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Abstract

In this research, we focus on a common fixed point problem of a nonexpansive semigroup with the generalized viscosity methods for implicit iterative algorithms. Our main objective is to construct the new strong convergence theorems under certain appropriate conditions in uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Specifically, the main results make a contribution to the implicit midpoint theorems. The findings for theorems in Hilbert spaces and the other forms of a nonexpansive semigroup can be used in several practical purposes. Finally, a numerical example in 3 dimensions is provided to support our main results.

Keywords: Nonexpansive semigroup, fixed point, generalized viscosity, implicit, Banach space.

2010 MSC: 47H10, 47H20, 47J20.

1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space, $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, and $E^*$ be the dual space of $E$ with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ pairing between $E$ and $E^*$.

- The \textit{duality mapping} $J : E \to 2^{E^*}$ is defined by

$$J(x) = \{ x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2, \|x^*\| = \|x\| \}$$

for all $x \in E$. It is well known that if $E$ is a Hilbert space then $J$ is the identity mapping, and if $E$ is smooth then $J$ is single-valued.
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A mapping $T : C \to C$ is called a nonexpansive, if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in C$$

and $F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$ is the set of fixed points of $T$.

A mapping $f : C \to C$ is called a contraction, if there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in C.$$ 

A family $S = \{S(s) : 0 \leq s < \infty\}$ of mappings of $C$ into itself is called a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $S(0)x = x$ for all $x \in C$;
(ii) $S(s + t) = S(s)S(t)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$;
(iii) $\|S(s)x - S(s)y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$ and $s \geq 0$;
(iv) for each $x \in C$, the mapping $S(\cdot)x$ from $[0, \infty)$ into $C$ is continuous.

$S$, i.e., $F(S) = \{x \in C : S(s)x = x, \forall s > 0\}$ is a common fixed point set of a nonexpansive semigroup. It is easy to see that $F(S)$ is closed and convex (see also [3, 5, 6, 11]).

**Definition 1.1.** A mapping $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is called an $L$-function if $\psi(0) = 0, \psi(t) > 0, \forall t > 0$ and for every $s > 0$ there exists $u > s$ such that

$$\psi(t) \leq s \text{ for } t \in [s, u].$$

Note that every $L$-function $\psi$ satisfies $\psi(t) < t, \forall t > 0$.

**Definition 1.2.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. A mapping $f : X \to X$ is said to be:

(i) a $(\psi, L)$-contraction if $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an $L$-function and $d(f(x), f(y)) < \psi(d(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$;
(ii) a Meir-Keeler type mapping if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta$ we have $d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$.

**Theorem 1.3** ([7]). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $f : X \to X$ a mapping. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $f$ is a Meir-Keeler type mapping;
(ii) there exists an $L$-function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $f$ is a $(\psi, L)$-contraction.

**Proposition 1.4** ([10]). Let $C$ be a convex subset of a Banach space $E$. Let $f : C \to C$ be a Meir-Keeler type mapping. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $x, y \in C$ with $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$, we have

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq r\|x - y\|.$$ 

Now, a Meir-Keeler type mapping or $(\psi, L)$-contraction is called generalized contraction mapping. We suppose that the function $\psi$ based on the definition of the $(\psi, L)$-contraction is continuous and strictly increasing and $\lim_{t \to \infty} \eta(t) = \infty$, where $\eta(t) = t - \psi(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. In consequence, we have that $\eta$ is a bijection on $\mathbb{R}^+$.

In 2015, the viscosity implicit midpoint theorem in Hilbert spaces was introduced by Xu et al. [13]:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)T\left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \ n \geq 0.$$ 

In the same year, Ke et al. [4] introduced the two viscosity implicit midpoint theorem in Hilbert spaces:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n Q(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)T(s_n x_n + (1 - s_n)x_{n+1}).$$
and
\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n Q(x_n) + \gamma_n T(s_n x_n + (1-s_n)x_{n+1}). \]

One year later, Yan et al. [14] introduced an implicit iteration for a generalized contraction mapping in Banach space:
\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(s_n x_n + (1-s_n)x_{n+1}). \]

They proved the strong convergence theorems.

