space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality*

$$\phi(\xi(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(M^*(x, y)) - \psi(M^*(x, y)) + L\phi(N^*(x, y))$$

for all distinct points $x, y \in X$ with $y \leq x$ where $\phi \in \Phi$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M^*(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{\xi(x, fx)\xi(y, fy)}{\xi(x, y)}, \xi(x, y) \right\},$$

$$N^*(x, y) = \min \{ \xi(x, fx), \xi(y, fy), \xi(x, fy), \xi(y, fx) \}.$$

Also, assume either

- (i) f is continuous or;
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup \{x_n\}$.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Corollary 4.2. *In addition to the hypotheses of Corollary 4.1, assume that*

for every $\omega, u \in X$ there exists $v \in X$ that is comparable to ω and u ,

then f has a unique fixed point.

4.2. Fixed Point Results in Partial Metric Spaces.

Matthews [9] established the notation of a partial metric space (see [6, Definition 3.1]).

Corollary 4.3. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric D such that (X, D) is a complete partial metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality*

$$\phi(D(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(M^{**}(x, y)) - \psi(M^{**}(x, y)) + L\phi(N^{**}(x, y))$$

for all distinct points $x, y \in X$ with $y \leq x$ where $\phi \in \Phi$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$M^{**}(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{D(x, fx)D(y, fy)}{D(x, y)}, D(x, y) \right\},$$

$$N^{**}(x, y) = \min \{ D(x, fx), D(y, fy), D(x, fy), D(y, fx) \}.$$

Also, assume either

(i) f is continuous or;

(ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup \{x_n\}$.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Corollary 4.4. In addition to the hypotheses of Corollary 4.3, assume that

for every $\omega, u \in X$ there exists $v \in X$ that is comparable to ω and u ,

then f has a unique fixed point.

4.3. Fixed Point Results in b -Metric Spaces.

The concept of b -metric space was introduced by Czerwik (for more details and definition, see [4]).

Corollary 4.5. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a function b such that (X, b) is a complete b -metric space with the constant $s \geq 1$ and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality

$$\phi(\kappa b(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(m(x, y)) - \psi(m(x, y)) + L\phi(n(x, y))$$

for all distinct points $x, y \in X$ with $y \leq x$ where $\phi \in \Phi$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $L \geq 0$ and

$$m(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{b(x, fx)b(y, fy)}{b(x, y)}, b(x, y) \right\},$$

$$n(x, y) = \min \{b(x, fx), b(y, fy), b(x, fy), b(y, fx)\}.$$

Also, assume either

(i) f is continuous or;

(ii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup \{x_n\}$.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Corollary 4.6. In addition to the hypotheses of Corollary 4.5, assume that

for every $\omega, u \in X$ there exists $v \in X$ that is comparable to ω and u ,

then f has a unique fixed point.

Remark 4.7.

1. Theorem 3.2 is a generalization of Theorem 4 in [10].
2. If we take $L = 0$, $\phi(t) = t$, $\psi(t) = (1 - k)t$ and $k = \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$ in Theorem 3.1, this a generalization of Corollary 7 in [10].
3. If we take $L = 0$ and $\phi(t) = t$ in Theorem 3.1, this a generalization of Theorem 2.1. in [8].
4. If we take $L = 0$, $\phi(t) = t$, $\psi(t) = (1 - k)t$ and $k = \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$ in Corollary 4.3, this corresponds to Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 in [6].

5. Examples

We present the following two examples to support our results.

Example 5.1. Let $X = [0, \infty)$. Define $A : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $A(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define an ordering " \preceq " on X as follows:

$$x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow x \leq y, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set and (X, A) is a complete b -metric-like space with coefficient $\kappa = 2$ (see [7], Example 14).

Define self-map f on X by $fx = \ln \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)^2 + 1} + \frac{x}{3} \right) = \sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{3}$. By (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^2 A(fx, fy) &= 4 (f^2x + f^2y + |fx - fy|^2) \\ &= 4 \left(\left(\sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{3} \right)^2 + \left(\sinh^{-1} \frac{y}{3} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{3} - \sinh^{-1} \frac{y}{3} \right|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 4 \left(\left(\frac{x}{3} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{3} \right)^2 + \left| \frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3} \right|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{9} (x^2 + y^2 + |x - y|^2) \\ &= \frac{4}{9} A(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{9} \max \left\{ \frac{A(x, fx) A(y, fy)}{A(x, y)}, A(x, y) \right\} \\ &\quad + L \{ \min \{ A^p(x, fx), A^p(y, fy), A^p(x, fy), A^p(y, fx) \} \} \\ &= kM(x, y) + LN^p(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\kappa^2 A(fx, fy) \leq kM(x, y) + LN^p(x, y)$ where $k = \frac{4}{9} \in (0, 1)$.

