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Abstract

This paper investigates the periodic initial value problem for the two-dimensional Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau (2D Burgers-GL) equations, which can be derived from the so-called modulated modulation equations (MME) that govern the dynamics of the modulated amplitudes of some periodic critical modes. The well-posedness of the solutions and the global attractors for the 2D Burgers-GL equations are obtained via delicate a priori estimates, the Galerkin method, and operator semigroup method.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following two-dimensional Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau (2D Burgers-GL) equations

\begin{align}
\nu_1 &= \alpha v_{1x} + \alpha v_{1y} + \beta \nu_1 v_1 + \beta \nu_2 v_1 y + \gamma (|A|^2)_x, \quad (1.1) \\
\nu_2 &= \nu_1 y, \quad (1.2) \\
A_t &= \mu_0 A + (\mu_1 + i\mu_2)(A_{xx} + A_{yy}) + s_1 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) A - (s_2 |A|^2 + s_3 (v_1^2 + v_2^2)) A, \quad (1.3)
\end{align}

where the velocity components $v_1 = v_1(x,y,t)$ and $v_2 = v_2(x,y,t)$ are real-valued functions, and $A = A(x,y,t)$ is the complex-valued function. $(x,y) \in \Omega$, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in two-dimensional real Euclidean space. The coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu_0, \mu_1,$ and $\mu_2$ are real constants, while $s_1, s_2,$ and $s_3$ are complex constants. Similar to the derivation by the Cole-Hopf transformation in [17], the 2D Burgers-GL equations (1.1)-(1.3) can be rewritten in another coupled form as

\begin{align}
\nu_t &= \alpha \Delta \nu + \beta (\nu \cdot \nabla) \nu + \gamma \nabla (|A|^2), \quad (1.4) \\
A_t &= \mu_0 A + (\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \Delta A + s_1 |\nu| A - (s_2 |A|^2 + s_3 |\nu|^2) A, \quad (1.5)
\end{align}
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where \( v = (v_1, v_2) \), \(|v| = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} \).

The Burgers-GL equations (1.4)-(1.5) in one-dimensional can be derived from the so-called modulated modulation equations (MME) deduced by Harten [19]. In his study of the Ginzburg-Landau equation as a modulation equation for the amplitude of a periodic critical mode in various applications, he found that there is the less well-known possibility of an 'instability of non-sideband type for the family of periodic solution of the Ginzburg-Landau equation besides the Eckhaus' instability. And then he deduced three so-called MME under the different coefficients of the original Ginzburg-Landau equation which consist of the critical mode(s) with an amplitude modulated in space and time. One of the three MMEs is a real gradient system of Kuramoto-Shivarsinsky type derived with multiple scaling techniques [14]. Another is a perturbed Korteweg-de-Vries derived for an Eckhaus' instability by Bernoff [3]. The last one seems to be a new result and has the form of Burgers equation coupled to the Ginzburg-Landau equation, which is considered as the generic modulation equation near the onset of instabilities in non-equilibrium fluid dynamical systems, as well as in the theory of phase transitions and superconductivity [15, 16]. For some other results involved with the CGL equation, see [6, 7] and reference therein. However, little progress has been obtained for the coupled Burgers-GL equations (1.4)-(1.5), Since Guo and Huang studied the well-posedness and global attractors for one-dimensional Burgers-GL equations in [8] and [9]. Afterwards Huang continued to study the one-dimensional Burgers-GL equations in discrete version by the finite difference method in spatial direction [11] and that with non-homogeneous term by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem [12]. Subsequent to previous work in one-dimension Burgers-GL equations, in this paper we are further going to consider the 2D Burgers-GL equations (1.1)-(1.3), with the periodic boundary conditions

\[
v(x + L, y, t) = v(x, y, t), \quad v(x, y + L, t) = v(x, y, t),
\]

\[
A(x + L, y, t) = A(x, y, t), \quad A(x, y + L, t) = A(x, y, t),
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} v(x, t) \, dx = 0, \quad t > 0,
\]

and the initial conditions

\[
v(x, y, 0) = v_0(x, y), \quad A(x, y, 0) = A_0(x, y),
\]

where \( L > 0 \) is the period, and \( v_0(x, y) \) and \( A_0(x, y) \) are given functions.

In what follows, we are going to study the well-posedness and global attractors for the periodic initial value problem via delicate a priori estimates and operator semigroup method. In our argument, we set

\[
s_2 = s_2r + is_2l, \quad s_2r \text{ and } s_2l \text{ are the real part and imaginary part of } s_2, \text{ respectively.}
\]

And we make some basic assumptions as

\[
\alpha > 0, \quad \mu_0 > 0, \quad \mu_1 > 0, \quad s_2r > 0, \quad |s_2l| < \sqrt{3}s_2r, \quad \text{Re}(s_3) > 0.
\]

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly give some notations and preliminaries. In Section 3, we establish a priori estimates for the solutions of the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9). In Section 4, the well-posedness for the 2D Burgers-GL equations are obtained via the Galerkin method and so-called continuity method. In the last Section 5, the existence of the global attractors are obtained by constructing the uniform a priori estimates in time.
2. Notations and preliminaries

