Existence of nonoscillatory solutions to nonlinear third-order neutral dynamic equations on time scales

Yang-Cong Qiu\textsuperscript{a}, Akbar Zada\textsuperscript{b}, Shuhong Tang\textsuperscript{c}, Tongxing Li\textsuperscript{d,e,}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{a}School of Humanities and Social Science, Shunde Polytechnic, Foshan, Guangdong 528333, P. R. China.
\textsuperscript{b}Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan.
\textsuperscript{c}School of Information and Control Engineering, Weifang University, Weifang, Shandong 261061, P. R. China.
\textsuperscript{d}School of Information Science and Engineering, Linyi University, Linyi, Shandong 276005, P. R. China.
\textsuperscript{e}School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250061, P. R. China.

Communicated by M. Bohner

Abstract

We study the existence of nonoscillatory solutions to a class of third-order neutral functional dynamic equations on time scales. The integral convergence and divergence of the reciprocal of the coefficients in the equations are different. Two examples are given to demonstrate the results. ©2017 All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the existence of nonoscillatory solutions to a third-order nonlinear neutral functional dynamic equation

\[
\left( r_1(t) \left( r_2(t) \left( x(t) + p(t)x(g(t)) \right)^\Delta \right)^\Delta \right)^\Delta + f(t, x(h(t))) = 0
\]

(1.1)

on a time scale \( \mathbb{T} \) satisfying \( \sup \mathbb{T} = \infty \), where \( t \in [t_0, \infty)_\mathbb{T} = [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T} \) with \( t_0 \in \mathbb{T} \). Throughout, we assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(C1) \( r_1, r_2 \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_\mathbb{T}, (0, \infty)) \) and there exists a constant \( M_0 > 0 \) such that

\[
\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\Delta t}{r_1(t)} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\Delta t}{r_2(t)} = M_0 < \infty;
\]
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(C2) \( p \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} p(t) = p_0 \), where \( |p_0| < 1 \);

(C3) \( g, h \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T}) \), \( g(t) \leq t \), and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = \infty \); if \( p_0 \in (-1, 0] \), then there exists a sequence \( \{c_k\}_{k \geq 0} \) such that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} c_k = \infty \) and \( g(c_k + 1) = c_k \);

(C4) \( f \in C([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \), \( f(t, x) \) is nondecreasing in \( x \), and \( xf(t, x) > 0 \) for \( x \neq 0 \);

(C5) if

\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v = \infty, \tag{1.2}
\]

then define

\[
R(t) = 1 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{v} \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v,
\]

which satisfies

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{R(g(t))}{R(t)} = \eta \in (0, 1].
\]

**Definition 1.1.** A solution \( x \) to (1.1) is said to be eventually positive (or eventually negative) if there exists a \( c \in \mathbb{T} \) such that \( x(t) > 0 \) (or \( x(t) < 0 \)) for all \( t \geq c \) in \( \mathbb{T} \). A solution \( x \) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

The qualitative analysis of dynamic equations on time scales has greatly improved the related results for differential and difference equations in the last few years; see, e.g., [1–22]. Some results for existence of oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions to various classes of neutral functional dynamic equations were presented in [9, 10, 13–15, 17–22], and some open problems were given in Mathsen et al. [18]. Zhu and Wang [22] studied the existence of nonoscillatory solutions to a class of first-order dynamic equations. Gao and Wang [10] considered the same problem of a second-order dynamic equation

\[
[r(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(g(t)))^\Delta] + f(t, x(h(t))) = 0 \tag{1.3}
\]

under the condition

\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r(t)} < \infty.
\]

Deng and Wang [9] discussed (1.3) with

\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r(t)} = \infty.
\]

Motivated by [9], Qiu [19] considered (1.1) in the case where

\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r_1(t)} = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r_2(t)} = \infty,
\]

whereas Qiu and Wang [20] investigated (1.1) under the assumptions that

\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r_1(t)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r_2(t)} < \infty.
\]

For the diverse cases of convergence or divergence of the integrals \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} 1/r_1(t) \Delta t \) and \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} 1/r_2(t) \Delta t \), the nonoscillatory solutions to (1.1) have different behaviors. In this paper, we shall consider the case under (C1), which means that the convergence and divergence of the integrals above are different. Finally, two examples are given to demonstrate the results.
2. Auxiliary results

For \( \lambda = 0, 1 \), define a Banach space

\[
BC_\lambda([T_0, \infty)_T) = \left\{ x : x \in C([T_0, \infty)_T, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \sup_{t \in [T_0, \infty)_T} \frac{|x(t)|}{R^\lambda(t)} < \infty \right\}
\]

with the norm

\[
\|x\|_\lambda = \sup_{t \in [T_0, \infty)_T} \frac{|x(t)|}{R^\lambda(t)},
\]

where \( C([T_0, \infty)_T, \mathbb{R}) \) is denoted all continuous functions mapping \( [T_0, \infty)_T \) into \( \mathbb{R} \).

