ISSN: 2008-1898



Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications



Journal Homepage: www.tjnsa.com - www.isr-publications.com/jnsa

Some properties of g-p-frames in complex Banach spaces

Xiao Tan, Yongdong Huang*

School of Mathematics and Information Science, North Minzu University, Yin Chuan, 750021, China.

Communicated by Y. H. Yao

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of dual frame of g-p-frame, and give the sufficient condition for a g-p-frame to have dual frames. Using operator theory and methods of functional analysis, we get some new properties of g-p-frame. In addition, we also characterize g-p-frame and g-q-Riesz bases by using analysis operator of g-p-Bessel sequence. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: g-p-frame, g-q-Riesz basis, dual frames, analysis operator. 2010 MSC: 42C15, 42C40,

1. Introduction

Frames for Hilbert spaces were first introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [7] in 1952, and popularized from then on. Frames play an important role not only in pure mathematics, but also in signal processing, so it is natural to search for extensions to Banach spaces. p-frame in complex Banach spaces formally defined by Aldroubi et al. [3] in 2001. They were reintroduced and developed in 2003 by Chrisrensen and Stoeva [5]. After several years, Xiao et al. [10] generalized the g-frame and g-Riesz basis in a complex Hilbert space to a complex Banach space and obtained some basic properties. From papers [1–3, 5, 6, 8–10], it is known that some properties of g-p-frame are similar to those of p-frame, but the others are not. For example, if $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-p-Bessel sequence (p-Bessel sequence), then the synthesis operator T_{Λ} is linear bounded, if $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-q-Riesz bases, then the synthesis operator T_{Λ} is linear isomorphism. But q-Riesz bases do not have same property. p-frame has dual frame and every element of Banach spaces can be linear expression by p-frame and its dual, but g-p-frame does not have corresponding conclusion. So we need further study the properties of g-p-frame.

The purpose of this paper is to further develop the g-p-frames theory in complex Banach spaces. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the basic definitions and some important results about g-p-frame in complex Banach spaces. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of dual frames of g-p-frame, and give the sufficient condition for a g-p-frame to have dual frames. In Section 4, we give some new properties of g-p-frames in complex Banach spaces.

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: 13649507784@163.com (Xiao Tan), nxhyd74@126.com (Yongdong Huang)

doi:10.22436/jnsa.010.07.45

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some definitions and important results of g-p-frame and g-q-Riesz basis we need later.

Suppose that X and Y are two complex Banach spaces and $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a sequence of closed subspaces of Y, where J is a subset of integers \mathbb{Z} . Let $BL(X, Y_j)$ be the collection of all bounded linear operators from X into Y_j , BL(X) be the collection of all bounded linear operators on X, Y_j^* is the adjoint space of Y_j .

We introduce three sequence spaces.

(1)
$$l^{\infty} = \left\{ \{a_j\}_{j \in J} : a_j \in C \text{ and } \sup_{j \in J} |a_j| < \infty \right\}$$
, with the norm given by
 $\|\{a_j\}_{j \in J}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{j \in J} |a_j|.$

(2) $\left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{l^p} = \left\{\{a_j\}_{j \in J} : a_j \in Y_j \text{ and } \sum_{j \in J} \|a_j\|^p < \infty \right\}, p > 1$, corresponding norm is
 $\|\{a_j\}_{j \in J}\|_p = \left(\sum_{j \in J} \|a_j\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$

$$(3) \left(\sum_{j\in J} \bigoplus Y_j^*\right)_{l^q} = \left\{\{a_j\}_{j\in J} : a_j \in Y_j^* \text{ and } \sum_{j\in J} \|a_j\|^q < \infty\right\}, q > 1, \text{ corresponding norm is}$$
$$\|\{a_j\}_{j\in J}\|_q = \left(\sum_{j\in J} \|a_j\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proposition 2.1 ([4]). Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$$\left(\sum_{j\in J}\bigoplus Y_j\right)_{\iota^p}^* = \left(\sum_{j\in J}\bigoplus Y_j^*\right)_{\iota^q}.$$

From Proposition 2.1, we can show that the formula,

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} \langle f_j,g_j\rangle,$$

where $f = {f_j}_{j \in J} \in \left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{L^p}$, $g = {g_j}_{j \in J} \in \left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j^*\right)_{L^q}$, the inner product defines a continuous functional on $\left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{L^p}$ whose norm is equal to $\|g\|_q = \left(\sum_{j \in J} \|g_j\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.