Motivated and inspired by the idea of Yan et al. [14], we introduce the new implicit iterative scheme and the new implicit midpoint rule with viscosity approximation method based on a generalized contraction mapping for finding solutions of fixed point problems for nonexpansive semigroup. We shall prove the strong convergence theorems in uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space under some parameters controlling conditions. Our results extend and improve the recent results of Yan et al. [14] and other authors.

2. Preliminaries

According to our framework throughout this research, we first preview some definitions involving a Banach space \( E \) as follows. Let \( U = \{ x \in E : \| x \| = 1 \}. \)

- \( E \) is said to be uniformly convex if, for any \( \epsilon \in (0,2) \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that, for any \( x, y \in U \), 
  \[ \| x - y \| \geq \epsilon \text{ implies } \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta. \]
  It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex.
- \( E \) is said to be smooth if \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\| x + ty \| - \| x \|}{t} \) exists for all \( x, y \in U \).
  It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly for all \( x, y \in U \). The modulus of smoothness of \( E \) is defined by
  \[ \rho(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \| x + y \| + \| x - y \| \right) - 1 : x, y \in E, \| x \| = 1, \| y \| = \tau \right\}, \]
  where \( \rho : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is a function.
  It is known that \( E \) is uniformly smooth if and only if \( \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\tau} = 0. \)

A Banach space \( E \) is said to satisfy Opial’s condition if for any sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) in \( E \), \( x_n \to x(n \to \infty) \) implies
\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - x \| < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - y \|, \forall y \in E \text{ with } x \neq y. \]

By [2, Theorem 1], it is well known that if \( E \) admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping, then \( E \) satisfies Opial’s condition, and \( E \) is smooth.

The following lemmas are very useful for proving our main results.

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). Let \( \{ x_n \} \) and \( \{ y_n \} \) be bounded sequences in a Banach space \( X \) and let \( \{ \beta_n \} \) be a sequence in \( [0,1] \) with \( 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1. \) Suppose \( x_{n+1} = (1-\beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n \) for all integers \( n \geq 0 \) and \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} (\| y_{n+1} - y_n \| - \| x_{n+1} - x_n \|) \leq 0. \) Then, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| y_n - x_n \| = 0. \)

Lemma 2.2 ([8]). Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space \( E \). Let \( T : C \to C \) be a nonexpansive mapping such that \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \) and \( f : C \to C \) be a generalized contraction mapping. Then \( \{ x_t \} \) defined by \( x_t = tx_t + (1-t)Tx_t \) for \( t \in (0,1) \), converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(T) \) as \( t \to 0 \), which solves the variational inequality:
\[ \langle f(x^*) - x^*, J(z - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F(T). \]
Lemma 2.3 (\cite{8}). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space $E$. Let $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $f : C \to C$ be a generalized contraction mapping. Assume that $\{x_t\}$ defined by $x_t = tf(x_t) + (1 - t)Tx_t$, converges strongly to $x^* \in F(T)$ as $t \to 0$. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded sequence such that $x_n - Tx_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} (f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_n - x^*)) \leq 0.$$

Lemma 2.4 (\cite{12}). Assume $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \delta_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that

1. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;
2. $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\delta_n}{\alpha_n} < 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space $E$. Let $f$ be a generalized contraction mapping from $C$ into itself and $S = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into itself such that $F(S) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_1 \in C$ and

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n)x_{n+1}, \\
y_n = \delta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_n)z_n, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n)y_n, \
\end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}$, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ are the sequences in $(0, 1)$. The following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$;
(ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|T(\mu_{n+1})x - T(\mu_n)x\| = 0$, where $\hat{C}$ is a bounded subset of $C$;
(iii) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1$;
(iv) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in F(S)$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (1 - f)x^*, J(z - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F(S).$$