For the mathematical setting, we introduce several function spaces and notations. We denote
\[ L^p(\Omega) = \{ v = (v_1, v_2) | v_1 \in L^p(\Omega), v_2 \in L^p(\Omega) \}, \]
\[ W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{ v = (v_1, v_2) | v_1 \in W^{k,p}(\Omega), v_2 \in W^{k,p}(\Omega) \}, \]
where \( L^p(\Omega) \) and \( W^{k,p}(\Omega) \) \((k \in \mathbb{N}^+, 1 \leq p \leq \infty)\) are the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, respectively. When \( p = 2 \), we denote \( L^2 = L^2(\Omega) \) and \( H^k = W^{k,2}(\Omega) \) for simplicity. These two spaces are equipped with the following inner products and norms:
\[ (v, u) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (v_i, u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\Omega} v_i u_i \, dx, \]
\[ \|v\|^2 = (v, v), \quad \|v\|_{L^2} = \left( \sum_{|l| \leq k} \|D^l v\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

Meanwhile, we introduce complex Sobolev spaces. In general, we denote by \( X, Y, \cdots \), the complexified space of a function \( X, Y, \cdots \). For example, \( L^2 \) and \( H^k \) are the complexified spaces of \( L^2(\Omega) \) and \( H^k(\Omega) \), respectively. If \( A \in L^2, B \in L^2 \), we define
\[ (A, B) = \int_{\Omega} A \overline{B} \, dx, \quad \|A\|^2 = (A, A), \quad \|A\|_{L^2} = \left( \sum_{|l| \leq k} \|D^l A\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]
where \( \overline{B} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( B \). Furthermore, \( X_{\text{per}} \) denotes the set of all periodic functions that are contained in the space \( X \).

Without any ambiguity, we denote a generic positive constant by \( C \) which may vary from line to line.

Lemma 2.1 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, [5]). Let \( \Omega \) be a bounded domain with \( \partial \Omega \) in \( C^m \), and let \( u \) be any function in \( W^{m,r}(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega), 1 \leq q, r \leq \infty \). For any integer \( j, 0 \leq j < m \), and for any number \( a \) in the interval \( j/m \leq a \leq 1 \), set
\[ \frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{n} + a \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{n} \right) + (1 - a) \frac{1}{q}. \]
If \( m - j - n/r \) is not a nonnegative integer, then
\[ \|D^j u\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{m,r}}^a \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-a}. \]
If \( m - j - n/r \) is a nonnegative integer, then (2.1) holds for \( a = j/m \). The constant \( C \) depends only on \( \Omega, r, q, j, a \).

In the sequel, we will use the following inequalities for two-dimensional equations as the specific cases of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:
\[ \|D^1 u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{1-m}}^\alpha \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-\alpha}, \quad ma = j + 1, \]
\[ \|D^1 u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{1-m}}^\alpha \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-\alpha}, \quad ma = j, \]
\[ \|D^1 u\|_{L^4} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{1-m}}^\alpha \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-\alpha}, \quad ma = j + 1/2. \]

Lemma 2.2 (The uniform Gronwall lemma, [18]). Let \( g, h, y \) be three positive locally integrable functions on \([t_0, \infty)\), such that \( y' \) is locally integrable on \([t_0, \infty)\) and which satisfy
\[ \frac{dy}{dt} \leq gy + h, \quad \text{for} \ t \geq t_0, \]
\[ \int_t^{t+r} g(s) \, ds \leq a_1, \quad \int_t^{t+r} h(s) \, ds \leq a_2, \quad \int_t^{t+r} y(s) \, ds \leq a_3, \quad \text{for} \ t \geq t_0, \]
where \( r, a_1, a_2, a_3 \) are positive constants. Then
\[
y(t + r) \leq \left( \frac{a_3}{r} + a_2 \right) \exp(a_1), \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_0.
\]

3. A priori estimates

In this section, we derive some a priori estimates for the solutions of the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9). Firstly we have

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume \( v_0(x) \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega), A_0(x) \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \), and the assumptions (1.10) hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have
\[
||v||^2 \leq \left( ||v_0||^2 + \frac{||y||^2}{s_2r} ||A_0||^2 \right) e^{-\theta t} + \frac{2||y||^2C_2}{\alpha \theta s_2r} (1 - e^{-\theta t}),
\]
(3.1)
\[
||A||^2 \leq ||A_0||^2 e^{-1} + C_2 (1 - e^{-1}),
\]
(3.2)
and
\[
\lim \sup_{t \to \infty} (||v||^2 + ||A||^2) \leq \frac{2||y||^2C_2}{\alpha \theta s_2r} + C_2 = \rho_0^2.
\]

Furthermore, we have
\[
\int_t^{t+r} ||\nabla v||^2 ds \leq \frac{||y||^2}{\alpha^2 C_3} (C_2 r + ||A_0||^2 + C_2) + \frac{C_2}{r} \left( ||v||^2 + \frac{||y||^2}{s_2r} ||A_0||^2 \right) + \frac{2||y||^2C_2}{\alpha^2 \theta s_2r},
\]
(3.3)
and
\[
\int_t^{t+r} ||\nabla A||^2 ds + \int_t^{t+r} \int_\Omega |A|^4 dx ds + \int_t^{t+r} \int_\Omega |v|^2 |A|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{1}{C_3} (C_2 r + ||A_0||^2 + C_2)
\]
(3.4)
for all \( r > 0 \), where \( \theta, C_2, C_3 \) are positive constants depending on the known parameters.