Definition 2.2 ([10]). Let p > 1, $\Lambda_j \in BL(X, Y_j)$, $j \in J$. A sequence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is called a generalized p-frame, or simply a g-p-frame, for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$. If there exist two positive constants A and B such that

$$A\|f\| \leqslant \left(\sum_{j\in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leqslant B\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in X.$$
(2.1)

A and B are called the lower and upper frame bounds, respectively.

If the right-hand inequality of (2.1) holds, we say that $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-Bessel sequence for X with respect to $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$ with bound B.

Definition 2.3 ([10]). Let q > 1, $\Lambda_j \in BL(X, Y_j), j \in J$. We call a sequence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a generalized q-Riesz basis, or simply a g-q-Riesz basis, for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ if the sequence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ is g-complete, i.e., $\{f : \Lambda_i f = 0, j \in J\} = \{0\}$.
- (2) There exist two positive constants A and B such that for any finite subset $J_1 \subset J$ and $a_j \in Y_j^*$, $j \in J_1$ satisfying

$$A\bigg(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|a_j\|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \bigg\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Lambda_j^* a_j\bigg\| \leqslant B\bigg(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|a_j\|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

If $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-q-Riesz basis for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is also a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$. But the reverse is not true.

Definition 2.4 ([2]). Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-p-Bessel sequence for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, define the linear operators as follows:

$$\begin{split} & u_{\Lambda}: X \to \left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_{j}\right)_{L^{p}}, \qquad u_{\Lambda}f = \{\Lambda_{j}f\}_{j \in J}, \\ & T_{\Lambda}: \left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_{j}^{*}\right)_{L^{q}} \to X^{*}, \quad T_{\Lambda}(\{g_{j}\}_{j \in J}) = \sum_{j \in J}\Lambda_{j}^{*}g_{j}. \end{split}$$

 U_{Λ} and T_{Λ} are called the analysis and synthesis operators of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$, respectively.

If $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ with bounds A and B, then $A ||f|| \leq ||U_A f|| \leq B ||f||$, i.e., U_A is linear bounded operator.

Proposition 2.5 ([10]). *If* $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ *is a g-p-frame for* X *with respect to* $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ *,* Q *is invertible operator in* X. *Then* $\{\Lambda_j Q\}_{j \in J}$ *is a g-p-frame for* X *with respect to* $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$.

Proposition 2.6 ([10]). $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ *is a g-q-Riesz basis for* X *with respect to* $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ *with bounds* A *and* B *if and only if the operator* T_{Λ} *is invertible.*

Proposition 2.7 ([2]). If $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-p-Bessel sequence for X with respect to $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$, then

- (a) $U^*_{\Lambda} = T_{\Lambda}$;
- (b) if $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_j \in BL(X, Y_j) : j \in J\}$ is a g-p-frame for X and all of Y_j are reflexive, then $T^*_{\Lambda} = U_{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 2.8 ([2]). If $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, then the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is g-q-Riesz basis for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$.
- (b) If for any $\{a_j\}_{j\in J} \in l^q(\{Y_j^*\}_{j\in J}), \sum_{j\in J} \Lambda_j^*a_j = 0$, then $a_j = 0$, for all $j \in J$.
- (c) U_{Λ} is surjective.

3. Dual of g-p-frame

In this section, we will introduce the concept of dual frame of g-p-frame, and prove a basic fact that g-q-Riesz basis must have dual frames. In addition, we give a property of g-p-frame which have dual frame.

Definition 3.1. Let $\{\Lambda_j \in BL(X, Y_j) : j \in J\}$ be a g-p-frame and $\{T_j \in BL(X^*, Y_j^*) : j \in J\}$ be a g-q-frame. If these two frames satisfy the following conditions:

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} T_j^* \Lambda_j f, \quad \forall f \in X,$$
(3.1)

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* T_j f, \quad \forall f \in X^*,$$
(3.2)

we call $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ a pair of dual frame for X. Here, one of them is called a dual frame of other.

Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-p-Bessel sequence for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, and U_{Λ} be the analysis operator of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$. The adjoint operator U_{Λ}^* of U_{Λ} is defined by:

$$U^*_{\Lambda}: \left(\sum_{j\in J}\bigoplus Y^*_j\right)_{L^q} \to X^*, \quad U^*_{\Lambda}(\{g_j\}_{j\in J}) = \sum_{j\in J}\Lambda^*_jg_j.$$

In fact, suppose that $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ a pair of dual frame for X, U_T , U_Λ are the analysis operators of $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$, $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$, respectively. Then (3.1), (3.2) imply that

$$I_X = U_T^* U_\Lambda, \quad I_{X^*} = U_\Lambda^* U_T$$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-q-frame for X^{*} with respect to $\{Y_j^*\}_{j \in J}$. If $f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* T_j f$, for all $f \in X^*$, then

$$f=\sum_{j\in J}T_{j}^{*}\Lambda_{j}f,\quad\forall f\in X.$$

Proof. Let us define operator,

$$\mathsf{T}: \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{X}, \ \ \mathsf{T} \mathsf{f} = \sum_{j \in J} \mathsf{T}_j^* \Lambda_j \mathsf{f}, \quad \forall \mathsf{f} \in \mathsf{X}.$$

Assume the upper bounds of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$, $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ are B_1 , B_2 , respectively, then

$$\begin{split} \|\mathsf{T}f\| &= \sup_{g \in X^*, \|g\|=1} |\langle \mathsf{T}f, g \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{g \in X^*, \|g\|=1} \left| \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} \mathsf{T}_j^* \Lambda_j f, g \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sup_{g \in X^*, \|g\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \mathsf{T}_j g \rangle \right| \\ &\leqslant \sup_{g \in X^*, \|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j \in J} \|\mathsf{T}_j g\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leqslant \mathsf{B}_1 \mathsf{B}_2 \|f\|. \end{split}$$

Hence $\|T\| \leqslant B_1B_2$, $T \in BL(X)$. For any $f \in X$, $g \in X^*$, we have

$$\langle \mathsf{T} \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} \mathsf{T}_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g} \right\rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_{j} \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{T}_{j} \mathsf{g} \rangle,$$

$$\langle \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g} \rangle = \left\langle \mathsf{f}, \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \mathsf{T}_{j} \mathsf{g} \right\rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_{j} \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{T}_{j} \mathsf{g} \rangle.$$

So $\langle Tf, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$, for all $f \in X, g \in X^*$, which implies that $T = I_X$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-q-frame for X^{*} with respect to $\{Y_j^*\}_{j \in J}$. If $f = \sum_{j \in J} T_j^* \Lambda_j f$, for all $f \in X$, then

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* T_j f, \quad \forall f \in X^*$$

Proof. The proving process is similar to Lemma 3.2, so we omit the proof.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-q-Riesz basis for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j\in J}$ with bounds A, B. Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ must have dual frame, and the dual frame is g-p-Riesz basis for X^{*} with respect to $\{Y_j^*\}_{j\in J}$ with bounds $\frac{1}{B}, \frac{1}{A}$.

Proof. Since $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a g-q-Riesz basis for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j\in J}$, by Proposition 2.6, synthesis operator T_{Λ} is invertible. Then for every $f \in X^*$, there exists $\{g_j\}_{j\in J} \in \left(\sum_{j\in J} \bigoplus Y_j^*\right)_{L^q}$ such that $f = \sum_{j\in J} \Lambda_j^* g_j$. Let us define the operator,

$$K_j: X^* \to Y_j^*, \quad K_j(f) = g_j$$

Therefore

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* K_j f, \quad \forall f \in X^*.$$
(3.3)

Since $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-q-Riesz basis, for any $K_j f \in Y_j^*$, we have

$$A\bigg(\sum_{j\in J}|K_jf|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \bigg\|\sum_{j\in J}\Lambda_j^*(K_jf)\bigg\| \leqslant B\bigg(\sum_{j\in J}|K_jf|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{B} \|f\| \leqslant \left(\sum_{j \in J} |K_j f|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \frac{1}{A} \|f\|,$$

i.e., $\{K_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-q-frame for X^{*} with respect to $\{Y_i^*\}_{j \in J}$. By Lemma 3.2, we can get

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} K_j^* \Lambda_j f, \quad \forall f \in X.$$
(3.4)

By Proposition 2.6, T_{Λ} is invertible and $U_{K} = T_{\Lambda}^{-1}$, therefore U_{K} is invertible. Furthermore, by Proposition 2.7, $U_{K}^{*} = T_{K}$ is invertible, therefore $\{K_{j}\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-q-Riesz basis.