Now, all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold and f has a unique fixed point $0 \in X = [0, \infty)$.

Example 5.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $A : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, x) &= 0 \text{ for } x \in X, \\ A(0, 1) &= A(1, 2) = 1, \\ A(0, 2) &= \frac{9}{4}, \\ A(x, y) &= A(y, x) \text{ for } x, y \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (X, A) is a b -metric-like space (with $\kappa = \frac{9}{8}$). Define an order on X by

$$\preceq := \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (2, 0)\}$$

and obtain a complete ordered b -metric-like space. Consider the mapping

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the mappings $\phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\phi(t) = t$ and $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$.

We know that if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$, then $\omega = \sup \{x_n\}$. Virtually, let $\{v_n\}$ be a nondecreasing sequence in X in terms of \preceq such that $v_n \rightarrow u \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We get $v_n \preceq v_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (i) If $v_0 = 0$, then $v_0 = 0 \preceq v_1$. From the definition of \preceq , we have $v_1 = 0$. By induction, we have $v_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u = 0$. Then $v_n \preceq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u = \sup \{v_n\}$.
- (ii) If $v_0 = 1$, then $v_0 = 1 \preceq v_1$. From the definition of \preceq , we have $v_1 = 1$. By induction, we have $v_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u = 1$. Then $v_n \preceq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u = \sup \{v_n\}$.
- (iii) If $v_0 = 2$, then $v_0 = 2 \preceq v_1$. From the definition of \preceq , we have $v_1 \in \{2, 0\}$. By induction, we have $v_n \in \{2, 0\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that there exists $q \geq 1$ such that $v_q = 0$. From the definition of \preceq , we have $v_n = v_q = 0$ for all $n \geq q$. Therefore, we get $u = 0$. and $v_n \preceq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, suppose that $v_n = 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the circumstances, we have $u = 2$ and $v_n \preceq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u = \sup \{v_n\}$.

Now, we proved that in all situations, we have $u = \sup \{v_n\}$.

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \preceq y$ and $x \neq y$, then, we get only $x = 2$ and $y = 0$. Especially,

$$A(f2, f0) = A(0, 0) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M(2, 0) &= \max \left\{ \frac{A(2, f2) A(0, f0)}{A(2, 0)}, A(2, 0) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{A(2, 0) A(0, 0)}{A(2, 0)}, A(2, 0) \right\} \\ &= A(2, 0) = \frac{9}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.7) holds. After all, it is clear that f is a nondecreasing mapping in terms of \preceq and there exists $x_0 = 2$ such that $x_0 \preceq fx_0$. All the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are confirmed in terms of \preceq and $u = 0$ is a fixed point of f .

References

- [1] M. A. Alghamdi, N. Hussain, P. Salimi, *Fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on b-metric-like spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2013** (2013), 25 pages.2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4
- [2] A. Amini-Harandi, *Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 10 pages.4.1
- [3] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math., **3** (1922), 133–181.1
- [4] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b-metric space*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5–11.4.3
- [5] D. J. Jaggi, *Some unique fixed point theorems*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **8** (1977), 223–230.1
- [6] J. Harjani, B. López, K. Sadarangani, *A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type on a partially ordered metric space*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2010** (2010), 8 pages.1, 4.2, 4.7
- [7] N. Hussain, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, *Fixed points of contractive mappings in b-metric-like spaces*, Sci. World J., **2014** (2014), 15 pages.2, 5.1
- [8] N. V. Luong, N. X. Thuan, *Fixed point theorem for generalized weak contractions satisfying rational expression in ordered metric space*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2011** (2011), 10 pages.1, 4.7

-
- [9] S. G. Matthews, *Partial metric topology*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., New York, **728** (1994), 183–197.
4.2
- [10] Z. Mustafa, E. Karapınar, H. Aydi, *A discussion on generalized almost contractions via rational expressions in partially ordered metric spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2014** (2014), 12 pages.1, 1.1, 4.7