Strong convergence of a modified viscosity iteration for common zeros of a finite family of accretive mappings
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Abstract

A new modified iterative scheme $\{x_n\}$ is given for the viscosity approximating a common zero of a finite family of accretive mappings $\{A_i\}$ in reflexive Banach spaces with a weakly continuous duality mapping $J$ in the present paper. Under certain conditions, we prove the strong convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$. The results here extend and improve the corresponding recent results of some other authors. ©2017 All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach spaces and $J$ be the normalized duality mapping defined by

$$J(x) = \{f \in E^*: \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|\|f\|, \|x\| = \|f\|\}, \quad \forall x \in E,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing and $E^*$ denotes the dual space of $E$. It is well-known that if $E^*$ is strictly convex then $J$ is single-valued.

Assume that $K$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. A mapping $T : K \to K$ is contractive on $K$ if there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \alpha\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K.$$

$\Pi_K$ denotes the collection of all contractive mappings on $K$. Let $\Pi_K = \{f : f$ is a contractive mapping on $K\}$. Let $T$ be a operator (possibly multivalued) with domain with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in $E$. $T$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K.$$
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We use $F(T)$ to denote the set of fixed points of $T$, that is $F(T) = \{x : x \in K, x = Tx\}$.

If there exists a $j(x_1 - x_2) \in J(x_1 - x_2)$ such that $(y_1 - y_2, j(x_1 - x_2)) \geq 0$ for each $x_i \in D(T)$, then $T$ is said to be accretive. $T$ is said to satisfy the range condition if for all $r > 0$, such that $cl(D(T)) \subset R(I + rT)$. $T$ is said to be m-accretive if $T$ is an accretive operator and $R(I + rT) = E$ for all $r > 0$. If an accretive operator $T$ satisfies the range condition, then for all $r > 0$, we define the mapping $J^T_r : R(I + rT) \rightarrow D(T)$ by $J^T_r = (I + rT)^{-1}$, $J^T_r$ is called the resolvent operator of $T$. We know that $J^T_r$ is nonexpansive and $F(J^T_r) = N(T)$ for all $r > 0$, where $N(T) = T^{-1}(0) = \{x \in D(T) : 0 \in Tx\}$, $F(J^T_r) = \{x \in E : J^T_r x = x\}$.

Kim and Xu [8] introduced an iterative sequence given by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)J_{r_n}x_n,$$

under certain conditions, they showed the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a zero of $A$ in the uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Xu [15] extended Kim and Xu’s result [8] from a uniformly smooth Banach space to a reflexive Banach space which has a weakly continuous duality mapping.

Zegeye and Shahzad [18] extended Xu’s result [8, 15] from an m-accretive operator to a finite family of m-accretive operators. Let the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)S_r x_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $S_r = a_0 I + a_1 J_{A_1} + a_2 J_{A_2} + \cdots + a_r J_{A_r}$, with $J_{A_i} = (I + A_i)^{-1}$ for $0 < a_i < 1$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots, r$, $\sum_{i=0}^{r} a_i = 1$. Under certain conditions, they proved $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common solution of the equations $A_i x = 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$.

Moudafi [10] first proposed viscosity approximation method in 2000, since then many authors investigated the viscosity iterative sequence, see ([2–4, 7, 14, 16, 17]), Chen and Zhu [3, 4] used contractive mapping and the resolvent $J_{r_n}$ of m-accretive operator $A$ to construct viscosity iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)J_{r_n}x_n.$$ 

Under certain conditions, they proved strongly convergence of the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ in the framework of a uniformly smooth Banach space and a reflexive Banach space which has a weakly continuous duality mapping, respectively.