For the sake of simplicity, define

\[
z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(g(t)).
\]

Then we have the following lemma (its proof is similar to that of [9, Lemma 2.3]).

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that \( x \) is an eventually positive solution to (1.1) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{z(t)}{R^\lambda(t)} = a \) for \( \lambda = 0, 1 \), where \( \lambda = 1 \) is only if (1.2) holds.

(i) If \( a \) is finite, then

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{R^\lambda(t)} = \frac{a}{1 + p_0|\eta|}. \tag{2.1}
\]

(ii) If \( a \) is infinite, then \( x/R^\lambda \) is unbounded, or

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [t_0, \infty)} \frac{x(t)}{R^\lambda(t)} = \infty.
\]

The following theorem is presented for a classification scheme of eventually positive solutions to (1.1).

**Theorem 2.2.** If \( x \) is an eventually positive solution to (1.1), then there exist four cases for \( x \):

(a1) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0 \);

(a2) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = b \) for some positive constant \( b \);

(a3) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{R(t)} = b \), where \( b \) is a positive constant;

(a4) \( x \) is infinite and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{R(t)} = 0 \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( x \) is an eventually positive solution to (1.1). According to (C2) and (C3), there exist a \( t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_T \) and \( |p_0| < p_1 < 1 \) satisfying \( x(t) > 0 \), \( x(g(t)) > 0 \), \( x(h(t)) > 0 \), and \( |p(t)| \leq p_1 \) for \( t \in [t_1, \infty)_T \). For \( t \in [t_1, \infty)_T \), we have

\[
\left( r_1(t) \left( r_2(t)z(t) \right)^\Delta \right)^\Delta = -f(t, x(h(t))) < 0,
\]

which means that \( r_1(t) \left( r_2(t)z(t) \right)^\Delta \) is strictly decreasing on \( [t_1, \infty)_T \). Then there are two cases to be considered.

**Case 1.** Suppose first that \( r_1(t) \left( r_2(t)z(t) \right)^\Delta \) and \( \left( r_2z(t) \right)^\Delta \) are eventually negative. Then

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) \left( r_2(t)z(t) \right)^\Delta = L_2,
\]
where $-\infty \leq L_2 < 0$, and there exist a constant $c < 0$ and a $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)_T$ such that $r_1(t) (r_2(t)z^A(t))^\Delta \leq c$ for $t \in [t_2, \infty)_T$, which means that

$$(r_2(t)z^A(t))^\Delta \leq \frac{c}{r_1(t)}, \quad t \in [t_2, \infty)_T. \quad (2.2)$$

Letting $t$ be replaced by $s$ and integrating (2.2) from $t_2$ to $t$, $t \in [\sigma(t_2), \infty)_T$, we obtain

$$r_2(t)z^A(t) - r_2(t_2)z^A(t_2) \leq c \int_{t_2}^t \frac{\Delta s}{r_1(s)}.$$

Letting $t \to \infty$, by virtue of (C1), we have $r_2(t)z^A(t) \to -\infty$, which implies that $z^A$ is eventually negative and $z$ is eventually strictly decreasing. Hence, $z$ is either eventually positive or eventually negative. We claim that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = L_0, \quad (2.3)$$

where $0 \leq L_0 < \infty$. Assume that $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) < 0$. Then, by (2.1), we have $p_0 \in (-1, 0]$ and so there exists a $t_3 \in [t_2, \infty)_T$ such that

$$x(t) < -p(t)x(g(t)) \leq p_1 x(g(t)), \quad t \in [t_3, \infty)_T.$$

From (C3), there exists a positive integer $k_0$ such that $c_k \in [t_3, \infty)_T$ for all $k \geq k_0$. For any $k \geq k_0 + 1$, we always have

$$x(c_k) < p_1 x(g(c_k)) = p_1 x(c_{k-1}) < p_1^2 x(g(c_{k-1})) = p_1^2 x(c_{k-2}) < \cdots < p_1^{k-k_0} x(g(c_{k_0} + 1)) = p_1^{k-k_0} x(c_{k_0}),$$

which means that $\lim_{k \to \infty} x(c_k) = 0$. Hence, we obtain $\lim_{k \to \infty} z(c_k) = 0$, which contradicts

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) < 0.$$

So (2.3) holds, and by Lemma 2.1 we have $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$ or $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = b$, where $b$ is a positive constant.