**Proof.** Multiplying (1.5) by \( A \), integrating with respect to \( x \) over \( \Omega \) and taking the real part, we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||A||^2 + \mu_1 ||\nabla A||^2 + s_2r \int_\Omega |A|^4 dx + \text{Re}(s_3) \int_\Omega |v|^2 |A|^2 dx = \mu_0 ||A||^2 + \text{Re}(s_1) \int_\Omega |v||A|^2 dx.
\]
(3.5)
According to the Hölder’s inequality and Yong’s inequality with \( \varepsilon \), we have
\[
\mu_0 ||A||^2 + \text{Re}(s_1) \int_\Omega |v||A|^2 dx \leq \mu_0 ||A||^2 + |s_1| \left( \int_\Omega |v|^2 |A|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} |||A||
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(s_3) \int_\Omega |v|^2 |A|^2 dx + \left( \frac{|s_1|^2}{2 \text{Re}(s_3)} + \mu_0 \right) ||A||^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(s_3) \int_\Omega |v|^2 |A|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} s_2r \int_\Omega |A|^4 dx + C_1,
\]
(3.6)
where \( C_1 \) is a positive constant depending on \( \mu_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, \) and \( |\Omega| \), the two-dimensional measure of \( \Omega \). Combining (3.5) and (3.6) together yields that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} ||A||^2 + 2\mu_1 ||\nabla A||^2 + s_2r \int_\Omega |A|^4 dx + \text{Re}(s_3) \int_\Omega |v|^2 |A|^2 dx \leq C_1.
\]
Noticing that \( ||A||^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} s_2r \int_\Omega |A|^4 dx + |\Omega|/2s_2r \), we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} ||A||^2 + ||A||^2 + 2\mu_1 ||\nabla A||^2 + \frac{1}{2} s_2r \int_\Omega |A|^4 dx + \text{Re}(s_3) \int_\Omega |v|^2 |A|^2 dx \leq C_1 + \frac{|\Omega|}{4s_2r} = C_2.
\]
(3.7)
By the Gronwall’s inequality, we have
\[ ||A||^2 \leq ||A_0||^2 e^{-t} + C_2(1 - e^{-t}), \] (3.8)
which concludes (3.2).

Next, we take the inner product of (1.4) with \( v \) in \( L^2(\Omega) \) to have
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||v||^2 + \alpha ||\nabla v||^2 = \beta b(v, v, v) + \gamma \int_{\Omega} \nabla (|A|^2) v \, dx, \] (3.9)
where
\[ b(u, v, w) = \int_{\Omega} [u_1 v_{1x} w_1 + u_2 v_{1y} w_1 + u_1 v_{2x} w_2 + u_2 v_{2y} w_2] \, dx \, dy \] (3.10)
for \( u = \{u_1, u_2\} \), \( v = \{v_1, v_2\} \), and \( w = \{w_1, w_2\} \), whenever the integrals make sense. Obviously, there holds that \( b(v, v, w) = ((v \cdot \nabla) v, w) \). Actually, the form \( b \) is trilinear continuous on \( H^1(\Omega) \). Generally, we have the following inequalities giving various continuity properties of \( b(u, v, w) \) [18]
\[ |b(u, v, w)| \leq C_b \times \begin{cases} ||u||^\frac{1}{2} ||\Delta u||^\frac{1}{2} ||\nabla v||^\frac{1}{2} ||\Delta v||^\frac{1}{2} ||w||, \\ ||u||^\frac{1}{2} ||\Delta u||^\frac{1}{2} ||\nabla v||^\frac{1}{2} ||w||, \\ ||u|| ||\nabla v|| ||w||^\frac{1}{2} ||\Delta w||^\frac{1}{2}, \\ ||u||^\frac{1}{2} ||\Delta u||^\frac{1}{2} ||\nabla v|| ||w||^\frac{1}{2} ||\nabla w||^\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \] (3.11)
where \( C_b > 0 \) is an appropriate constant.