Very recently Wang et al. [11] introduced a brand new iterative scheme $\{x_n\}$ by composite approximation method for finding a common zero of two accretive operators $A$ and $B$ in Banach spaces.

$$\begin{cases} 
\ y_n = \beta_n J_{r_n}^B x_n + (1 - \beta_n) J_{r_n}^A x_n, \\
\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \quad n \geq 0,
\end{cases}$$

which converges weakly to a common zero of two accretive operators $A$ and $B$ under certain conditions. Motivated by the above results, we study the following iterative sequence:

$$\begin{cases} 
\ y_n = a_1 J_{r_n}^A x_n + a_2 J_{r_n}^A x_n + \cdots + a_1 J_{r_n}^A x_n, \\
\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \quad n \geq 0
\end{cases}$$

(1.1)

We prove the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1) converges strongly to a common zero of a finite family of accretive operators in a reflexive Banach space which has a weakly continuous duality mapping. The results in this paper improve and extend some recent corresponding results of other authors.

2. Preliminaries

The following definitions and lemma are needed in order to prove our results.

A Banach space $E$ is called strictly convex if there exist $a_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2, \cdots, l$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i = 1$. When $\|x_1\| = \|x_2\| = 1$, we have $\|a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2\| < 1$ for $x_i \in E$. In a strictly convex Banach space $E$, if for
Lemma 2.1 \( \partial \) and \( (\text{The resolvent identity [1]} \)

Lemma 2.3

Then \( \{ \\} \)

Lemma 2.2 (\([\text{12}]\) )

Lemma 2.5 (\([\text{5}]\) )

Lemma 2.6. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space $E$. Let $A_i : C \to E$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$ be a finite family of accretive operators such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} N(A_i) \neq \emptyset$ satisfying the range conditions $cl(D(A_i)) \subset C \subset \bigcap_{n>0} R(I + r_nA_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l$ be real numbers in $(0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i = 1$ and $S_{r_n} = a_1J_{r_n}^{A_1} + a_2J_{r_n}^{A_2} + \cdots + a_lJ_{r_n}^{A_l}$, where $J_{r_n}^{A_i} = (I + r_nA_i)^{-1}$ and $r_n > 0$. Then $S_{r_n}$ is nonexpansive and $F(S_{r_n}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} N(A_i)$.

Proof. Since each $A_i$ satisfies the range conditions for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$, we have that $J_{r_n}^{A_i}$ is well-defined nonexpansive mappings from $R(I + r_nA_i)$ to $C$ with $F(J_{r_n}^{A_i}) = N(A_i)$. For any $x, y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{L} R(I + r_nA_1)$, and for all $r_n > 0$, we have

$$
\|S_{r_n}x - S_{r_n}y\| = \|a_1J_{r_n}^{A_1}x + a_2J_{r_n}^{A_2}x + \cdots + a_lJ_{r_n}^{A_l}x - a_1J_{r_n}^{A_1}y - a_2J_{r_n}^{A_2}y - \cdots - a_lJ_{r_n}^{A_l}y\|
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i \|J_{r_n}^{A_i}x - J_{r_n}^{A_i}y\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i \|x - y\| = \|x - y\|.
$$

So we have known that $S_{r_n}$ is nonexpansive. Since $F(J_{r_n}^{A_i}) = N(A_i)$, so

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} N(A_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F(J_{r_n}^{A_i}) \subseteq F(S_{r_n}).
$$

Next we will show that $F(S_{r_n}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F(J_{r_n}^{A_i})$. Let $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F(J_{r_n}^{A_i}), q \in F(S_{r_n})$, then

$$
\|q - p\| = \|a_1(J_{r_n}^{A_1}q - p) + a_2(J_{r_n}^{A_2}q - p) + \cdots + a_l(J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p)\|
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i \|J_{r_n}^{A_i}q - p\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i \|q - p\| = \|q - p\|.
$$

From (2.1) we obtain

$$
\|q - p\| = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i \|q - p\| + a_l \|J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p\|
= (1 - a_l) \|q - p\| + a_l \|J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p\|,
$$

and so

$$
\|q - p\| = \|J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p\|.
$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$
\|q - p\| = \|J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p\| = \|J_{r_n}^{A_2}q - p\| = \cdots = \|J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p\|.
$$