Case 2. Suppose now that $r_1 (r_2z^A)^\Delta$ and $(r_2z^A)^\Delta$ are eventually positive. Then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) (r_2(t)z^A(t))^\Delta = L_2,$$

where $0 \leq L_2 < \infty$. We have the following two cases:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) (r_2(t)z^A(t))^\Delta = b > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) (r_2(t)z^A(t))^\Delta = 0.$$

If $\lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) (r_2(t)z^A(t))^\Delta = b > 0$, then there exists a $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)_T$ such that

$$(r_2(t)z^A(t))^\Delta > \frac{b}{r_1(t)}, \quad (2.4)$$

for $t \in [t_2, \infty)_T$. Letting $t$ be replaced by $s$ and integrating (2.4) from $t_2$ to $t$, $t \in [\sigma(t_2), \infty)_T$, we obtain

$$r_2(t)z^A(t) > r_2(t_2)z^A(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t \frac{\Delta s}{r_1(s)}.$$

Letting $t \to \infty$, by (C1) we have $r_2(t)z^A(t) \to \infty$, which implies that $z^A$ is eventually positive and $z$ is eventually strictly increasing. Hence, $z$ is either eventually positive or eventually negative. Assume that $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) < 0$. Then it will cause a similar contradiction to the one as above. So we get

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = L_0,$$
for some positive constant $b$.

If $\lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) (r_2(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta = 0$, then

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} r_2(t)z^\Delta(t) = L_1,
$$

where $-\infty < L_1 \leq \infty$, since $(r_2z^\Delta)^\Delta$ is eventually positive. Furthermore, we conclude that $r_2z^\Delta$ is eventually strictly increasing, from which it follows that $z^\Delta$ is either eventually positive or eventually negative. Hence, $z$ is always monotonic eventually, which implies that $z$ is also either eventually positive or eventually negative. Similarly as before, we know that $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = L_1 \geq 0$.

When $L_1 = \infty$, we also have $0 \leq L_0 \leq \infty$ similarly as before. When $-\infty < L_1 < \infty$, there exist a constant $d > 0$ and a $t_3 \in [t_1, \infty)_T$ such that $r_2(t)z^\Delta(t) \leq d$ for $t \in [t_3, \infty)_T$, which yields

$$
z^\Delta(t) \leq \frac{d}{r_2(t)}, \quad t \in [t_3, \infty)_T. \tag{2.5}
$$

Letting $t$ be replaced by $s$ and integrating (2.5) from $t_3$ to $t$, $t \in [\sigma(t_3), \infty)_T$, we obtain

$$
z(t) - z(t_3) \leq d \int_{t_3}^{t} \frac{\Delta s}{r_2(s)} < d \cdot M_0,
$$

from which it follows that $z$ is upper bounded by (C1). So we have $0 \leq L_0 < \infty$.

If $0 \leq L_0 < \infty$, then we see that the case (a1) or (a2) holds in terms of Lemma 2.1. On the other hand, if $L_0 = \infty$, then by Lemma 2.1 we deduce that $x$ is infinite. Moreover, according to L'Hôpital's rule (see [7, Theorem 1.120]), we obtain

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) \left( r_2(t)z^\Delta(t) \right)^\Delta = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{z(t)}{R(t)} = L_2,
$$

where $0 \leq L_2 < \infty$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $0 \leq \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t)/R(t) < \infty$. If $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t)/R(t) = b$ for some positive constant $b$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty$. That is, the case (a3) or (a4) holds.

To sum up, we obtain one of the cases (a1)-(a4) holds. The proof is complete. \hfill \Box

3. Main results

In this section, we present the existence criteria for each type of eventually positive solutions to (1.1). Firstly, assume that

$$
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{v} \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v < \infty, \tag{3.1}
$$

i.e., (1.2) is not satisfied. Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that (3.1) holds. Then, (1.1) has an eventually positive solution $x$ with $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = b$ if and only if there exists some constant $K > 0$ such that

$$
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{v} f(s, K) \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v < \infty, \tag{3.2}
$$

where $b$ is a positive constant.