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), $\{K_j\}_{j \in J}$ is the dual of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ with bounds $\frac{1}{B}, \frac{1}{A}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a g-p-frame with bounds A and B and have dual frame $\{T_j\}_{j\in J}$, assume $J_1 \subset J$ and $I_X - \sum_{j\in J_1} T_j^*\Lambda_j$ is invertible. Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J\setminus J_1}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j\in J\setminus J_1}$ with bounds $A \parallel (I_X - \sum_{j\in J_1} T_j^*\Lambda_j)^{-1} \parallel_X^{-1}$ and B.

Proof. Assume $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ is dual frame of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$, for any $f \in X$ we have

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} T_j^* \Lambda_j f = \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j f + \sum_{j \in J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j f,$$

$$I_X f - \sum_{j \in J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j f = \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j f.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| I_X f - \sum_{j \in J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j f \right\|_X &= \left\| \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j f \right\|_X \\ &= \sup_{g \in X^*, \|g\|=1} \left| \left\langle \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j f, g \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sup_{g \in X^*, \|g\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} \left\langle \Lambda_j f, T_j g \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leqslant \sup_{g \in X^*, \|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} \|\Lambda_j f\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} \|T_j g\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{A} \left(\sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} \|\Lambda_j f\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{split}$$

If $I_X - \sum\limits_{j \in J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j$ is invertible on X, then

$$A\|(I_X - \sum_{j \in J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j)^{-1}\|_X^{-1}\|f\| \leqslant A\|(I_X - \sum_{j \in J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j)f\|_X \leqslant (\sum_{j \in J \setminus J_1} \|\Lambda_j f\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and

$$\bigg(\sum_{j\in J\setminus J_1}\|\Lambda_jf\|^p\bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leqslant \bigg(\sum_{j\in J}\|\Lambda_jf\|^p\bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leqslant B\|f\|.$$

Hence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J \setminus J_1}$ is a g-p-frame with bounds $A \left\| (I_X - \sum_{j \in J_1} T_j^* \Lambda_j)^{-1} \right\|_X^{-1}$ and B.

4. Some properties of g-p-frame in complex Banach spaces

In this section, we will introduce some new properties of g-p-frame and g-q-Riesz basis.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a g-p-Bessel sequence with bound B and $\mathfrak{m} = {\mathfrak{m}_j}_{j\in J} \in \mathfrak{l}^{\infty}$, then ${\mathfrak{m}_j\Lambda_j}_{j\in J}$ is a g-p-Bessel sequence with bound $\|\mathfrak{m}\|_{\infty}$ B.

Proof. It is easy to show that, for all $f \in X$

$$\bigg(\sum_{j\in J}\|\mathfrak{m}_{j}\Lambda_{j}f\|^{p}\bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leqslant\|\mathfrak{m}\|_{\infty}\bigg(\sum_{j\in J}\|\Lambda_{j}f\|^{p}\bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leqslant\|\mathfrak{m}\|_{\infty}B\|f\|.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-p-frame with bounds A, B, and $T \in BL(X), T_j \in BL(Y_j)$ be bound invertible operators. Suppose that

$$0 < \mathfrak{m} = \inf_{j \in J} \frac{1}{\|T_j^{-1}\|_{Y_j}} \leq \sup_{j \in J} \|T_j\|_{Y_j} = M < \infty,$$

and $\Gamma_j = T_j \Lambda_j T \in BL(X, Y_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then $\{\Gamma_j \in BL(X, Y_j) : j \in J\}$ is a g-p-frame with bounds $mA \|T^{-1}\|_X^{-1}, MB\|T\|_X$.

Proof. Assume that $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ with bounds A and B. Then for any $f \in X$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{j\in J} \left\|\Gamma_{j}f\right\|_{Y_{j}}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\sum_{j\in J} \left\|T_{j}(\Lambda_{j}Tf)\right\|_{Y_{j}}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{j\in J} \frac{1}{\left\|T_{j}^{-1}\right\|_{Y_{j}}^{p}} \left\|\Lambda_{j}(Tf)\right\|_{Y_{j}}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq mA\|Tf\|_{X} \end{split}$$

Similarly we have

$$\left(\sum_{j\in J}\left\|\Gamma_{j}f\right\|_{Y_{j}}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leqslant MB\|T\|_{X}\|f\|.$$

 $\geq \mathfrak{m} A \| \mathsf{T}^{-1} \|_{\mathsf{X}}^{-1} \| \mathsf{f} \|.$

It follows that $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ with bounds $mA \|T^{-1}\|_X^{-1}$, $MB \|T\|_X$. \Box

Remark 4.3. If $T_j = E_j$ for any $j \in J$, where E_j is an identity operator on Y_j , from Theorem 4.2, we obtain [10, theorem 2.4]; if X and $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ are Hilbert spaces and p = 2, we obtain [8, lemma 2.13].