From (2.1) we get that

$$
\|q - p\| = \|a_1(J_{r_n}^{A_1}q - p) + a_2(J_{r_n}^{A_2}q - p) + \cdots + a_l(J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p)\|,
$$

and by the strictly convexity of $E$, we have that

$$
q - p = J_{r_n}^{A_1}q - p = J_{r_n}^{A_2}q - p = \cdots = J_{r_n}^{A_l}q - p.
$$
Therefore, the sequence 

\[ J_{r_n}^A q = q, \] 

for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \), which implies \( q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^l F(J_{r_n}^A) \). Therefore

\[ F(S_{r_n}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^l F(J_{r_n}^A), \]

then we have

\[ F(S_{r_n}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^l F(J_{r_n}^A) = \bigcap_{i=1}^l N(A_i). \]

3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that \( E \) is strictly convex reflexive Banach space with a weakly continuous duality mapping \( J_{\varphi} \) associated to a gauge \( \varphi \). Let \( K \subseteq E \) be a nonempty closed convex subset and \( f : K \to K \) be a contractive mapping with the contractive coefficient \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). Let \( A_i : K \to E, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \) be a finite family of accretive operators such that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^l N(A_i) \neq \emptyset \) satisfying the range conditions \( \text{cl}(D(A_i)) \subseteq K \subseteq \bigcap_{r_n>0} R(I + r_nA_i), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \), \( J_{r_n}^A_i = (I + r_nA_i)^{-1} \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \). For any \( x_0 \in K \), let \( \{x_n\} \) be defined by the formula (1.1). Assume that \( 0 < \alpha_i < 1 \), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \), \( \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i = 1 \) and \( (\alpha_n) \subseteq (0, 1), (\gamma_n) \subseteq (0, \infty) \) which satisfy the following conditions:

(i) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty; \)

(ii) \( \gamma_n \geq \epsilon \) for \( n \geq 0, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| < \infty. \) Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to a common zero of \( A_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \).

**Proof.**

Step 1. We show that \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded. Indeed, we can take a point \( p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^l N(A_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^l F(J_{r_n}^A_i) \). So from (1.1), we have

\[ \|y_n - p\| = \|a_1 J_{r_n}^A_1 x_n + a_2 J_{r_n}^A_2 x_n + \cdots + a_l J_{r_n}^A_l x_n - p\| \]

\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \|J_{r_n}^A_i x_n - p\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \|x_n - p\| = \|x_n - p\|, \]

and

\[ \|x_{n+1} - p\| = \|\alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - p\| \]

\[ \leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|y_n - p\| \]

\[ \leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - f(p)\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| \]

\[ \leq \alpha_n \alpha \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| \]

\[ = (1 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha))\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha) \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha} \]

\[ \leq \max\{\|x_n - p\|, \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha}\}. \]

By induction, for all \( n \geq 0 \), we obtain

\[ \|x_n - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha}\}. \]

Therefore, the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded, and so are \( \{y_n\}, \{f(x_n)\} \).
Step 2. We show that \(\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0, \ n \to \infty\). We calculate \(\|y_{n+1} - y_n\|\) firstly.

From (1.1), we know

\[
\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| = \|a_1(J_{r_n}^A x_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^A x_{n-1}) + a_2(J_{r_n}^A x_{n-1} - J_{r_{n-2}}^A x_{n-2}) + \cdots + a_1(J_{r_n}^A x_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^A x_{n-1})\|
\leq \sum_{i=1}^1 a_i \|J_{r_n}^A x_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^A x_{n-1}\|. \tag{3.1}
\]

Lemma 2.3 (The resolvent identity) implies that

\[
J_{r_n}^A x_n = J_{r_{n-1}}^A (r_n^{-1} x_n + (1 - r_n^{-1}) J_{r_n}^A x_n).
\]

If \(r_{n-1} \leq r_n\), using the resolvent identity

\[
\|J_{r_n}^A x_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^A x_{n-1}\| = \|J_{r_{n-1}}^A (r_n^{-1} x_n + (1 - r_n^{-1}) J_{r_n}^A x_n) - J_{r_{n-1}}^A x_{n-1}\|
\leq \|r_n^{-1} x_n + (1 - r_n^{-1}) J_{r_n}^A x_n - x_{n-1}\|
\leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |1 - r_n^{-1}| \|J_{r_n}^A x_n - x_{n-1}\| \tag{3.2}
\]