**Proof.** Suppose that $x$ is an eventually positive solution to (1.1) satisfying $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = b$ for some positive constant $b$. Then $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = (1 + p_0)b$, and there exists a $T_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_T$ satisfying $x(t) > 0$, $x(g(t)) > 0$, and $x(h(t)) \geq b/2$ for $t \in [T_1, \infty)_T$. Integrating (1.1) from $T_1$ to $s$, $s \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_T$, we conclude that

$$
(r_2(s)z^\Delta(s))^\Delta = \frac{r_1(T_1) (r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))^\Delta}{r_1(s)} - \int_{T_1}^{s} \frac{f(u, x(h(u))) \Delta u}{r_1(s)}. \tag{3.3}
$$
Integrating (3.3) from $T_1$ to $v, v \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_T$, we have

$$z^\Delta(v) = \frac{r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1)}{r_2(v)} + \frac{r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))}{r_2(v)} \int_{T_1}^v \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \Delta s - \frac{1}{r_2(v)} \int_{T_1}^v f(u, x(h(u))) \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s. \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating (3.4) from $T_1$ to $t, t \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_T$, we obtain

$$z(t) - z(T_1) = r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1) \int_{T_1}^t \frac{1}{r_2(v)} \Delta v + r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1)) \int_{T_1}^t \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v - \frac{t}{r_2(v)} \int_{T_1}^t \int_{T_1}^v f(u, x(h(u))) \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v. \quad (3.5)$$

Letting $t \to \infty$, we get

$$\int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v < \infty.$$

From (C4), it is clear that

$$\int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, b/2)}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v \leq \int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, h(u))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v < \infty,$$

which implies that (3.2) holds.

On the other hand, if there exists some constant $K > 0$ such that (3.2) holds, then we need to consider two cases: (i) $0 \leq p_0 < 1$ and (ii) $-1 < p_0 < 0$, respectively.

Case (i). $0 \leq p_0 < 1$. Choose $p_1$ such that $p_0 < p_1 < (1 + 4p_0)/5 < 1$. When $p_0 > 0$, in view of (C2) and (3.2), there exists a $T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_T$ satisfying

$$p(t) > 0, \quad \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4} \leq p(t) \leq p_1 < 1, \quad t \in [T_0, \infty)_T,$$

$$\int_{T_0}^\infty \int_{T_0}^v \int_{T_0}^s \frac{f(u, K)}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v \leq \frac{(1 - p_1)K}{8}. \quad (3.6)$$

When $p_0 = 0$, choose $p_1$ such that $|p(t)| \leq p_1 \leq 1/13$ for $t \in [T_0, \infty)_T$. By (C3), there exists a $T_1 \in (T_0, \infty)_T$ such that $g(t) \geq T_0$ and $h(t) \geq T_0$ for $t \in [T_1, \infty)_T$.

Define

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ x \in BC_0[T_0, \infty)_T : \frac{K}{2} \leq x(t) \leq K \right\}. \quad (3.7)$$

It is clear that $\Omega_1$ is a bounded, convex, and closed subset of $BC_0[T_0, \infty)_T$. Define two operators $U_1$ and $S_1: \Omega_1 \to BC_0[T_0, \infty)_T$ as follows

$$(U_1x)(t) = \begin{cases} (U_1x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_T, \\ 3Kp_1/4 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_T, \end{cases}$$

$$(S_1x)(t) = \begin{cases} (S_1x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_T, \\ 3K/4 + \int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s f(u, x(h(u)))/(r_1(s)r_2(v)) \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v, & t \in [T_1, \infty)_T. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

We can prove that $U_1$ and $S_1$ satisfy the conditions in Kranoselskii’s fixed point theorem (see [19, Lemma 2.2]). However, the proof is lengthy but similar to the one in [19, Theorem 3.1], so we omit it here.