First, according to the integration by parts and the Eq. (1.2), there holds
\[ b(v, v, v) = 0. \] (3.12)
Second, we have
\[ \gamma \int_{\Omega} \nabla (|A|^2) v \, dx \leq |\gamma| \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 |\nabla v| \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha ||\nabla v||^2 + |\gamma|^2 \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 \, dx. \] (3.13)
Substituting (3.12) and (3.13) into (3.9), it follows
\[ \frac{d}{dt} ||v||^2 + \alpha ||\nabla v||^2 \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 \, dx. \] (3.14)
Under the condition \( \int_{\Omega} |v| \, dx = 0 \), we have \( ||v|| \leq C_4 ||\nabla v|| \) from the Poincaré’s inequality. Then from (3.14) and multiplying \( \frac{1}{2} s_{2r} \) on both sides, there holds
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} s_{2r} ||v||^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha s_{2r}}{2C_4} ||v||^2 \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 s_{2r}}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 \, dx. \] (3.15)
Meanwhile from (3.7), we have
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} ||A||^2 \right) + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} ||A||^2 \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 s_{2r}}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 \, dx \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha}. \] (3.16)
Combining (3.15) and (3.16) together yields that
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} s_{2r} ||v||^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} ||A||^2 \right) + \theta \left( \frac{1}{2} s_{2r} ||v||^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} ||A||^2 \right) \leq |\gamma|^2 C_2 \frac{C_2}{\alpha}, \]
where \( \theta = \min\left( \frac{\alpha}{C_4}, 1 \right) > 0 \). By the Gronwall’s inequality, we have
\[ ||v||^2 \leq \left( ||v_0||^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{s_{2r}} ||A_0||^2 \right) e^{-\theta t} + \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha \theta s_{2r}} (1 - e^{-\theta t}), \] (3.17)
which implies (3.1). Thus from (3.8) and (3.17), we have
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} (||v||^2 + ||A||^2) \leq \frac{2\gamma^2 C_2}{\alpha} + C_2 = \rho_0^2, \tag{3.18}
\]

We consider the space \( E_0 \) normed by \( ||\psi||_{E_0} = (||v||^2 + ||A||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \), for all \( \psi = (v, A) \). Thus we deduce from \( (3.8), (3.17), \) and \( (3.18) \) that the balls \( B_{E_0}(0, \rho) \) of \( E_0 \) centered at 0 of radius \( \rho > \rho_0 \) are positively invariant and are absorbing in \( E_0 \) for the semiflow \( S(t) \). We choose \( \rho_0 > \rho_0 \) and denote by \( B_0 \) the ball \( B_{E_0}(0, \rho_0) \). Any set \( B \) bounded in \( E_0 \) is included in a ball \( B(0, R) \) of \( E_0 \). Then there holds \( S(t)B \subset B_0 \) for \( t > t_0(B, \rho_0) \), where

\[
t_0 = \frac{1}{\min(1, \theta)} \ln \frac{2R^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{s_2^2} R^2}{(\rho_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} . \tag{3.19}
\]

Finally, we infer from \( (3.7) \) and \( (3.8) \), after integration in \( t \), that

\[
\int_t^{t+r} ||\nabla v||^2 dt + \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 dxds + \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dxds \leq \frac{1}{c_3} \left( \int_t^{t+r} C_2 ds + ||A(t)||^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{c_3} (C_2 t + ||A_0||^2 + C_2), \tag{3.20}
\]

where \( c_3 = \min(2\mu_1, \frac{1}{2}s_2^2, \text{Re}(s_3)) \). This concludes \( (3.4) \). Meanwhile, integrating \( (3.14) \) in \( t \) and combining \( (3.20) \), we have

\[
\int_t^{t+r} ||\nabla v||^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha} \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 dxds + ||v(t)||^2 \right)
\leq \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha^2 c_3} (C_2 t + ||A_0||^2 + C_2) + \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha^2} ||v_0||^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha^2} ||A_0||^2 + \frac{2\gamma^2 C_2}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\theta s_2},
\]

which concludes \( (3.3) \). Thus the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed. \( \square \)

Lemma 3.2. Assume \( v_0(x) \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega), A_0(x) \in \mathcal{F}^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega), \) and the conditions in Lemma 3.1 hold. Then for the solutions of the problem \((1.4)-(1.9)\), we have

\[
||\nabla v||^2 + ||A||^2 \leq \left( \frac{a_3}{\tau} + a_2 \right) e^{a_3}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_0 + \tau, \quad \forall \tau > 0,
\]

where \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \) are positive constants.

Proof. Taking the inner product of \((1.4)\) with \( -\Delta v \) in \( L^2(\Omega) \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||\nabla v||^2 + \alpha ||\Delta v||^2 = -\beta b(v, v, \Delta v) - \gamma (||A||^2, \Delta v).
\tag{3.21}
\]

Multiplying \((1.5)\) by \(-\Delta A\), integrating with respect to \( x \) over \( \Omega \) and taking the real part, we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||\nabla A||^2 + \mu_1 ||\Delta A||^2 = \mu_0 ||\nabla A||^2 - \text{Re} \left( s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|A\Delta \bar{A} dx \right) + \text{Re} \left( s_2 (s_2r + is_{2s}) \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A\Delta \bar{A} dx \right) + \text{Re} \left( s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A\Delta \bar{A} dx \right).
\tag{3.22}
\]

Adding \((3.21)\) and \((3.22)\) together yields that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} (||\nabla v||^2 + ||\nabla A||^2) + 2\alpha ||\Delta v||^2 + 2\mu_1 ||\Delta A||^2
= 2\mu_0 ||\nabla A||^2 - 2\beta b(v, v, \Delta v) - 2\gamma (||A||^2, \Delta v) - 2 \text{Re} \left( s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|A\Delta \bar{A} dx \right)
\tag{3.23}
\]

\[
+ 2 \text{Re} \left( s_2 (s_2r + is_{2s}) \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A\Delta \bar{A} dx \right) + 2 \text{Re} \left( s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A\Delta \bar{A} dx \right).
\]
Now we need to majorize the right hand side of (3.23). Based on the results in Lemma 3.1, we have
\[ 2\mu_0 \| \nabla A \|^2 \leq 2\mu_0 C \| A \|_\infty \| \nabla A \|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\mu_1}{4} \| \Delta A \|^2 + C. \] (3.24)