Proof. First of all, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Let $p \in F(S)$, we have

$$\|y_n - p\| \leq \delta_n \|f(x_n) - p\| + (1 - \delta_n)\|z_n - p\|$$

$$\leq \delta_n \|f(x_n) - f(p)\| + \delta_n \|f(p) - p\| + (1 - \delta_n)\|z_n - p\|$$

$$\leq \delta_n \psi \|x_n - p\| + \delta_n \|f(p) - p\| + (1 - \delta_n)\|\lambda_n\|\|x_n - p\| + (1 - \lambda_n)\|x_{n+1} - p\|$$

$$= [\delta_n \psi + (1 - \lambda_n)\lambda_n] \|x_n - p\| + \delta_n \|f(p) - p\| + (1 - \delta_n)(1 - \lambda_n)\|x_{n+1} - p\|$$

and

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|f(x_n) - p\| + \gamma_n \|T(\mu_n)y_n - p\|$$

$$\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|f(x_n) - f(p)\| + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\| + \gamma_n \|y_n - p\|$$
\[ \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \gamma_n(1 - \delta_n)(1 - \lambda_n)} \|x_n - p\| + \frac{(\beta_n + \gamma_n \delta_n)\eta}{1 - \gamma_n(1 - \delta_n)(1 - \lambda_n)} \|f(p) - p\| . \]

By induction, we conclude that
\[ \|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_1 - p\|, \eta^{-1}\|f(p) - p\| \right\}, \forall n \geq 0. \]

This implies that \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded, so are \( \{f(x_n)\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\mu_n\}, \{y_n\}, \) and \( \{z_n\} \).

Next, we will show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0 \) and we observe that
\[ \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| = \|(\lambda_{n+1}x_{n+1} + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})x_{n+2}) - (\lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n)x_{n+1})\| = \|\lambda_{n+1}x_{n+1} - \lambda_n x_n + \lambda_n x_n - (1 - \lambda_n)x_{n+1}\| = \|\lambda_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1})x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| + (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1})x_{n+1}\| \]
\[ \leq \lambda_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|\|x_n\| + \|x_{n+1}\| + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\|, \]
\[ \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| = \|(\delta_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) + (1 - \delta_{n+1})z_{n+1}) - (\delta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_n)z_n)\| = \|\delta_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) - \delta_n f(x_n) + \delta_{n+1} f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_{n+1})z_{n+1}\| = \|\delta_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)\| + (\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n)\|f(x_n)\| + (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \]
\[ \leq \delta_{n+1}\|f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|f(x_n)\| + \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| = \delta_{n+1}\|f(x_{n+1} - f(x_n)) + (\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n)\|f(x_n)\| + (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \]
\[ \leq \delta_{n+1}\|f(x_{n+1} - f(x_n))\| + \|\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|f(x_n)\| + \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| + (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|\lambda_{n+1}x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|\|x_n\| + \|x_{n+1}\| + (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\|\]
\[ = (\delta_{n+1}\|f(x_{n+1} - f(x_n))\| + \|\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|f(x_n)\| + \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| + (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|\lambda_{n+1}x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|\|x_n\| + \|x_{n+1}\| + (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| , \]

It follows that
\[ \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \frac{\beta_n + \gamma_n \delta_n \eta}{1 - \gamma_n(1 - \delta_n)(1 - \lambda_n)} \|x_n - p\| + \frac{(\beta_n + \gamma_n \delta_n)\eta}{1 - \gamma_n(1 - \delta_n)(1 - \lambda_n)} \|f(p) - p\|. \]
and
\[ \|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| = \| (\alpha_{n+1}x_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1}f(x_{n+1}) + \gamma_{n+1}T(\mu_{n+1})y_{n+1}) - (\alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n) y_n) \| \\
= \| \alpha_{n+1} x_{n+1} - \alpha_n x_n + \beta_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) - \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_{n+1} T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1} - \gamma_n T(\mu_n) y_n - \alpha_n x_n - \beta_n f(x_n) \| \\
= \| \alpha_{n+1} (x_{n+1} - x_n) + \beta_{n+1} (f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)) + \gamma_{n+1} T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n \| \\
\leq \| \alpha_{n+1} \| \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \| f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n) \| + |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n \| \\
\leq \alpha_{n+1} (1 - \gamma_n) \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + \beta_{n+1} \| f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n) \| + \gamma_{n+1} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n \| \\
\leq (\alpha_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1} \gamma_n) \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + \gamma_{n+1} \sup_{y \in \{y_n\}} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
+ |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \| f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n) \| + \gamma_{n+1} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
\leq (\alpha_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1} \gamma_n) \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + \gamma_{n+1} \sup_{y \in \{y_n\}} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
+ \| \gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n \| \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n \| + \gamma_{n+1} \| f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n) \| + \gamma_{n+1} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n \| \\
\leq (\alpha_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1} \gamma_n) \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + \gamma_{n+1} \sup_{y \in \{y_n\}} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \|
\]