Substituting (3.2) into (3.1), we obtain

\[
\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| = \sum_{i=1}^1 a_i (\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |r_n - r_{n-1}| M_1)
\leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |r_n - r_{n-1}| M_1, \tag{3.3}
\]

where \(M_1\) is a constant such that

\[
M_1 = \sup(\frac{\|J_{r_n}^A x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{\epsilon}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 1).
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
x_{n+1} - x_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n - \alpha_n f(x_{n-1}) + (1 - \alpha_n) y_{n-1}.
\tag{3.4}
\]

So, from (3.3) and (3.4), we have

\[
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \|\alpha_n (f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})) + (1 - \alpha_n) (y_n - y_{n-1})
+ (\alpha_n - \alpha_n^{-1}) (f(x_{n-1}) - y_{n-1})\|
\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_n^{-1}| \|f(x_{n-1}) - y_{n-1}\|
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}\|
+ |r_n - r_{n-1}| M_1 + |\alpha_n - \alpha_n^{-1}| \|f(x_{n-1}) - y_{n-1}\|
\leq (1 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha)) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M_2 |r_n - r_{n-1}| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_n^{-1}|, \tag{3.5}
\]

where \(M_2\) is a constant such that

\[
M_2 = \sup(M_1, \|f(x_{n-1}) - y_{n-1}\|).
\]

By assumptions (i), (ii), we have that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n (1 - \alpha) = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty (|r_n - r_{n-1}| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_n^{-1}|) < \infty.
\]

Hence, Lemma 2.2 is applicable to (3.5) and we obtain

\[
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0, \ n \to \infty.
\]
Step 3. We show that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (1-f)Q(f), J_\psi(Q(f) - x_n) \rangle \leq 0$, where $Q(f) = \lim_{t \to 0} z_t$. By using $\alpha_n \to 0$ ($n \to \infty$), $\{y_n\}$, $\{f(x_n)\}$ are bounded, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = \alpha_n\|f(x_n) - y_n\| \to 0, \; n \to \infty.$$  

By virtue of $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0$, $\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| \to 0$ ($n \to \infty$), we have

$$\|x_n - y_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| \to 0.$$  

Let $S_{r_n} = a_1J_{r_n}^A + a_2J_{r_n}A_2 + \cdots + a_1J_{r_n}A_1$. From (1.1), we know

$$y_n = S_{r_n}x_n.$$  

Combining (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\|x_n - S_{r_n}x_n\| \to 0, \; n \to \infty.$$  

From Lemma 2.6, we know $S_{r_n}$ is nonexpansive and $F(S_{r_n}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} N(A_i)$. Since $E$ is reflexive Banach space and $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, we may further assume that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$

$$x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x.$$  

By using of (3.8), (3.9) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\overline{x} \in F(S_{r_n}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} N(A_i).$$  

From Lemma 2.5, we know $z_t = tf(z_t) + (1-t)S_{r_n}z_t$ convergence strongly to a point in $Q(f) \in F(S_{r_n}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} N(A_i)$, as $t \to 0$ and

$$\langle (1-f)Q(f), J_\psi(Q(f) - p) \rangle \leq 0, \; p \in F(S_{r_n}).$$  

So from (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), we know that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (1-f)Q(f), J_\psi(Q(f) - x_n) \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle (1-f)Q(f), J_\psi(Q(f) - x_{n_k}) \rangle$$

$$= \langle (1-f)Q(f), J_\psi(Q(f) - \overline{x}) \rangle \leq 0.$$  

Step 4. Finally we show that $x_n \to Q(f)$. We apply Lemma 2.1 to get

$$\phi(\|x_{n+1} - Q(f)\|) = \phi(\|\alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)S_{r_n}x_n - Q(f)\|)$$