From the property of \( b(u, v, w) \) in (3.11), we obtain
\[ -2\beta b(v, \nabla \Delta v) \leq 2\beta |C_b|\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \| \Delta v \|^2 + C_4 \| \nabla v \|^4. \] (3.25)

According to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Lemma 3.1, we have
\[ | -2\gamma (\nabla(|A|^2, \Delta v) | \leq 4|\gamma||A|_\infty \| \nabla A \| || \Delta v || \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \| \Delta v \|^2 + 16|\gamma|^2 C \| A \|_\infty \| A \| \| \nabla A \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \| \Delta v \|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{4} \| \Delta A \|^2 + C_5 \| \nabla A \|^4, \] (3.26)

and
\[ | -2\text{Re} \left( s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v| A \Delta \bar{A} \, dx \right) \leq 2|s_1|\|v\|_L^\infty \| A \| \| \Delta A \| \]
\[ \leq \frac{\mu_1}{4} \| \Delta A \|^2 + 4|s_1|^2 C \| v \|_H^2 \| A \| \| \nabla A \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \| \Delta v \|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{4} \| \Delta A \|^2 + C. \] (3.27)

While by virtue of an inequality in [10] and under the condition \( |s_{21}| < \sqrt{3}s_{21} \), we know that
\[ 2\text{Re} \left( (s_{21} + is_{21}) \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} \, dx \right) \leq 0. \] (3.28)

For the last term in (3.23), we handle it as follows since \( \text{Re}(s_3) > 0 \)
\[ 2\text{Re} \left( s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} \, dx \right) = -2\text{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \nabla A \Delta \bar{A} \, dx - 2\text{Re} \left( s_3 \int_{\Omega} \nabla (|v|^2) A \nabla A \, dx \right) \]
\[ \leq -2\text{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \nabla A \Delta \bar{A} \, dx + 4|s_3| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v| A \nabla A \, dx \]
\[ \leq 4|s_3|\|v\|_L^\infty \| A \|_\infty \| \nabla A \| \| \nabla v \| \| \nabla A \| \]
\[ \leq 4|s_3| |C| \| v \|_H^2 \| A \|_\infty \| A \|_\infty \| \nabla v \| \| \nabla A \| \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \| \Delta v \|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{4} \| \Delta A \|^2 + C_6 \| \nabla v \|^4 + C_7 \| \nabla A \|^4. \] (3.29)

Combining (3.23)-(3.29), we have
\[ \frac{d}{dt} (\| \nabla v \|^2 + \| \nabla A \|^2) + \alpha \| \Delta v \|^2 + \mu_1 \| \Delta A \|^2 \leq (C_4 + C_6) \| \nabla v \|^4 + (C_5 + C_7) \| \nabla A \|^4 + C_8 \]
\[ \leq C_9 (\| \nabla v \|^2 + \| \nabla A \|^2)^2 + C_9, \] (3.30)

where \( C_9 = C_4 + C_5 + C_6 + C_7 \) and \( C_8 \) are positive constants depending on the known parameters and \( \|v_0\|, \|A_0\| \).

A priori estimates of \( v \) in \( L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \) and \( A \) in \( L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \), for all \( T > 0 \), follow easily from (3.30) by application of the classical Gronwall lemma, using the previous estimates. We are more interested in estimates valid for large \( t \), then we apply the uniform Gronwall lemma (Lemma 2.2) to (3.30) with \( y, g, h \) replaced by
\[ \| \nabla v \|^2 + \| \nabla A \|^2, \quad C_9 (\| \nabla v \|^2 + \| \nabla A \|^2), \quad C_8. \]
Thanks to the estimates in Lemma 3.1, we estimate the quantities \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \) in Lemma 2.2 by \( a_1 = C_9 a_3, \)
\( a_2 = C_8 r, \) and \( a_3 = \frac{|\nu|^2}{\alpha^2 C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \|\nu\|^2 + \frac{|\nu|^2}{s_5 r} \right) \) + \( \frac{2|\nu|^2 C_3}{\alpha^2 s_5 r} + \frac{1}{C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2). \) Then we obtain
\[
\|\nabla\nu\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2 \leq \left( \frac{a_3}{r} + a_2 \right) e^{a_1}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_0 + r,
\]
and \( t_0 \) as in (3.19). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume \( v_0(x) \in H_0^{2,\text{per}}(\Omega), A_0(x) \in H_1^{2,\text{per}}(\Omega), \) and the conditions in Lemma 3.2 hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have
\[
\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta A\|^2 \leq (\|\Delta v_0\|^2 + \|\Delta A_0\|^2) e^{-t} + C(1 - e^{-t}), \tag{3.31}
\]
and
\[
\int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 ds + \int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 ds \leq C, \tag{3.32}
\]
where \( C \) is a positive constant.