It follows that
\[ \|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| \leq \left[ 1 - \frac{(\beta_{n+1} + \gamma_{n+1} \delta_{n+1}) \eta}{1 - \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \right] \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\
+ \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \sup_{y \in \{y_n\}} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
+ \frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \|x_n\| + \frac{|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \| f(x_n) \| \\
+ \frac{|\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \| T(\mu_n) y_n \| \\
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\|w_{n+1} - w_n\| &= \left\| \frac{x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}x_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{x_{n+1} - \alpha_n x_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right\| \\
&= \left\| \frac{\beta_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) + \gamma_{n+1} T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n) y_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right\| \\
&= \left\| \frac{\beta_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) + \gamma_{n+1} T(\mu_{n+1}) y_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n) y_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)\| + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|T(\mu_{n+1})y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n\| \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) f(x_n) + \left( \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\gamma_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) T(\mu_n) y_n \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)\| + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|T(\mu_{n+1})y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n\| \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) f(x_n) + \left( \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\gamma_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) T(\mu_n) y_n \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)\| + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|T(\mu_{n+1})y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n\| \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) (\|f(x_n)\| + \|T(\mu_n) y_n\|) \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \|f(x_n)\| + \|T(\mu_n) y_n\| \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|T(\mu_{n+1})y_{n+1} - T(\mu_n) y_n\| + \|T(\mu_{n+1})y_n - T(\mu_n) y_n\| \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \|f(x_n)\| + \|T(\mu_n) y_n\|
\end{align*}
\]

where \( M = \sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \|x_n\|, \|f(x_n)\|, \|T(\mu_n) y_n\|, \|f(x_n)\| + \|T(\mu_n) y_n\|, \|z_n\|, \|x_n\| + \|x_{n+1}\| \} < \infty. \)

Setting \( x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) w_n + \alpha_n x_n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), we see that \( w_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - \alpha_n x_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \), then we have
\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \| y_{n+1} - y_n \| + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \sup_{y \in (y_n)} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_{n+1} \psi}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \sup_{y \in (y_n)} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) \left( \| f(x_n) \| + \| T(\mu_n) y_n \| \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \left[ (\delta_{n+1} + (1 - \delta_{n+1}) \lambda_{n+1}) \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + \| z_n \| \right] \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{n+1}) \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \| \| x_n \| + \| x_{n+1} \| \right] \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1}) \| x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} \| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \left[ \beta_{n+1} + \gamma_{n+1} \delta_{n+1} \psi + \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) \lambda_{n+1} \right] \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \sup_{y \in (y_n)} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) \left( \| f(x_n) \| + \| T(\mu_n) y_n \| \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \left[ |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n| \| f(x_n) \| + \| z_n \| \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \left[ (1 - \delta_{n+1}) \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \| \| x_n \| + \| x_{n+1} \| \right] \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1}) \| x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} \| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \left[ \beta_{n+1} + \gamma_{n+1} \delta_{n+1} \psi + \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) \lambda_{n+1} \right] \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \sup_{y \in (y_n)} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) \left( \| f(x_n) \| + \| T(\mu_n) y_n \| \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \left[ |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n| \| f(x_n) \| + \| z_n \| \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} \left[ (1 - \delta_{n+1}) \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \| \| x_n \| + \| x_{n+1} \| \right] \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1}) \| x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} \| \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \gamma_{n+1} \right\} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_{n+1} (1 - \delta_{n+1}) (1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \sup_{y \in (y_n)} \| T(\mu_{n+1}) y - T(\mu_n) y \| \\
\end{align*} \]
Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( T(\mu_{n+1})y - T(\mu_n)y \right) + \frac{\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \left( \|f(x_n)\| + \|T(\mu_n)y_n\| \right)
\]

