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Abstract

In this paper, we give new quantitative characteristics of degrees of syndetical transitivity and topological ergodicity for a given discrete dynamical system, which are nonnegative real numbers and are not more than 1. For selfmaps of many compact metric spaces it is proved that a given selfmap is syndetically transitive if and only if its degree of syndetical transitivity is 1, and that it is topologically ergodic if and only if its degree of topological ergodicity is one. Moreover, there exists a selfmap of $[0,1]$ having all degrees positive. ©2017 All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

In fact, a given dynamical system is a fixed pair $(A, t)$, where $t$ is a continuous selfmap of a topological space $A$. Various definitions of the behaviours of these systems have been given, such as topological transitivity, syndetical transitivity, topological ergodicity, topologically weak mixing, topological mixing, chaos and so on (see [1–22]). For many different definitions of chaos, topological transitivity is one of the ingredients. It is well-known that the classical definitions of chaos are usually qualitative. That is, by these definitions, one can only know that a given dynamical system is either chaotic or not. But by using these different definitions one can not know how large the chaos is. However, it is well-known that the topological entropy defined in [1] is the most important quantitative tool of chaoticity (also see [14]).

In [12], the authors gave degrees of transitivity, weak mixing and strong mixing that are several new quantitative characteristics which are nonnegative real numbers and are not more than 1 for given dynamical systems. Moreover, for selfmaps of many compact metric spaces they proved that a given...
A dynamical system is a pair \((A, t)\) such that \(A\) is a compact metric space, and that \(t\) is a continuous selfmap of \(A\).

A system \((A, t)\) (or a map \(t : A \to A\)) is topologically transitive if and only if for any two nonempty open subsets \(X, Y \subset A\) we can find an integer \(l \geq 0\) with \(t^l(X) \cap Y \neq \emptyset\).

A system \((A, t)\) (or a map \(t : A \to A\)) is (topologically) weakly mixing if and only if \(t \times t\) is topologically transitive.

A system \((A, t)\) (or a map \(t : A \to A\)) is topologically mixing (or strongly mixing) if and only if for any two nonempty open subsets \(X, Y \subset A\) one can find an integer \(l_0 \geq 0\) with \(t^l(X) \cap X \neq \emptyset\) for any \(l \geq l_0\).

Write \(Z^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}\). A subset \(E \subset Z^+\) is thick, if \(E\) can contain arbitrarily large blocks of consecutive numbers. A subset \(E \subset Z^+\) is syndetic, if \(Z^+ \setminus E\) is not thick.

A dynamical system \((A, t)\) or a map \(t : A \to A\) is syndetically transitive (see [4, 11, 16, 18]), if and only if the set \(N_t(X, Y)\) is syndetic for any two nonempty open subsets \(X, Y \subset A\), where

\[
N_t(X, Y) = \{l \in Z^+ : t^l(X) \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}.
\]

A dynamical system \((A, t)\) or a map \(t : A \to A\) is topologically ergodic, if and only if the set \(N_t(X, Y)\) has positive upper density for any two nonempty open subsets \(X, Y \subset A\), that is,

\[
\lim_{l \to \infty} \sup_{l} \frac{1}{l} |N_t(X, Y) \cap \{0, 1, \cdots, l - 1\}| > 0,
\]

where \(|D|\) denotes the cardinality of \(D\) (see [3, 4, 15, 19]).

Clearly, by the definitions, syndetical transitivity implies topological ergodicity, and topological ergodicity implies transitivity. Moreover, topological mixing implies syndetical transitivity, and it is well-known that the converse implications is not necessarily true.

