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Abstract

The purpose of this article is to investigate fixed point problems of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings via two different iterative algorithms. We obtain strong and convergence theorems in the framework of Hilbert spaces. The main results improve and extend the recent corresponding results. ©2017 All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction and preliminaries

Fixed point theory is dynamic and is experiencing an explosive growth in both theory and applications; as a consequence, research techniques and problems are drawn from various fields. As an important branch of nonlinear functional analysis and optimization theory, it has been applied to solve many real world problems, such as, the signal processing and the image reconstruction; see [3, 6, 18] and the references therein. Fixed point algorithms are efficient methods for variational inequality problems, equilibrium problems, and saddle point problems; see [4, 8, 9, 17, 19] and the references therein.

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Let $C$ be a nonempty convex and closed subset of $H$ and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping. Denote by $\text{Fix}(T)$ the fixed point set of mapping $T$. Recall that $T$ is said to be nonexpansive iff

$$
\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.
$$

For the class of nonexpansive mappings, their fixed point sets may be empty, for example, let $H = l^1$: all sequences $(x_n)$ such that $\sum |x_n| < \infty$, $\|x_n\| = \sum |x_n|$. Let $T : l^1 \rightarrow l^1$ be the shift operator: $Tx_n = (0, x_1, x_2, \cdots)$, $C := \{x_n : x_n \geq 0, \|x_n\|_1 = 1\}$. Then $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping without a fixed point. However, we know it always has an approximate fixed point if the convex and closed subset is also bounded in any Banach space.
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T is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive iff there exists a positive sequence \(\{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty)\) with \(\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n = 1\) such that
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq h_n \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C, n \geq 1.
\]
The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings was introduced by Goebel and Kirk [11] as a generalization of the class of nonexpansive mappings. If \(C\) is a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of \(H\), then the set of fixed points of \(T\) is not empty. Indeed, the framework of the space can also be extended to the framework of uniformly convex Banach spaces; see [11] and the references therein.

T is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense iff it is continuous and the following inequality holds:
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \leq 0. \tag{1.1}
\]
Observe that if we define
\[
\sigma_n = \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_n = \max\{0, \sigma_n\},
\]
then \(\nu_n \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\). It follows that (1.1) is reduced to
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \nu_n, \quad \forall x, y \in C, n \geq 1.
\]
The class of mappings which are asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense was introduced by Bruck et al. [5]. It is worth mentioning that the class of mappings which are asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense, contains properly the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. It is known that the class of mappings which are asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense may not be Lipschitz continuous.

T is said to be generalized asymptotically nonexpansive iff there exists positive sequences \(\{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty)\) and \(\{\nu_n\} \subset [0, \infty)\) with \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_n = 0\) such that
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq h_n \|x - y\| + \nu_n, \quad \forall x, y \in C, n \geq 1.
\]
The class of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive mappings was introduced by Agarwal et al. [1] as a generalization of the class of nonexpansive mappings. They obtained weak convergence of an implicit two-step iterative algorithm in a Banach space; see [1] and the references therein.

Recently, Alber et al. [2] introduced the conception of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Recall that T is said to be total asymptotically nonexpansive iff
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \mu_n \psi(\|x - y\|) + \nu_n, \quad \forall x, y \in C,
\]
where \(\psi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) is strictly increasing continuous function with \(\psi(0) = 0\) and \(\{\mu_n\}\) and \(\{\nu_n\}\) are nonnegative real sequences such that \(\mu_n \to 0\) and \(\nu_n \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\). From the definition, we see that the class of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings includes the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings as a special case; see [2] for more details.

Recently, the study of the convergence analysis of various iterative algorithms for solving various nonlinear mathematical models forms the major part of numerical mathematics. Among these iterative processes, Mann iterative algorithm and Ishikawa iterative algorithm are popular and hot. Let \(C\) be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a real Hilbert space \(H\), and let \(T: C \to C\) be a nonexpansive mapping. Mann iterative algorithm generates a sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in the following manner:
\[
x_0 \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\]
where \(\{\alpha_n\}\) is a real sequence in \((0, 1)\). In 1979, Reich [21] obtained a celebrated weak convergence theorem in the framework of Banach spaces; see [21] and the references therein for more details.
Recall that the modified Mann iteration which was introduced by Schu [23] generates a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in the following manner:

\[
x_1 \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nT^n x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) is a sequence in \((0,1)\) and \( T : C \to C \) is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. In 1991, Schu [23] obtained the two strong convergence theorems with the aid of compactness, see [23] for more details.