\[
\leq \left\{ \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}(1 - \delta_{n+1})(1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \sup_{y \in \{y_n\}} \|T(\mu_{n+1})y - T(\mu_n)y\| \right. 
\left. + \frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}(1 - \delta_{n+1})(1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}(1 - \delta_{n+1})(1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \right.
\left. \frac{|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}(1 - \delta_{n+1})(1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}(1 - \delta_{n+1})(1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \right.
\left. + \frac{|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n\gamma_{n+1}(1 - \delta_{n+1})(1 - \lambda_{n+1})|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}(1 - \delta_{n+1})(1 - \lambda_{n+1})} \right\}. 
\]

Therefore,

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\|w_{n+1} - w_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0. 
\]

Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|w_n - x_n\| = 0 \) and by setting \( \{w_n\} \), we also have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.1} 
\]

Next, we will show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T(\mu_n)x_n\| = 0 \). We observe that

\[
\|z_n - x_n\| = (1 - \lambda_n)\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|y_n - z_n\| = \delta_n\|f(x) - z_n\|,
\]

which imply that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|z_n - x_n\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - z_n\| = 0.
\]

Therefore, we conclude that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.2} 
\]

Consider that

\[
\|x_n - T(\mu_n)x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T(\mu_n)x_n\|
\]

\[
= \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|\alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n)y_n - T(\mu_n)x_n\|
\]

\[
\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n\|x_n - T(\mu_n)x_n\| + \beta_n\|f(x_n) - T(\mu_n)x_n\| + \gamma_n\|T(\mu_n)y_n - T(\mu_n)x_n\|
\]

\[
\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n\|x_n - T(\mu_n)x_n\| + \beta_n\|f(x_n) - T(\mu_n)x_n\| + \gamma_n\|y_n - x_n\|,
\]
which implies that

\[ \|x_n - T(\mu_n) x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \|f(x_n) - T(\mu_n) x_n\| + \frac{\gamma_n}{1 - \alpha_n} \|y_n - x_n\|. \]

It follows from the conditions (ii), (iii), and (3.2) that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T(\mu_n) x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.3} \]

Now, we show that \( z \in F(S) \). We can choose a sequence \( \{x_{nk}\} \) of \( \{x_k\} \) such that \( \{x_{nk}\} \) is bounded and there exists a subsequence \( \{x_{nk}\} \) of \( \{x_{nk}\} \) which converges weakly to \( z \). Without loss of generality, we can assume that \( x_{nk} \to z \). Let \( \mu_{nk} \geq 0 \) such that \( \mu_{nk} \to 0 \) and \( \|T(\mu_{nk}) x_{nk} - x_{nk}\| \to 0, k \to \infty \). Fix \( t > 0 \), we can notice that

\[
\|x_{nk} - T(t) z\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil t/\mu_{nk} \rceil - 1} \|t \left( (i + 1) \mu_{nk} \right) x_{nk} - T(i \mu_{nk}) x_{nk}\| \\
+ \|T \left( \frac{t}{\mu_{nk}} \right) x_{nk} - T \left( \frac{t}{\mu_{nk}} \mu_{nk} z \right)\| + \|T \left( t/\mu_{nk} \right) x_{nk} - T(t) z\| \\
\leq \frac{t}{\mu_{nk}} \|T(\mu_{nk}) x_{nk} - x_{nk}\| + \|x_{nk} - z\| + \|T(t - t/\mu_{nk}) x_{nk} - T(t) z\| \\
\leq t \frac{\|T(\mu_{nk}) x_{nk} - x_{nk}\|}{\mu_{nk}} + \|x_{nk} - z\| + \|T(t - t/\mu_{nk}) x_{nk} - T(t) z\|. 
\]

For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[ \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|x_{nk} - T(t) z\| \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|x_{nk} - z\|. \]

Since a Banach space \( E \) with a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping satisfies the Opial’s condition, this implies \( T(t) z = z \). Therefore, \( z \in F(S) \).