In view of Kranoselskii’s fixed point theorem, there exists an $x \in \Omega_1$ such that $(U_1 + S_1)x = x$. For $t \in [T_1, \infty)_T$, we have

$$x(t) = \frac{3(1 + p_1)K}{4} - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s f(u, x(h(u)))/(r_1(s)r_2(v)) \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v.$$
Since
\[
\int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{T}^{v} \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v \leq \int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{T}^{v} \frac{f(u, K)}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v
\]
for \( t \in [T_1, \infty) \) and
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{T}^{v} \frac{f(u, K)}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v}{\int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{T}^{v} \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v} = 0,
\]
we obtain
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \frac{3(1 + p_1)K}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \frac{3(1 + p_1)K}{4(1 + p_0)} > 0.
\]
Case (ii). \(-1 < p_0 < 0\). Choose \( p_1 \) satisfying \(-p_0 < p_1 < (1 - 4p_0)/5 < 1\). By (C2) and (3.2), there exists a \( T_0 \in [t_0, \infty) \) such that (3.6) holds and
\[
p(t) < 0, \quad \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4} \leq -p(t) \leq p_1 < 1, \quad t \in [T_0, \infty).
\]
There also exists a \( T_1 \in (T_0, \infty) \) such that \( g(t) \geq T_0 \) and \( h(t) \geq T_0 \) for \( t \in [T_1, \infty) \). Introduce \( BC_0[T_0, \infty) \) and its subset \( \Omega_1 \) as (3.7). Define \( S_1 \) as in (3.8) and \( U_1' \) on \( \Omega_1 \) as follows
\[
(U_1'(x))(t) = \begin{cases} 
(U_1(x))(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1), \\
-3Kp_1/4 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty).
\end{cases}
\]
Similarly, as in the proof of [19, Theorem 3.1], we can prove that \( U_1' \) and \( S_1 \) satisfy the conditions in Kranosel’s fixed point theorem. Hence, there exists an \( x \in \Omega_1 \) such that \( (U_1' + S_1)x = x \). For \( t \in [T_1, \infty) \), we have
\[
x(t) = \frac{3(1 - p_1)K}{4} - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_{T_1}^{t} \int_{T_1}^{v} \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v.
\]
Letting \( t \to \infty \), we obtain
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \frac{3(1 - p_1)K}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \frac{3(1 - p_1)K}{4(1 + p_0)} > 0.
\]
The proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.2.** It is obvious that assumption (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 can be deleted in the sufficiency of the proof. Hence, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** If there exists some constant \( K > 0 \) such that (3.2) holds, then (1.1) has an eventually positive solution \( x \) with \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = b \), where \( b \) is a positive constant.

Secondly, define
\[
A(\alpha, \beta) = \left\{ x \in S : \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \alpha, \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{R(t)} = \beta \right\},
\]
where \( S \) is the set of all eventually positive solutions of (1.1). We show a condition which means that (1.2) holds in Lemma 3.4, and then it follows that Theorems 3.5 and 3.6.

**Lemma 3.4.** If (1.1) has an eventually positive solution \( x \) with \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty \), then (1.2) holds and \( x \) belongs to \( A(\infty, b) \) or \( A(\infty, 0) \), where \( b \) is a positive constant.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( x \) is an eventually positive solution to (1.1) satisfying \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty \). If we assume that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) < \infty \), then by Lemma 2.1 we have \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) < \infty \), which will cause a contradiction. Hence, we obtain \( \lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \infty \).

By (3.5), letting \( t \to \infty \), it follows that (1.2) holds. Define \( R(t) \) as in (C5). By virtue of Theorem 2.2, we deduce that \( x \) belongs to \( A(\infty, b) \) for some positive constant \( b \) or \( A(\infty, 0) \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)
**Theorem 3.5.** Equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution in \(A(\infty, b)\) if and only if there exists some constant \(K > 0\) such that
\[
\int_{t_0}^\infty f(u, KR(h(u)))\Delta u < \infty, \tag{3.9}
\]
where \(b\) is a positive constant.

**Proof.** Suppose that \(x\) is an eventually positive solution to (1.1) in \(A(\infty, b)\). Then, in view of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t) (r_2(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{z(t)}{R(t)} = (1 + p_0\eta)b.
\]

There exists a \(T_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_T\) such that \(x(t) > 0, x(g(t)) > 0,\) and \(x(h(t)) \geq bR(h(t))/2\) for \(t \in [T_1, \infty)_T\). Integrating (1.1) from \(T_1\) to \(s, s \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_T\), we get
\[
r_1(s) (r_2(s)z^\Delta(s))^\Delta - r_1(T_1) (r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))^\Delta = -\int_{T_1}^{s} f(u, x(h(u)))\Delta u.
\]

Letting \(s \to \infty\), we arrive at
\[
\int_{T_1}^{\infty} f(u, x(h(u)))\Delta u < \infty.
\]

From (C4), we obtain
\[
\int_{T_1}^{\infty} f(u, bR(h(u)))\Delta u \leq \int_{T_1}^{\infty} f(u, x(h(u)))\Delta u < \infty.
\]

That is, (3.9) holds.