$$= \phi(\|\alpha_n f(x_n) - f(Q(f)) + (1 - \alpha_n)(S_{r_n}x_n - Q(f))\|)$$

$$= \phi(\|\alpha_n f(x_n) - f(Q(f)) + \alpha_n(f(Q(f)) - Q(f)) + (1 - \alpha_n)(S_{r_n}x_n - Q(f))\|)$$

$$\leq \phi((1 - \alpha_n)(S_{r_n}x_n - Q(f)) + \alpha_n(f(x_n) - f(Q(f)))\|)$$

$$+ \alpha_n(f(Q(f)) - Q(f), J_\psi(x_{n+1} - Q(f)))$$

$$\leq \phi((1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - Q(f)) + \alpha_n\alpha||x_n - Q(f)|| + \alpha_n\langle f(Q(f)) - Q(f), J_\psi(x_{n+1} - Q(f))\rangle$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha))\phi(\|x_n - Q(f)\|) + \alpha_n\langle (1-f)Q(f), J_\psi(Q(f) - x_{n+1}) \rangle.$$  

By using of (3.12), $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha) = \infty$, Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\phi(\|x_n - Q(f)\|) \to 0, \; n \to \infty.$$  

That is

$$\|x_n - Q(f)\| \to 0, \; n \to \infty,$$

$$x_n \to Q(f), \; n \to \infty.$$  

The proof is completed.
Corollary 3.2. Let \( A_i : K \rightarrow E, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, l \) be a finite family of \( m \)-accretive operators such that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} N(A_i) \neq \emptyset \) and the rest conditions be the same as in Theorem 3.1. Then the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 still holds.

Corollary 3.3. Assume that \( E \) is strictly convex reflexive Banach space with a weakly continuous duality mapping \( J_{\varphi} \) associated to a gauge \( \varphi \). Let \( K \subseteq E \) be a nonempty closed convex subset and \( f : K \rightarrow K \) be a contractive mapping with the contractive coefficient \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), \( \{T_i : K \rightarrow E, i = 1, 2, \cdots, l\} \) be a finite family of nonexpansive operators such that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset \). For any \( x_0 \in K \), suppose that \( \{x_n\} \) is defined by the modified viscosity iteration

\[
\begin{cases}
  y_n = \beta_1 T_1 x_n + \beta_2 T_2 x_n + \cdots + \beta_1 T_1 x_n,
  x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, & n \geq 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( 0 < \beta_i < 1 \), for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, l \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i = 1 \) and \( \{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1) \). We assume that the following mild conditions on the sequences of parameters are established:

- \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \), \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \), \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty \).

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to a common fixed point of \( T_i \), \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, l \).

Proof. We only need to replace \( J_{r_i}^{A_i} \) with \( T_i \) in the proof of Theorem 3.1. \( \Box \)

Remark 3.4. In Theorem 3.1, if \( f \equiv u \), \( a_2 = \cdots = a_1 = 0 \), \( a_1 = 1 \), then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) defined by (1.1) induces \( x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) J_{r_i}^{A_i} x_n \) and the results of Theorem 3.1 are the main results in References [18] and [6]. If \( A_1 = 0 \), \( f \equiv u \), \( a_3 = \cdots = a_1 = 0 \), \( a_1 = a_n, a_2 = 1 - a_n \), then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) defined by (1.1) turns to

\[
\begin{cases}
  y_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J_{r_i}^{A_2} x_n,
  x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n,
\end{cases}
\]

and the results of Theorem 3.1 are the main results in [10]. If \( f \equiv u \), \( A_1 = 0 \), then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) defined by (1.1) changes as

\[
\begin{cases}
  x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) S_{r_n} x_n,
  S_{r_n} = a_1 I + a_2 J_{r_i}^{A_2} + \cdots + a_1 J_{r_i}^{A_1},
\end{cases}
\]

and the results of Theorem 3.1 are the main results in [14].

So, in some ways, the results here improve and extend many corresponding recent results in ([1–4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 18]).
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