By Lemma 2.2, the sequence \( \{x_{t}\} \) is defined by \( x_{t} = t f(x_{t}) + (1 - t) T x_{t} \) such that \( t \in (0,1) \) which converges strongly to a fixed point \( x^* \in F(S) \) and solves the variational inequality:

\[ \langle (f - 1)x^*, J(z - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F(S). \]

Following Lemma 2.3 and (3.1)-(3.3), we conclude that

\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (f - 1)x^*, J(y_n - x^*) \rangle \leq 0 \tag{3.4} \]

and

\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (f - 1)x^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*) \rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.5} \]

Finally, we show that \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(S) \). Assume that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) does not converge strongly to \( x^* \), there exists a subsequence \( \{x_{nk}\} \) of \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( \|x_{nk} - x^*\| \geq \epsilon, \forall k = 1, 2, \ldots \). For this \( \epsilon \) there exists \( r \in (0,1) \) such that

\[ \|f(x_{nk}) - f(x^*)\| \leq r \|x_{nk} - x^*\|. \]

We compute that

\[
\|y_{nk} - x^*\|^2 = \langle y_{nk} - x^*, J(y_{nk} - x^*) \rangle \\
= \langle \delta_{nk} f(x_{nk}) + (1 - \delta_{nk}) z_{nk} - x^*, J(y_{nk} - x^*) \rangle.
\]
We observe that
\[
\|x_k - x^*\| = \|x_k - x^*\| + \delta_n (f(x_k^*) - x^*, J(y_n - x^*))
\]
which implies that
\[
\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \langle x_{k+1} - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle
\]
We observe that
\[
\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 = \langle x_{k+1} - x^*, J(x_{k+1} - x^*) \rangle
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{2\delta_{n_k}}{1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}(f(x^*) - x^*, J(y_{n_k} - x^*)) + \frac{(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})}{1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}\|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \\
= \left[\frac{\alpha_{n_k} + r\beta_{n_k}}{2} + \gamma_{n_k} \left(\frac{r\delta_{n_k} + (1-\delta_{n_k})\lambda_{n_k}}{1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}\right)\right] \|x_{n_k} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \left[\frac{\alpha_{n_k} + r\beta_{n_k}}{2} + \gamma_{n_k} \left(\frac{(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})}{1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}\right)\right] \|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \beta_{n_k}(f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*)) + \frac{\gamma_{n_k}\delta_{n_k}}{1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}(f(x^*) - x^*, J(y_{n_k} - x^*)) \\
= \frac{(1-\gamma_{n_k} - \beta_{n_k}(1-r))(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) + \gamma_{n_k}(r\delta_{n_k} + (1-\delta_{n_k})\lambda_{n_k})}{2(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r))} \|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \frac{(1-\beta_{n_k}(1-r))(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) + \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})}{2(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r))} \|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \beta_{n_k}(f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*)) + \frac{\gamma_{n_k}\delta_{n_k}}{1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}(f(x^*) - x^*, J(y_{n_k} - x^*)).
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that

\[
\|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{(1-\gamma_{n_k} - \beta_{n_k}(1-r))(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) + \gamma_{n_k}(r\delta_{n_k} + (1-\delta_{n_k})\lambda_{n_k})}{2\gamma_{n_k}(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r))} \|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \frac{(1-\beta_{n_k}(1-r))(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) + \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})}{2\gamma_{n_k}(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r))} \|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \beta_{n_k}(f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*)) + \frac{\gamma_{n_k}\delta_{n_k}}{2\gamma_{n_k}(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r))} \|x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \beta_{n_k}(f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*)) + \frac{\gamma_{n_k}\delta_{n_k}}{2\gamma_{n_k}(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r))} \|f(x^*) - x^*, J(y_{n_k} - x^*)\|.
\]

where

\[
\delta'_{n_k} = \frac{\frac{2\beta_{n_k}}{1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) + 2\gamma_{n_k}\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}{1+\beta_{n_k}(1-r)(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) - \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})} \frac{1+\beta_{n_k}(1-r)(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) - \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})}{1+\beta_{n_k}(1-r)(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) - \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})}
\]