On the other hand, assume that there exists some constant \(K > 0\) such that (3.9) holds.

Case (i). \(0 \leq p_0 < 1\). Take \(p_1\) as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. When \(p_0 > 0\), there exists a \(T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_T\) such that
\[
p(t) > 0, \quad \frac{5p_1 -1}{4} \leq p(t) \leq p_1 < 1, \quad p(t) \frac{R(g(t))}{R(t)} \geq \frac{5p_1 -1}{4} \eta, \quad t \in [T_0, \infty)_T,
\]
\[
\int_{T_0}^{\infty} f(u, KR(h(u)))\Delta u \leq \frac{(1 - p_1\eta)K}{8}.
\]

When \(p_0 = 0\), choose \(p_1\) such that \(|p(t)| \leq p_1 \leq 1/13\) for \(t \in [T_0, \infty)_T\). There also exists a \(T_1 \in (T_0, \infty)_T\) such that \(g(t) \geq T_1\) and \(h(t) \geq T_0\) for \(t \in [T_1, \infty)_T\).

Define
\[
\Omega_2 = \left\{ x \in BC_1[T_0, \infty)_T : \frac{K}{2} R(t) \leq x(t) \leq KR(t) \right\}. \tag{3.10}
\]

Similarly, \(\Omega_2\) is a bounded, convex, and closed subset of \(BC_1[T_0, \infty)_T\). Define two operators \(U_2\) and \(S_2\): \(\Omega_2 \to BC_1[T_0, \infty)_T\) as follows
\[
(U_2x)(t) = \begin{cases} 
3Kp_1\eta R(t)/4 - p(T_1)x(g(T_1))R(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_T, \\
3Kp_1R(t)/4 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_T,
\end{cases}
\]
\[
(S_2x)(t) = \begin{cases} 
3KR(t)/4, & t \in [T_0, T_1)_T, \\
3KR(t)/4 + \int_{T_1}^{T} \int_{T_1}^{T} \int_{S}^{\infty} f(u, x(h(u)))/r_1(s)r_2(v))\Delta u\Delta s\Delta v, & t \in [T_1, \infty)_T.
\end{cases} \tag{3.11}
\]

The proof that \(U_2\) and \(S_2\) satisfy the conditions in Kranoselksiі’s fixed point theorem is similar to that of [19, Theorem 3.1] and so is omitted. Therefore, there exists an \(x \in \Omega_2\) such that \((U_2 + S_2)x = x.\) For \(t \in [T_1, \infty)_T\), we have
\[
x(t) = \frac{3(1 + p_1\eta)K}{4} R(t) - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_{T_1}^{T} \int_{T_1}^{T} \int_{S}^{\infty} f(u, x(h(u)))/r_1(s)r_2(v))\Delta u\Delta s\Delta v.
\]
Letting $t \to \infty$, we obtain
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{z(t)}{R(t)} = \frac{3(1+p_1 \eta)K}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{R(t)} = \frac{3(1+p_1 \eta)K}{4(1+p_0 \eta)} > 0,
\]
which means that $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty$.

Case (ii). $-1 < p_0 < 0$. Introduce $BC_1[T_0, \infty]_T$ and its subset $\Omega_2$ as in (3.10). Define $S_2$ as in (3.11) and $U_2'$ on $\Omega_2$ as follows
\[
(U_2'x)(t) = \begin{cases} 
-3Kp_1 \eta R(t)/4 - p(T_1)x(g(T_1))R(t)/R(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_T, \\
-3Kp_1 \eta R(t)/4 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_T.
\end{cases}
\]
Similarly, $U_2'$ and $S_2$ also satisfy the conditions in Krasosel'skiy's fixed point theorem. There exists an $x \in \Omega_2$ such that $(U_2' + S_2)x = x$. For $t \in [T_1, \infty)_T$, we have
\[
x(t) = \frac{3(1-p_1 \eta)K}{4} R(t) - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_{T_1}^t \int_{T_1}^s \int_{T_1}^v \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v.
\]
Similarly, we obtain
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{z(t)}{R(t)} = \frac{3(1-p_1 \eta)K}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{R(t)} = \frac{3(1-p_1 \eta)K}{4(1+p_0 \eta)} > 0.
\]
It is obvious that $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty$. The proof is complete.