Let \(t\) be a continuous selfmap of a compact metric space \(A\). For any given finite cover \(\mathcal{D}\) of \(A\) and any given integer \(m \geq 1\), the cell \(C \times C' \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}\) is \(t^m\)-admissible if \(t^m(C) \cap C' \neq \emptyset\) (see [12]). Let \(\mathcal{A}(t^{m}, \mathcal{D})\) be the set of all \(t^m\)-admissible cells from \(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}\). Moreover, we use \(\mathcal{A}^{m, 1}(t, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{A}^{\infty}(t, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{A}^{\cofin}(t, \mathcal{D})\) to denote the set of all cells \(C \times C' \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}\) which are \(t^m\)-admissible for at least one \(m > 0\), for infinitely many \(m\)'s, for all but finitely many \(m\)'s, respectively. Therefore, one has that

we introduce two new quantitative characteristics of a given dynamical system $A$

For a given finite cover $D$ for any given finite open cover $C$, one has that

$A^{m\geq 1}(t, D) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A(t^m, D)$,

$A^{\infty}(t, D) = \limsup_{m \to \infty} A(t^m, D)$,

and

$A^{\cofin}(t, D) = \liminf_{m \to \infty} A(t^m, D)$.

Let $B$ denote the cardinality of a set $B$. Then, the ratio $|A(t^m, D)|/|D|^m$ can show how large portion of cells from $D \times D$ is intersected by the graph of the map $t^m$. Obviously, this ratio is always a real number from $\left[\frac{1}{|D|^m}, 1\right]$. Analogously for $A(t^m, D)$ replaced by $A^{m\geq 1}(t, D)$ or $A^{\infty}(t, D)$. Moreover, the case of that $A^{\cofin}(t, D) = \emptyset$ can be true.

For a given a finite cover $D$ of $A$, *-degree of strong mixing, degree of strong mixing, degree of weak mixing, degree of transitivity and *-degree of transitivity of $t$ with respect to this cover $D$ are defined by $dsm^*(t, D) = |A^{\cofin}(t, D)|/|D|^m$, $dsm(t, D) = \liminf_{m \to \infty} |A^{\cofin}(t^m, D)|$, $dwm(t, D) = \limsup_{m \to \infty} |A^{\cofin}(t^m, D)|$, $dt(t, D) = |A^{\infty}(t, D)|/|D|^m$ and $dt^*(t, D) = |A^{m\geq 1}(t, D)|/|D|^m$, respectively (see [12]). Inspired by the above definitions we introduce two new quantitative characteristics of a given dynamical system $(A, t)$.

**Definition 2.1.** For a given finite cover $A$ of $A$ and a given dynamical system $(A, t)$, we give degree of synmetrical transitivity and degree of topological ergodicity of $t$ with respect to this cover $D$ respectively, by $dst(t, D) = |A^{yyn}(t, D)|/|D|^m$ and $dte(t, D) = |A^{yyn}(t, D)|/|D|^m$, where $A^{yyn}(t, D) = \{C \times C' \in D \times D :$ there exists a syndetic set $S \subset Z^+$ such that $C \times C'$ is $m$-admissible for any $m \in S \}$ and $A^{yyn}(t, D) = \{C \times C' \in D \times D :$ there exists a set $S \subset Z^+$ with positive upper density such that $C \times C'$ is $m$-admissible for any $m \in S \}$.

It is clear that for any given dynamical system $(A, t)$ and any given cover $C$, one has that

$0 \leq dsm^*(t, D) \leq dsm(t, D) \leq dst(t, D) \leq dte(t, D) \leq 1$,

and

$0 \leq dsm(t, D) \leq dwm(t, D) \leq dt(t, D) \leq dt^*(t, D) \leq 1$.

**3. Main results**

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $(A, t)$ be a given dynamical system. Then the following hold.

(a) $t$ is syndetically transitive if and only if $dst(t, D) = 1$ for any finite open cover $C$.

(b) $t$ is topologically ergodic if and only if $dte(t, D) = 1$ for any finite open cover $D$.

**Proof.**

(a) Suppose that $t$ is syndetically transitive and $D$ is a given finite open cover of $A$. Then, by the definition, $N_t(C, C')$ is syndetic for any $C, C' \in D$. This means $C \times C' \in A^{yyn}(t, D)$. So, $dst(t, D) = 1$ for any given finite open cover $D$.

Now we suppose that $dst(t, D) = 1$ for any given finite open cover $D$. Let $W, Y \subset A$ be nonempty and open subsets. Choose any finite open cover $D_0$ such that $W, Y \in D_0$. Then $W \times Y \in A^{yyn}(t, D_0)$, which implies that $N_t(W, Y)$ is syndetic. That is, $t$ is syndetically transitive.