and

\[
\delta'_{n_k} = \frac{2\beta_{n_k}(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) + 2\gamma_{n_k}\delta_{n_k}(1-r)}{1+\beta_{n_k}(1-r)(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) - \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})} \frac{(f(x^*) - x^*, J(x_{n_{k+1}} - x^*))}{1+\beta_{n_k}(1-r)(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) - \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})} \frac{f(x^*) - x^*, J(y_{n_k} - x^*)}{1+\beta_{n_k}(1-r)(1+\delta_{n_k}(1-r)) - \gamma_{n_k}(1-\delta_{n_k})(1-\lambda_{n_k})}.
\]
Now, from the condition (iv), (3.5), (3.4), and Lemma 2.4, we have \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_k} = \infty \) and \( \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\delta_{n_k}}{\alpha_{n_k}} \leq 0 \). Then we get \( \|x_{n_k} - x^*\| \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). This is a contradiction, hence the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(S) \). The proof is complete.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space \( E \). Let \( f \) be a generalized contraction mapping from \( C \) into itself and \( S = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \) be a nonexpansive semigroup from \( C \) into itself such that \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequences defined by \( x_1 \in C \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
  z_n &= \frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}, \\
  y_n &= \delta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_n)z_n, \\
  x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n)y_n,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \) and \( \{\delta_n\} \) are the sequences in \( (0, 1) \). The following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1 \);
(ii) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = 0 \) and \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|T(\mu_{n+1})x - T(\mu_n)x\| = 0 \), where \( \hat{C} \) is bounded subset of \( C \);
(iii) \( 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1 \);
(iv) \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(S) \) which also solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle (1 - f)x^*, J(z - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F(S).
\]

**Proof.** Putting \( \lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} \) in Theorem 3.1, we can conclude the desired conclusion easily. This completes the proof.

4. Applications

4.1. Application to the other forms of semigroups

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space \( E \). Let \( f \) be a generalized contraction mapping from \( C \) into itself and \( S = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \) be a nonexpansive semigroup from \( C \) into itself such that \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequences defined by \( x_1 \in C \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
  z_n &= \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) x_{n+1}, \\
  y_n &= \delta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_n)z_n, \\
  x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n \int_0^{t_n} T(t)y_n dt,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \) and \( \{\lambda_n\} \) are the sequences in \( (0, 1) \), \( \{t_n\} \) is an increasing sequence in \( (0, \infty) \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty \), and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{t_n}{t_{n+1}} = 1 \). The following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1 \);
(ii) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0 \) and

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \hat{C}} \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(t)x dt - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(t)x dt \right\| = 0,
\]

where \( \hat{C} \) is bounded subset of \( C \).

Let \( \text{Theorem 4.3.} \)

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(S) \) which also solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle (I - f)x^*, J(z - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F(S).
\]

Proof. Define \( \mu_n(f) = \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} f(t) \, dt, \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( f \) belong to the space of all real valued bounded continuous functions on positive real number with supremum norm. Then, \( \{\mu_n\} \) is a regular sequence of means \([1]\). Further, we have \( T(\mu_n)x = \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(t)x \, dt, \forall x \in C \) and apply Theorem 3.1 to conclude the result. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space \( E \). Let \( f \) be a generalized contraction mapping from \( C \) into itself and \( S = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \) be a nonexpansive semigroup from \( C \) into itself such that \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequences defined by \( x_1 \in C \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
z_n = \frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}, \\
y_n = \delta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_n)z_n, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(t)y_n \, dt,
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \) and \( \{\lambda_n\} \) are the sequences in \((0, 1)\), \( \{t_n\} \) is an increasing sequence in \((0, \infty)\) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{t_n}{t_{n+1}} = 1.
\]

The following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1; \)

(ii) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0 \) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in C} \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(t)x \, ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(t)x \, ds \right\| = 0,
\]

where \( C \) is bounded subset of \( C; \)

(iii) \( 0 < \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1; \)

(iv) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty \) for all \( n \geq 1. \)

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(S) \) which also solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle (I - f)x^*, J(z - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F(S).
\]