**Proof.** We take the inner product of (1.4) with \( \Delta^2 v \) in \( L^2(\Omega) \) to have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \alpha \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 = \beta b(v, v, \Delta^2 v) + \gamma (\nabla(\|A\|^2), \Delta^2 v). \tag{3.33}
\]
Multiplying (1.5) by \( \Delta^2 \overline{A}, \) integrating with respect to \( x \) over \( \Omega \) and taking the real part, we obtain that
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta A\|^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 = \mu_0 \|\Delta A\|^2 + 2Re \left( s_1 \int_\Omega |\nu|^2 \Delta^2 \overline{A} dx \right) - 2Re \left( s_2 \int_\Omega |A|^2 \Delta^2 \overline{A} dx \right) \tag{3.34}
\]
Adding (3.33) and (3.34) together yields that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta A\|^2) + 2\alpha \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + 2\mu_1 \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 = 2\mu_0 \|\Delta A\|^2 + 2\beta b(v, v, \Delta^2 v) + 2\gamma (\nabla(\|A\|^2), \Delta^2 v)
\]
\[
+ 2Re \left( s_1 \int_\Omega |\nu|^2 \Delta^2 \overline{A} dx \right) - 2Re \left( s_2 \int_\Omega |A|^2 \Delta^2 \overline{A} dx \right) - 2Re \left( s_3 \int_\Omega |\nu|^2 \Delta^2 \overline{A} dx \right).
\tag{3.35}
\]
From Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and previous lemmas, there holds
\[
2\mu_0 \|\Delta A\|^2 \leq 2\mu_0 C \|A\|_{H^{2/3}}^\frac{2}{3} \|A\|_{L^4}^\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{H_1}{5} \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 + C. \tag{3.36}
\]
While according the definition (3.10), we have
\[
-2\beta b(v, v, \Delta^2 v) = -2\beta \int_\Omega (v_1 v_{1x} \Delta^2 v_1 + v_2 v_{1y} \Delta^2 v_1 + v_1 v_{2x} \Delta^2 v_2 + v_2 v_{2y} \Delta^2 v_2) dx dy
\leq C \int_\Omega (|\nabla \nu|^2 + |\nu| |\Delta v||\nabla \Delta v|) dx
d\leq C \|\nabla \nu\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v\| + \|\nu\|_{L^4} \|\Delta v\|_{H^4} \|\nabla \Delta v\|
\leq C (\|\nu\|_{H^3}^\frac{3}{2} \|\nabla \nu\| + \|\nu\|_{H^3}^\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \nu\|_{H^1} \|\nu\|_{H^5}^\frac{3}{2}) \|\nabla \Delta v\|
\leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + C. \tag{3.37}
\]
In the same way, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and previous results, we obtain the following
estimates

\[ |2\gamma(\nabla(|A|^2), \Delta^2 v)| \leq 4|\gamma| \int_\Omega (|\Delta A||A| + |\nabla A|^2)|\nabla \Delta v|dx \]
\[ \leq 4|\gamma|(|\Delta A|_{L^4} ||A||_{L^4} + |\nabla A|_{L^\infty} ||A||_{L^\infty})||\nabla \Delta v|| \]
\[ \leq C(\|A\|_{L^6}^{\frac{5}{2}} \|A\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|A\|_{L^6}^{\frac{5}{4}} \|A\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|A\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}} ||A\||_{L^\infty})||\nabla \Delta v|| \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} ||\nabla \Delta v||^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} ||\Delta A||^2 + C, \]

(3.38)

\[ |2\text{Re}(s_1 \int_\Omega |v|A\Delta^2 \Delta x)| \leq 2|s_1|(|\|A\||_{L^\infty} ||\nabla v|| + ||v||_{L^\infty} ||A||)|\nabla \Delta A|| \]
\[ \leq 2|s_1|C||A||_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||A||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\nabla v|| + ||A||_{L^\infty} ||\nabla A|| \]
\[ + 2|s_1|C||v||_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||v||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\nabla A|| + ||v||_{L^\infty} ||\nabla A|| \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} ||\nabla \Delta v||^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} ||\Delta A||^2 + C, \]

and

\[ |-2\text{Re}(s_2 \int_\Omega |A|^2 \Delta^2 \Delta x)| \leq 6|s_2|(|\|A\||_{L^\infty} ||\nabla A|| + ||A||_{L^\infty} ||\Delta A||) \]
\[ \leq 6|s_2|C||A||_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||A||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\nabla A|| + ||A||_{L^\infty} ||\Delta A|| \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} ||\nabla \Delta v||^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{4} ||\Delta A||^2 + C. \]

It is easy to handle the last term as follows

\[ |-2\text{Re}(s_3 \int_\Omega |A|^2 \Delta^2 \Delta x)| \leq 2|s_3|(|\|A\||_{L^\infty} ||\nabla v|| + ||v||_{L^\infty} ||A||) ||\nabla \Delta A|| \]
\[ \leq C(|\|v||_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||v||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||A||_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||A||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + ||v||_{L^\infty} ||v||_{L^\infty} ||A||_{L^\infty} ||\nabla A|| \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} ||\nabla \Delta v||^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} ||\Delta A||^2 + C. \]