\[\Box\]

**Theorem 3.6.** If (1.1) has an eventually positive solution in $A(\infty, 0)$, then
\[
\int_{t_0}^\infty f\left(u, \frac{3}{4}\right) \Delta u < \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty \int_{t_0}^v \int_{t_0}^s \frac{f(u, R(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v = \infty. \tag{3.12}
\]
If there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $|p(t)R(t)| \leq M$ for $t \in [t_0, \infty)_T$, and
\[
\int_{t_0}^\infty f(u, R(h(u))) \Delta u < \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty \int_{t_0}^v \int_{t_0}^s \frac{f(u, M + 3/4)}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v = \infty, \tag{3.13}
\]
then (1.1) has an eventually positive solution in $A(\infty, 0)$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $x$ is an eventually positive solution to (1.1) in $A(\infty, 0)$. Similarly, we have
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} r_1(t)(r_2(t)z^A(t))^A = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{z(t)}{R(t)} = 0.
\]
There exist a $T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_T$ and a $T_1 \in (T_0, \infty)_T$ such that $3/4 \leq x(t) \leq R(t)$ for $t \in [T_0, \infty)_T$, $g(t) \geq T_0$, and $h(t) \geq T_0$ for $t \in [T_1, \infty)_T$. Integrating (1.1) from $T_1$ to $s$, $s \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_T$, it follows that
\[
r_1(s)(r_2(s)z^A(s))^A - r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^A(T_1))^A = -\int_{T_1}^s f(u, x(h(u))) \Delta u.
\]
Letting $s \to \infty$, we obtain
\[
r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^A(T_1))^A = \int_{T_1}^\infty f(u, x(h(u))) \Delta u, \tag{3.14}
\]
which means that
\[
\int_{T_1}^\infty f\left(u, \frac{3}{4}\right) \Delta u \leq \int_{T_1}^\infty f(u, x(h(u))) \Delta u < \infty.
\]
Replacing $T_1$ with $s$ in (3.14), we conclude that

$$
(r_2(s)z^\Delta(s))^\Delta = \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))\Delta u}{r_1(s)}.
$$

(3.15)

Integrating (3.15) from $T_1$ to $v, v \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_T$, we get

$$
z^\Delta(v) = \frac{r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1)}{r_2(v)} + \frac{1}{r_2(v)} \int_{T_1}^v \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s.
$$

(3.16)

Integrating (3.16) from $T_1$ to $t, t \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_T$, we have

$$
z(t) - z(T_1) = r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1) \int_{T_1}^t \frac{1}{r_2(v)} \Delta v + \int_{T_1}^t \int_{T_1}^v \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v.
$$

Letting $t \to \infty$, we deduce that

$$
\int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^\infty \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v = \infty.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v \geq \int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v = \infty.
$$

That is, (3.12) holds.

On the other hand, if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $|p(t)|R(t)| \leq M$ for $t \in [t_0, \infty)_T$, and (3.13) holds, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} p(t) = p_0 = 0$. Choose a $T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_T$ and $0 < p_1 < 1$ satisfying

$$
|p(t)| \leq p_1 < 1, \quad 2M + \frac{3}{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} R(t), \quad t \in [T_0, \infty)_T,
$$

$$
\int_{T_0}^\infty f(u, R(h(u))) \Delta u \leq \frac{1 - p_1}{8}.
$$

There exists a $T_1 \in (T_0, \infty)_T$ such that $g(t) \geq T_0$ and $h(t) \geq T_0$ for $t \in [T_1, \infty)_T$.

Define

$$
\Omega_3 = \left\{ x \in BC[1, \infty)_T : M + \frac{3}{4} \leq x(t) \leq R(t) \right\}.
$$

Then $\Omega_3$ is a bounded, convex, and closed subset of $BC[1, \infty)_T$. Define two operators $U_3$ and $S_3 : \Omega_3 \to BC[1, \infty)_T$ as follows

$$
(U_3x)(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
M + 3/4 - p(T_1)x(g(T_1))R(T_1)/R(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_T, \\
M + 3/4 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_T,
\end{array} \right.
$$

$$
(S_3x)(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
M + 3/4, & t \in [T_0, T_1)_T, \\
M + 3/4 + \int_{T_1}^v \int_s^\infty f(u, x(h(u)))/(r_1(s)r_2(v)) \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v, & t \in [T_1, \infty)_T.
\end{array} \right.
$$