From the duality mapping \( J : E \to 2^{E^*} \), if \( E = H \) is a real Hilbert space then \( J = I \) is the identity mapping.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space \( H \). Let \( f \) be a generalized contraction mapping from \( C \) into itself and \( S = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \) be a nonexpansive semigroup from \( C \) into itself such that \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequences defined by \( x_1 \in C \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
z_n = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n)x_{n+1}, \\
y_n = \delta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_n)z_n, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n)y_n,
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \) and \( \{\lambda_n\} \) are the sequences in \((0, 1)\). The following conditions are satisfied:
\( (i) \ \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1; \)
\( (ii) \ \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0 \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \tilde{C}} \|T(\mu_{n+1})x - T(\mu_n)x\| = 0, \) where \( \tilde{C} \) is bounded subset of \( C; \)
\( (iii) \ 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1; \)
\( (iv) \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty \) for all \( n \geq 1. \)

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(S) \) which also solves the following variational inequality:
\[ ((I-f)x^*, z-x^*) \leq 0, \forall z \in F(S). \]

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space \( H. \) Let \( f \) be a generalized contraction mapping from \( C \) into itself and \( S = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \) be a nonexpansive semigroup from \( C \) into itself such that \( F(S) \neq \emptyset. \) Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequences defined by \( x_1 \in C \) and
\[
\begin{cases}
  z_n = \frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}, \\
  y_n = \delta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \delta_n)x_n, \\
  x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(\mu_n)y_n,
\end{cases}
\]
where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \) and \( \{\delta_n\} \) are the sequences in \( (0, 1). \) The following conditions are satisfied:
\( (i) \ \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1; \)
\( (ii) \ \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = 0 \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \tilde{C}} \|T(\mu_{n+1})x - T(\mu_n)x\| = 0, \) where \( \tilde{C} \) is bounded subset of \( C; \)
\( (iii) \ 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1; \)
\( (iv) \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty \) for all \( n \geq 1. \)

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in F(S) \) which also solves the following variational inequality:
\[ ((I-f)x^*, z-x^*) \leq 0, \forall z \in F(S). \]

**5. Numerical example**

**Example 5.1.** Let \( E = \mathbb{R}^3 \) and an inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \) be defined by
\[ \langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot y = x^1 \cdot y^1 + x^2 \cdot y^2 + x^3 \cdot y^3, \quad \forall x = (x^1, x^2, x^3), y = (y^1, y^2, y^3) \]
and the usual norm \( \| \cdot \| : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \) be defined by
\[ \|x\| = \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2}. \]

Let \( C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|x\| \leq 1\}, \) \( \alpha_n = \lambda_n = \frac{n + 1}{3n}, \beta_n = \frac{1}{3n}, \delta_n = \mu_n = \frac{1}{n}, \gamma_n = \frac{2n - 2}{3n}, \) and \( x_1 = (1, 2, 3) \) for all \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, \) which satisfy the conditions (I)-(IV) in Theorem 3.1. We define the mappings \( T(t), f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) as follows: \( (T(t))(x) = xe^{-t} \) and \( f(x) = \frac{x}{10} \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \) Then the sequence
\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{30n^3 + 33n^2 + (20n^3 - 14n^2 - 26n + 20)e^{-1/n}}{90n^3 - (40n^3 - 100n^2 + 80n - 20)e^{-1/n}}x_n \]
converges strongly to \( 0 = (0, 0, 0), \) which is shown in Figure 1 and Table 1.
Figure 1: The iteration process.

Table 1: The value of sequence \( \{x_n\} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>( x_1^n )</th>
<th>( x_2^n )</th>
<th>( x_3^n )</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>( x_1^n )</th>
<th>( x_2^n )</th>
<th>( x_3^n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.042547</td>
<td>0.085093</td>
<td>0.127640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.000722</td>
<td>0.001445</td>
<td>0.002167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.425637</td>
<td>0.851275</td>
<td>1.276912</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0000109</td>
<td>0.0000218</td>
<td>0.0000327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.270485</td>
<td>0.540970</td>
<td>0.811455</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.00000023</td>
<td>0.00000046</td>
<td>0.00000069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.181409</td>
<td>0.362819</td>
<td>0.544228</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>0.00000003</td>
<td>0.00000006</td>
<td>0.000000099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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