(3.41)

Then substituting (3.36)-(3.41) into (3.35), there arrives

\[ \frac{d}{dt}(||\Delta v||^2 + ||\Delta A||^2) + \alpha ||\nabla \Delta v||^2 + \mu_1 ||\nabla \Delta A||^2 \leq C. \]

(3.42)

Noticing that \( ||\Delta v||^2 \leq \alpha ||\nabla \Delta v||^2 + C \) and \( ||\Delta A||^2 \leq \mu_1 ||\nabla \Delta A||^2 + C, \) thus there holds

\[ \frac{d}{dt}(||\Delta v||^2 + ||\Delta A||^2) + ||\Delta v||^2 + ||\Delta A||^2 \leq C. \]

Applying the Gronwall’s inequality concludes (3.31). Finally integrating in \( t \) in (3.42), we have (3.32). Thus the proof of Lemma 3.3 is completed.

Generally based on the results of the previous lemmas and the mathematical deduction, we have the following lemma for problem (1.4)-(1.9).

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume \( v_0(x) \in H_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega), A_0(x) \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega)(k \geq 3), \) and the conditions (1.10) hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have

\[ ||v||_{H^k} + ||A||_{\mathcal{L}^k} \leq C, \]

where \( C \) is a positive constant depending on the known parameters and \( ||v_0||_{H^k}, ||A_0||_{\mathcal{L}^k}. \)

**4. The local solutions and global solutions**

In this section, we will obtain the existence and uniqueness of the local solutions and global solutions for the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9). Firstly, we adopt the Galerkin method to construct the approximate solutions for the problem (1.4)-(1.9). Let \( \omega_j(x)(j = 1, 2, \cdots) \) be the unit eigenfunctions satisfying the equation

\[ \Delta \omega_j + \lambda_j \omega_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, \omega_j \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^4(\Omega), \]
with periodicity \( \omega_j(x) = \omega_j(x + L \ell_i)(i = 1, 2) \) and \( \lambda_j(j = 1, 2, \cdots) \) is the corresponding eigenvalues different from each other \( \{\omega_j(x)\} \) consists of the orthogonal base in \( L^2(\Omega) \). Thus the approximate solutions can be written as

\[
v_m(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{jm}(t) \omega_j(x), \quad A_m(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{jm}(t) \omega_j(x).
\]

According to the Galerkin method, these undetermined coefficients \( g_{jm}(t) \) and \( h_{jm}(t) \) have to satisfy the following initial value problem of a system of the ordinary differential equations

\[
(v_{mt}, \omega_j) = \alpha (\Delta v_m, \omega_j) + \beta ((v_m \cdot \nabla) v_m, \omega_j) + \gamma (\nabla |A_m|^2, \omega_j),
\]

\[
(A_{mt}, \omega_j) = \mu_0 (A_m, \omega_j) + [\mu_1 + [\mu_2] (\Delta A_m, \omega_j) + s_1(v_m A_m, \omega_j)
- s_2(|A_m|^2 A_m, \omega_j) - s_3(v_m^2 A_m, \omega_j),
\]

with initial conditions

\[
v_m(x, 0) = v_{0m}(x), \quad A_m(x, 0) = A_{0m}(x),
\]

where \( 0 \leq t \leq T \) and \( j = 1, 2, \cdots, m \).

We assume that

\[
v_{0m}(x) \overset{H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)}{\to} v_0(x), \quad A_{0m}(x) \overset{\mathcal{G}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)}{\to} A_0(x), \quad m \to \infty.
\]

Similar to the proof of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we can establish the estimates of the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9) which are uniform for \( m \). By using the compact principle, we can prove the following.

**Theorem 4.1** (Local existence). Assume that \( v_0(x) \in H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega), A_0(x) \in \mathcal{G}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \), and the conditions (1.10) hold. Then the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9) possesses the periodic local solutions \( v(x, t) \) and \( A(x, t) \), which satisfy

\[
v(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)), \quad v_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)),
\]

\[
A(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{G}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)), \quad A_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{G}^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)),
\]

where \( t_0 \) depends on \( \|v_0(x)\|_{H^2_{\text{per}}} \) and \( \|A_0(x)\|_{\mathcal{G}^2_{\text{per}}} \).

**Theorem 4.2** (Global existence and uniqueness). Suppose the conditions of Theorem 4.1 fulfill. Then there exist unique global solutions \( v(x, t) \) and \( A(x, t) \), which satisfy

\[
v(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)), \quad v_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)),
\]

\[
A(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{G}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)), \quad A_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{G}^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)),
\]

for the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9).

*Proof.* From Theorem 4.1 we know that the local solutions for the problem (1.4)-(1.9) exist and \( t_0 \) depends on \( \|v_0(x)\|_{H^2_{\text{per}}} \) and \( \|A_0(x)\|_{\mathcal{G}^2_{\text{per}}} \). According to the priori estimates in Section 3 and by the so-called continuity method, we can obtain the global solutions for the problem (1.4)-(1.9) easily.