(b) Suppose that $t$ is topologically ergodic and $D$ is a given finite open cover of $A$. By the definition, $N_t(C, C')$ has positive upper density for any $C, C' \in D$. This means $C \times C' \in A^{yyn}(t, D)$. So, $dte(t, D) = 1$ for any given finite open cover $D$ of $A$. 
Now, we suppose that \( dte(t, \mathcal{D}) = 1 \) for every finite open cover \( \mathcal{D} \). Let \( W, Y \subset A \) be nonempty and open subsets. Choose any finite open cover \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) such that \( W, Y \in \mathcal{D}_0 \). Then \( W \times Y \in \mathcal{S}^{ens}(t, \mathcal{D}_0) \), which implies that \( N_{t}(W, Y) \) has positive upper density. That is, \( t \) is topologically ergodic.

Thus, the entire proof is finished. \( \square \)

Let \( A \) be a compact metric space with a metric \( s \). For any \( W \subset A \) and any \( \alpha > 0 \), let \( N(W, \alpha) \) be the smallest number of \( \alpha \)-balls (open balls with radius \( \alpha \)) needed to cover \( W \), that is,

\[
N(W, \alpha) = \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} : W \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B(y_j, \alpha) \text{ for some } y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_l \in X\},
\]

where \( B(y, \alpha) \) is the \( \alpha \)-ball with center \( y \) (see [12]). It is easily seen that \( \lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} N(W, \alpha) = \infty \) if and only if \( W \) is infinite.

A cover with no proper subcover is said to be minimal. A cover \( \mathcal{D} \) of \( A \) is said to be an \( \alpha \)-cover if any element of \( \mathcal{D} \) is an \( \alpha \)-ball. An \( \alpha \)-cover of a compact metric space \( A \) is economical (see [12]) if and only if its cardinality equals \( N(A, \alpha) \), that is, if it is an \( \alpha \)-cover of \( A \) with minimal cardinality. Let \( \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha) \) be the set of all economical \( \alpha \)-covers of \( A \) (see [12]).

For a continuous selfmap \( t \) of a compact metric space \( A \), its \( * \)-degree of strong mixing, degree of strong mixing, degree of weak mixing, degree of transitivity and \( * \)-degree of transitivity (see [12]) are given, respectively, by

\[
dsm^*(t) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \min_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha)} dsm^*(t, \mathcal{D}),
\]

\[
dsm(t) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \min_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha)} dsm(t, \mathcal{D}),
\]

\[
dwm(t) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \min_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha)} dwm(t, \mathcal{D}),
\]

\[
dt(t) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \min_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha)} dt(t),
\]

and

\[
dt^*(t) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \min_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha)} dt^*(t, \mathcal{D}).
\]

Inspired by these definitions, we give the following definitions.

**Definition 3.2.** For a continuous selfmap \( t \) of a compact metric space \( A \), its degree of syndetical transitivity and degree of topological ergodicity are given, respectively, by

\[
dst(t) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \min_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha)} dst(t, \mathcal{D}),
\]

and

\[
dte(t) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \min_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha)} dte(t, \mathcal{D}).
\]

From the definitions it follows that

\[
0 \leq dsm^*(t) \leq dsm(t) \leq dst(t) \leq dte(t) \leq 1,
\]

and

\[
0 \leq dsm(t) \leq dwm(t) \leq dt(t) \leq dt^*(t) \leq 1.
\]

Further, for any \( m \geq 1 \) we have that \( dsm^*(t^m) \geq dsm^*(t) \), \( dsm(t^m) \geq dsm(t) \), \( dwm(t^m) \geq dwm(t^m) \), \( dt(t) \geq dt(t^m) \), \( dt^*(t) \geq dt^*(t^m) \), \( dst(t) \geq dst(t^m) \), and \( dte(t) \geq dte(t^m) \).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( t \) be a continuous selfmap of a compact metric space \( A \). Then the following hold.
(a) The syndetical transitivity of $t$ implies $dst(t) = 1$.