Theorem 4.4. Let $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ with bounds A, B, and $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-p-Bessel sequence for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ with bound M (M < A). Then $\{T_j \pm \Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ with bounds (A – M), (B + M).

Proof. Assume that U_{Λ} , U_T are analysis operators of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$, $\{T_j\}_{j\in J}$, respectively, then for any $f \in X$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{j \in J} \| (T_j \pm \Lambda_j) f \|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \| \{ (T_j \pm \Lambda_j) f \}_{j \in J} \|$$
$$= \| \{T_j f \}_{j \in J} \pm \{\Lambda_j f \}_{j \in J} \|$$
$$= \| U_T f \pm U_\Lambda f \|$$
$$\leq \| U_T f \| + \| U_\Lambda f \|$$
$$\leq (B + M) \| f \|.$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{j\in J} \|(T_j\pm\Lambda_j)f\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \|\{(T_j\pm\Lambda_j)f\}_{j\in J}\| \\ &= \|\{T_jf\}_{j\in J}\pm\{\Lambda_jf\}_{j\in J}\| \\ &= \|U_Tf\pm U_\Lambda f\| \\ &\geq \|U_Tf\| - \|U_\Lambda f\| \\ &\geq (A-M)\|f\|. \end{split}$$

Hence, $\{T_i \pm \Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ with bounds (A - M), (B + M).

Theorem 4.5. Let p > 1, $\Lambda_j \in BL(X, Y_j) : j \in J$, then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ if and only if $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is g-complete and $R(U_\Lambda)$ is closed subspace of $\left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{L^p}$.

Proof. First we prove the necessity. Since $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame, $\Lambda_j f = 0$, for all $j \in J$, then we have f = 0. Hence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is g-complete.

Next we prove that $R(U_{\Lambda})$ is closed. Let $\{g_n : n \ge 1\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $R(U_{\Lambda})$. There exist

some $f_n \in X$, $n \ge 1$ such that $g_n = U_{\Lambda}f_n$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists K > 0 such that, for any p, m > K, $||U_{\Lambda}f_{p} - U_{\Lambda}f_{m}|| < \varepsilon$, since $||U_{\Lambda}f|| \ge A||f||$. We have

$$A\|f_p - f_m\| \leqslant \|U_{\Lambda}f_p - U_{\Lambda}f_m\| < \epsilon$$

Hence $\{f_n : n \ge 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is Banach space, there exists $f_0 \in X$ such that $\|f_n - f_0\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows that

$$\|U_{\Lambda}f_n - U_{\Lambda}f_0\| \leqslant B\|f_n - f_0\| \to 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

Hence $g_n = U_{\Lambda}f_n \rightarrow U_{\Lambda}f_0 \in R(U_{\Lambda})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $R(U_{\Lambda})$ is closed.

Next we prove the sufficiency. Assume that U_{Λ} is analysis operator of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$. Since $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is gcomplete, U_{Λ} is injective and consequently U_{Λ} is bijective from X to $R(U_{\Lambda})$. Obviously, $R(U_{\Lambda})$ is linear closed subspace of $\left(\sum_{j\in I} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{I^p}$, so $R(U_\Lambda)$ is a Banach space. Let $\overline{U_\Lambda} : X \to R(U_\Lambda)$ and $U_\Lambda(f) = \overline{U_\Lambda}(f)$,

for all $f \in X$. By the bounded inverse theorem, there are two positive constants $\|U_{\Lambda}^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and B such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{U}_{\Lambda}^{-1}\|^{-1}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|\leqslant \|\boldsymbol{U}_{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{f}\|\leqslant B\|\boldsymbol{f}\|,\quad\forall\boldsymbol{f}\in\boldsymbol{X}.$$

That is,

$$\| U_{\Lambda}^{-1} \|^{-1} \| f \| \leqslant \bigg(\sum_{j \in J} \| \Lambda_j f \|^p \bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leqslant B \| f \|, \quad \forall f \in X.$$

Hence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{I_p}$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-p-frame. Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-q-Riesz basis if and only if U_{Λ} is invertible.