More generally, we have the following existence and uniqueness theorems of the global smooth solutions from Lemma 3.4.

**Theorem 4.3** (Existence and uniqueness for global smooth solutions). Suppose that \( v_0(x) \in H^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega), A_0(x) \in \mathcal{G}^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \) \( (k \geq 3) \) and the conditions (1.10) hold. Then there exist unique global smooth solutions \( v(x, t) \) and \( A(x, t) \), which satisfy

\[
v(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega)), \quad v_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{k-2}_{\text{per}}(\Omega)),
\]

\[
A(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{G}^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega)), \quad A_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{G}^{k-2}_{\text{per}}(\Omega)),
\]

for the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9).
5. The existence of global attractor

In this section, we construct the global attractor for the problem (1.4)-(1.9). We first note that by Theorem 4.2, there exists a dynamical system $S(t)(t \geq 0)$ which maps $H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$ to $H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$ such that $S(t)(v_0, A_0) = (v(t), A(t))$, the solutions of problem (1.4)-(1.9). Firstly from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we have the uniform a priori estimates in time, which implies

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^2_{\text{per}}} + \|A(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}} \leq K, \quad \forall \ t \geq t_0,$$

(5.1)

where $K$ is a positive constant.

In what follows, we are going to show that the semigroup $S(t) : H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$ is compact for large $t$. That is

**Lemma 5.1.** Asssume that the conditions of Theorem 4.2 hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have

$$\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 \leq C, \quad \forall \ t \geq t_0,$$

where the constant $C$ depends on the known parameters and the data $\|v_0\|_{H^2_{\text{per}}}$, $\|A_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}}$.

**Proof.** Similar to the proofs in previous lemmas, we take the inner product of (1.4) with $\Delta^3 v$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and (1.5) with $\Delta^3 A$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Adding the two equations together and majorizing each term with previous estimates, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2) \leq C(\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2) + C. \quad (5.2)$$

Applying (3.32) in Lemma 3.3, integrating (5.2) in $t$ and by the uniform Gronwall lemma, we obtain that

$$\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 \leq C, \quad \forall \ t \geq t_0, \quad (5.3)$$

where the constant $C$ depends on the known parameters and the data $\|v_0\|_{H^2_{\text{per}}}$, $\|A_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}}$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.1 is completed.

In order to prove the existence of global attractor of problem (1.4)-(1.9), we need the following result:

**Theorem 5.2 ([18]).** We assume that $H$ is a metric space and that the nonlinear operator $S(t)$ of $H$ into itself for $t \geq 0$ satisfies

$$S(t + s) = S(t) \cdot S(s), \quad \forall \ s, t \geq 0, \quad S(0) = I, \quad \text{(Identity in $H$)}.$$  

And also $S(t)$ is continuous and uniformly compact for large $t$. That means for every bounded set $B$, there exists $t_0$, which may depend on $B$ that $\bigcup_{t \geq t_0} S(t)B$ is relatively compact in $H$. We also assume that there exists an open set $U$ and a bounded set $B$ of $U$ such that $B$ is absorbing in $U$.

Then the $\omega$-limit set of $B$: $A = \omega(B) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \bigcup_{t \geq t_0} S(t)B$ is a compact attractor, which attracts the bounded set of $U$. It is the maximal bounded attractor in $U$.

**Theorem 5.3.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.2 hold. Then there exists a global attractor $A \subset H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$ for the periodic initial problem (1.4)-(1.9), i.e., there is a set $A$ such that

1. $S(t)A = A$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
2. $\lim_{t \to \infty} \text{dist}(S(t)B, A) = 0$, for any bounded set $B \subset H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$, where

$$\text{dist}(X, Y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} \|x - y\|_E,$$

and $S(t)(v_0, A_0)$ is a semigroup operator generated by the problem (1.4)-(1.9).
Proof. On account of the result of Theorem 5.2, we will prove this theorem by checking the conditions in Theorem 5.2. We observe that (5.1) shows that the ball

\[ B = \left\{ (v, \Lambda) \in H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{V}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) : \|v(t)\|_{H^2_{\text{per}}} \leq K, \|\Lambda(t)\|_{\mathcal{V}^2_{\text{per}}} \leq K \right\} \]

is an absorbing set of \( S(t) \) in \( H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{V}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \). In addition, Lemma 5.1 implies the dynamical system \( S(t) \) is uniformly compact for large \( t \). Thus, according to Theorem 5.2, we can conclude that the \( \omega \)-limit set of \( B : A = \omega(B) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \bigcup_{t \geq s} S(t)B \) is a compact attractor on \( H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{V}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \), where the closure is taken in \( H^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{V}^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \). This completes the proof of Theorem 5.3.

Generally by induction and the estimates in Lemma 3.4, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.4.** The semigroup of the nonlinear operators \( \{S(t)\} \) determined by the periodic initial problem (1.4)-(1.9) has a compact connect global attractor \( A \) in \( H^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{V}^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \), which attracts all bounded sets of \( H^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{V}^k_{\text{per}}(\Omega) \), for all \( k \geq 0 \).
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