(b) The topological ergodicity of $t$ implies $dte(t) = 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and the definitions, Theorem 3.3 is true. □

The following example shows that in general, the converse implications of Theorem 3.3 are not true.

Example 3.4 ([12]). Let $A = I^2 \cup \{ [-1,0] \times \{0\} \}$ with Euclidean metric, where $I = [0,1]$. Choose any transitive or topologically weakly mixing or topologically mixing or syndetically transitive or topologically ergodic continuous selfmap $q$ of $I^2$ with $(0,0)$ as a fixed point and extend it to a continuous selfmap $t$ of $A$ by putting $t(y,0) = (0,0)$ for any $y \in [-1,0)$. Then $t$ is not transitive (or topologically weakly mixing or topologically mixing or syndetically transitive or topologically ergodic). Furthermore, it is well-known that the degrees of $t$ are the same as the degrees of $q$.

The above example shows that in order to give full characterization of transitivity, topologically weak mixing, topologically mixing, syndetical transitivity and topological ergodicity of a given continuous selfmap on a compact metric space the above degrees, some additional “regularity” assumption on $A$ is required. This kind of assumption is said to be weak regularity (see [12]). For a given compact metric space $A$ and any given subset $B \subset A$, let

$$\mu(B) = \liminf_{\alpha \to 0^+} \frac{N(B,\alpha)}{N(A,\alpha)}.$$  

Note that there are examples showing that this limit may be not exist (see [12]). A compact metric space $A$ is weakly regular, if and only if $\mu(W) > 0$ for any nonempty open subset $W$ of $A$. A dynamical system $(A,t)$ or a continuous selfmap $t$ on a compact metric space is weakly regular, if and only if $A$ is weakly regular (see [12]). It is known from [12] that any compact manifold is weakly regular, and that the Cantor ternary set is weakly regular. However, it is well-known that the space $A$ from Example 3.4 is not weakly regular, and that any infinite compact metric space with an isolated point is also not weakly regular.

Theorem 3.5. If $(A,t)$ is a given weakly regular dynamical system, then the following hold.

(a) the map $t$ is syndetically transitive if and only if $dst(t) = 1$.

(b) the map $t$ is topologically ergodic if and only if $dte(t) = 1$.

By Theorem 3.5 we know that for any given weakly regular dynamical system, the maximal value ($= 1$) of any of the above two degrees is a topological invariant. The following two lemmas which come from [12] are useful.

Lemma 3.6 ([12]). If $\mathcal{D}$ is a given economical $\alpha$-cover of $A$ and $W \subset A$ is open, and if $\mathcal{D}_W = \{ F \in \mathcal{D} : D \subset W \}$, then $N(\text{int}_{2\alpha} W,\alpha) \leq |\mathcal{D}_W| \leq N(W,\alpha)$, where $\text{int}_{2\alpha} W = \{ y \in W : B(y,2\alpha) \subset W \}$.

Lemma 3.7 ([12]). If $A$ is a given weakly regular and $W,T \subset A$ is nonempty and open, then there exist

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_{W,T} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \lambda_{W,T},$$

satisfying that for any continuous selfmap $t$ of $A$, any $\alpha \in (0,\alpha_0)$ and any integer $m > 0$, $t^m(W) \cap T = \emptyset$ implies

$$\frac{|s(t^m,\mathcal{D})|}{|\mathcal{D}|} \leq 1 - \lambda$$

for any $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}(A,\alpha)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5.