The proof that $U_3$ and $S_3$ satisfy the conditions in Kranoleskii’s fixed point theorem is similar to that of [19, Theorem 3.1] and is also omitted here. Then, there exists an $x \in \Omega_3$ such that $(U_3 + S_3)x = x$. For $t \in [T_1, \infty)_T$, we have

$$
x(t) = 2M + \frac{3}{2} - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_{T_1}^v \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v.
$$
It is easy to deduce that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{z(t)}{R(t)} = 0.
\]
Since \(|p(t)x(g(t))| \leq |p(t)R(t)| \leq M\), by virtue of Lemma 2.1, we obtain
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{R(t)} = 0.
\]
The proof is complete.

4. Examples

The following examples illustrate applications of theoretical results presented in the previous section.

Example 4.1. Let \(T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [4n-3, 4n]\). For \(t \in [5, \infty)_T\), consider
\[
\left( t \left( t^4 \left( x(t) - \frac{t + \sin t \cdot x(t - 4)}{2} \right) \right) \right) \Delta t = \frac{t^3}{t^2} + tx^3(t) = 0. \tag{4.1}
\]
Here, \(r_1(t) = t, \; r_2(t) = t^4, \; p(t) = -(t + \sin t)/(2t), \; g(t) = t - 4, \; h(t) = t, \) and \(f(t, x) = x/t^2 + tx^3\). It is easy to see that conditions (C1)-(C4) and (3.1) are satisfied. Therefore,
\[
\int_5^\infty \int_5^s \frac{f(u, 1)}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v = \int_5^\infty \int_5^s \frac{u}{s \cdot v^4} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v < \int_5^\infty \int_5^s \frac{\sigma(u) + u}{s \cdot v^4} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v < \int_5^\infty \int_5^s \frac{v}{s \cdot v^4} \Delta s \Delta v < \frac{1}{2} \int_5^\infty \frac{1}{v^4} \Delta v < \infty.
\]
Using Theorem 3.1 (or Corollary 3.3), we conclude that (4.1) has an eventually positive solution \(x(t) = b\), where \(b\) is a positive constant.

Example 4.2. For any time scale \(T\) which satisfies that \(t/3, \sqrt{t} \in T\) for any \(t \in [t_0, \infty)_T\) with \(t_0 \geq 1\) and \(\int_{t_0}^\infty t^{-\lambda} \Delta t < \infty\) for \(\lambda > 1\), consider
\[
\left( t \left( t^2 \left( x(t) + \frac{1}{8t} x\left( \frac{t}{3}\right) \right) \right) \right) \Delta t = \frac{x(\sqrt{t})}{t^{7/4}} = 0. \tag{4.2}
\]
Here, \(r_1(t) = 1/t, \; r_2(t) = t^2, \; p(t) = 1/(8t), \; g(t) = t/3, \; h(t) = \sqrt{t}, \) and \(f(t, x) = x/t^{7/4}\). All conditions (C1)-(C5) are satisfied. In view of (C5), we have
\[
R(t) = 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s \frac{\Delta s \Delta v}{v^2} < 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s \frac{1}{v} \Delta s \Delta v < 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \Delta v < 1 + t \leq 2t.
\]
Hence,
\[
|p(t)R(t)| \leq \frac{1}{4},
\]
and
\[
\int_{t_0}^\infty f(u, R(h(u))) \Delta u < \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{2\sqrt{u}}{u^{7/4}} \Delta u = 2 \int_{t_0}^\infty u^{-5/4} \Delta u < \infty,
\]
and
\[
\int_{t_0}^\infty \int_{t_0}^s \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{f(u, 1/4 + 3/4)}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v = \int_{t_0}^\infty \int_{t_0}^s \frac{u^{-7/4} \cdot s}{v^2} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v = \int_{t_0}^\infty \int_{t_0}^s \frac{O(s^{-3/4}) \cdot s}{v^2} \Delta s \Delta v = \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{O(v^{5/4})}{v^2} \Delta v = \int_{t_0}^\infty O(v^{-3/4}) \Delta v = \infty.
\]
Therefore, (4.2) has eventually positive solutions \(x_1 \in \Lambda(\infty, b)\) for some positive constant \(b\) and \(x_2 \in \Lambda(\infty, 0)\) due to Theorems 3.5 and 3.6, respectively.
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