Proof. First we prove the necessity. Assume that U_{Λ} is analysis operator of $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$. Since $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is gq-Riesz basis for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, from Proposition 2.8, U_{Λ} is surjective. $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is g-p-frame, $\Lambda_i f = 0$, for all $j \in J$, we have f = 0. Hence U_{Λ} is injective. Therefore, U_{Λ} is invertible.

Next we prove the sufficiency. Suppose that U_{Λ} is invertible. Obviously it is injective and consequently $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is g-complete. Since U_{Λ} is invertible, by [6, theorem 4.12], U_{Λ}^* is invertible, and

$$U^*_{\Lambda}(\{g_j\}_{j\in J}) = \sum_{j\in J} \Lambda^*_j g_j, \quad \forall \{g_j\}_{j\in J} \in \left(\sum_{j\in J} \bigoplus Y^*_j\right)_{L^q}.$$

There exist two positive constant A and B such that

$$A\bigg(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|g_j\|_{Y_j^*}^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \bigg\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Lambda_j^*g_j\bigg\| \leq B\bigg(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|g_j\|_{Y_j^*}^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Theorem 4.7. Let p > 1, $\{\Lambda_j \in L(X, Y_j) : j \in J\}$, then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ if and only if X is isomorphic to a subspace M of $\left(\sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus Y_i\right)_{1P}$.

Proof. Define the analysis operator of $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ as

$$U_{\Lambda}: X \to \left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{L^p}, \quad U_{\Lambda}f = \{\Lambda_j f\}_{j \in J}.$$

By definition of g-p-frame, U_{Λ} is injective and consequently is bijective from X to $R(U_{\Lambda})$. So X is isomorphic to $R(U_{\Lambda})$, which is a subspace of $\left(\sum_{j \in I} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{L^p}$.

Conversely, assume that M is a subspace of $\left(\sum_{j\in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{L^p}$ and T is an isomorphism from X onto M. We can define an operator by:

$$\mathsf{P}_j: \mathsf{M} \to \mathsf{Y}_j, \quad \mathsf{P}_j(\{y_i\}_{i \in J}) = y_j, \quad \forall j \in J.$$

Put $\Lambda_j = P_j T$, then $\Lambda_j : X \to Y_j$, for all $j \in J$ is bounded linear operator such that

$$\{\Lambda_j f\}_{j \in J} = \{P_j T f\}_{j \in J} \in \left(\sum_{j \in J} \bigoplus Y_j\right)_{L^p}, \quad \forall j \in J.$$

Also, for any $f \in X$, we have

$$\frac{\|f\|}{\|T^{-1}\|} \leqslant \|\{\Lambda_j f\}_{j \in J}\| = \|\{P_j T f\}_{j \in J}\| = \|Tf\| \leqslant \|T\| \|f\|.$$

This shows that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-p-frame for X with respect to $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by Key Science Foundation of North Minzu University (Grant no. 2017KJ36).

References

- M. R. Abdollahpour, M. H. Faroughi, A. Rahimi, pg-frames in Banach spaces, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology, 13 (2007), 201–210.
- [2] M. R. Abdollahpour, A. Najati, P. Gavruta, Multipliers of pg-Bessel sequences in Banach spaces, ArXiv, 2015 (2015), 14 pages. 2.4, 2.7, 2.8
- [3] A. Aldroubi, Q. Sun, W.-S. Tang, p-frames and shift invariant subspaces of L^p, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 7 (2001), 1–22.
 1
- [4] C. D. Aliprantis, K. C. Border, *Infinite-dimensional analysis*, A hitchhiker's guide, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1999). 2.1
- [5] O. Christensen, D. T. Stoeva, p-frames in separable Banach spaces, Frames. Adv. Comput. Math., 18 (2003), 117–126.
 1
- [6] J. B. Conway, A course in functional analysis, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1985). 1,
 4
- [7] R. J. Duffin, A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1952), 341-366. 1
- [8] A. Khosravi, K. Musazadeh, Fusion frames and g-frames, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 342 (2008), 1068–1083. 1, 4.3
- [9] X.-C. Xiao, X.-M. Zeng, Some properties of g-frames in Hilbert C*-modules, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 363 (2010), 399-408.
- [10] X.-C. Xiao, Y.-C. Zhu, X.-M. Zeng, Generalized p-frame in separable complex Banach spaces, Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process., 8 (2010), 133–148. 1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, 4.3