(a) By Theorem 3.3 it is enough to prove that if $dst(t) = 1$ then $t$ is syndetically transitive. Suppose on the contrary that $t$ is not syndetically transitive. Then, by the definition there exist two open subsets $W,Y$ of $A$ with

$$W,Y \neq \emptyset,$$

such that for any positive integer $L$ there is $m_L$ satisfying that $t^j(W) \cap Y = \emptyset$ for any integer

$$j \in [m_L,m_L + L].$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_W$ and $\mathcal{D}_Y$ be defined as in Lemma 3.6. Choose $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ satisfying that both $\text{int}_{2\alpha_0} W$
and \( \text{int}_2 \alpha_0 Y \) are nonempty, and that \( N(\text{int}_2 \alpha W, \alpha) \geq \sqrt{A}N(A, \alpha) \) and \( N(\text{int}_2 \alpha Y, \alpha) \geq \sqrt{A}N(A, \alpha) \) for any \( 0 < \alpha < \alpha_0 \). From the proof of [12, Lemma 11], we know that for any continuous selfmap \( t \) on \( A \), any \( \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0) \) and any \( D \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha) \), if \( t^j(W) \cap Y = \emptyset \) then \( \mathcal{A}(t^j, D) \cap (D_{W} \times D_{Y}) = \emptyset \). This implies that 
\[
\frac{|\mathcal{A}(t^j, D)|}{|D|} \leq 1 - \lambda \quad \text{for any } D \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha), \text{ any integer } L, \text{ some integer } m_L \text{ and any integer } j \in [m_L, m_L + L].
\]
So, by the definitions of \( \text{dst}(t, D) \) and \( \mathcal{A}^{\text{yn}}(t, D) \) we have \( \text{dst}(t, D) / |D| \leq 1 - \lambda \) for some \( j > 0 \). This is a contradiction.

(b) By Theorem 3.3 it is enough to prove that if \( \text{dte}(t) = 1 \), then \( t \) is topologically ergodic. Assume on the contrary that \( t \) is not topologically ergodic. Then, by the definition there are two open subsets \( W, Y \) of \( A \) with \( W, Y \neq \emptyset \) and \( S \subset \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \) with \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S|}{n} = 1 \) such that \( t^j(W) \cap Y = \emptyset \) for any integer \( j \in S \). Let \( D_{W} \) and \( D_{Y} \) be defined as in Lemma 3.6. Choose \( \alpha_0 > 0 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \) satisfying that both \( \text{int}_2 \alpha_0 W \) and \( \text{int}_2 \alpha_0 Y \) are nonempty, and that \( N(\text{int}_2 \alpha_0 W, \alpha) \geq \sqrt{A}N(A, \alpha) \) and \( N(\text{int}_2 \alpha_0 Y, \alpha) \geq \sqrt{A}N(A, \alpha) \) for any \( 0 < \alpha < \alpha_0 \). From the proof of [12, Lemma 11] we know that for any continuous selfmap \( t \) on \( A \), any \( \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0) \) and any \( D \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha) \), if \( t^j(W) \cap Y = \emptyset \) then \( \mathcal{A}(t^j, D) \cap (D_{W} \times D_{Y}) = \emptyset \). This implies that 
\[
\frac{|\mathcal{A}(t^j, D)|}{|D|} \leq 1 - \lambda \quad \text{for any } D \in \mathcal{E}(A, \alpha), \text{ any integer } j \in S. \text{ So, by the definitions of } \text{dte}(t, D) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^{\text{yn}}(t, D) \text{ we have } \text{dte}(t, D) / |D| \leq 1 - \lambda \text{ for some } j \in S. \text{ It is a contradiction.}
\]
Thus, the entire proof is finished. \( \square \)

Remark 3.8. From [12, Proposition 12] and its proof, we know that there is a continuous selfmap \( t \) on the unit interval \( J = [0, 1] \) which is of type 1 (even \( t(y) \geq y \) for any \( y \in J \)) and has all seven degrees positive.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we present new quantitative characteristics of degrees of syndetical transitivity and topological ergodicity for a discrete dynamical system, which are nonnegative real numbers and are not more than 1. For selfmaps of many compact metric spaces, we prove that a selfmap is syndetically transitive (resp. topologically ergodic) if and only if its degree of syndetical transitivity (resp. topological ergodicity) is one. Also, there is a selfmap of \([0, 1]\) with all positive degrees of the above seven transitivity properties. Based on some conclusions obtained by Snoha and Špitalský in [12] and us in this paper, we will further discuss some applications of the quantitative characteristics of the above seven degrees in the future.
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