Recall that the Ishikawa iterative algorithm generates a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in the following manner:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 &\in C, \\
y_n &= \beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n, \\
x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n T y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are real sequences in \((0,1)\) and \( T \) is a nonexpansive mapping. Since 1974, the two-step iterative algorithm has been extensively studied by many authors; see [12, 14] and the references therein.

Recall that the modified Ishikawa iterative process which was introduced by Schu [22], \( \{x_n\} \) in the following manner:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 &\in C, \\
y_n &= \beta_n T^n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n, \\
x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n T^n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are real sequences in \((0,1)\) and \( T \) is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in \( C \).

The (modified) Ishikawa iterative algorithm is indeed more general than the (modified) Mann iterative algorithm. But research has been concentrated on the latter due probably to the reasons that the formulation of the (modified) Mann iterative algorithm is simpler than that of the (modified) Ishikawa iterative algorithm and that a convergence theorem for the (modified) Mann iterative algorithm may possibly lead to a convergence theorem for the (modified) Ishikawa iterative algorithm provided the control sequences satisfy certain appropriate conditions; see [13] and the references therein. We also remark here that both the (modified) Ishikawa iterative algorithm and the (modified) Mann iterative algorithm are only weakly convergent; see [10, 22]. In many fields, problems arise in infinite dimension spaces. In such problems, strong convergence is often much more desirable than weak convergence. Recently, many authors have extensively studied the strong convergence analysis of the different modified Ishikawa and Mann iterative algorithms; see [7, 13, 14, 20, 25] and the references therein.

Based on the modified Ishikawa iterative algorithm, we investigate the following two-step iterative algorithm for a pair of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings \( S \) and \( T \),

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 &\in C, \\
y_n &= \beta_n S^n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n, \\
x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n T^n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are real sequences in \((0,1)\). We establish strong and weak convergence theorems of common fixed points of a pair of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the framework of Hilbert spaces.

To obtain our main convergence results, we need the following tools.

Recall that a space satisfies the Opial’s condition [16] iff for any sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( H \) with the fact that \( \{x_n\} \) converges weakly to \( x \), \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\| \) for any \( y \neq x \). Indeed, it is also equivalent to \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\| \) for any \( y \neq x \).

Lemma 1.1 ([24]). Let \( \{r_n\}, \{s_n\} \), and \( \{t_n\} \) be three nonnegative sequences satisfying the following condition:

\[
r_{n+1} \leq (1 + s_n)r_n + t_n, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,
\]

where \( n_0 \) is some nonnegative integer. If \( \sum_{n=1}^\infty s_n < \infty \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^\infty t_n < \infty \), then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n \) exists.
Lemma 1.2 ([23]). Let $0 < p \leq t_n \leq q < 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in $H$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|t_n x_n + (1 - t_n) y_n\| = d,$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n\| \leq d, \text{ and } \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|y_n\| \leq d \text{ hold for some } r \geq 0. \text{ Then } \|y_n - x_n\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

2. Weak convergence theorems

Theorem 2.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T : C \to C$ be a uniformly $L_T$-Lipschitz and total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with function $\psi_t$ and nonnegative real sequences $\{\mu_{n,t}\}$ and $\{\nu_{n,t}\}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,t} < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n,t} < \infty$. Let $S : C \to C$ be a uniformly $L_S$-Lipschitz and total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with function $\psi_s$ and nonnegative real sequences $\{\mu_{n,s}\}$ and $\{\nu_{n,s}\}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,s} < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n,s} < \infty$. Assume $\text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by the following manner:

$$\begin{align*}
x_0 & \in C, \\
y_0 & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n S_n x_n, \\
x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $0 < a < \alpha_n \leq \alpha_n' < 1$ and $0 < b \leq \beta_n \leq b' < 1$, where $a$, $a'$, $b$, and $b'$ are real constants. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a common fixed point of $T$ and $S$.

Proof. Fixing $p \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)$, we see that

$$\|y_n - p\| \leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|S_n x_n - p\| \leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \left(\|x_n - p\| + \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\|x_n - p\|) + \nu_{n,s}\right) \leq \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\|x_n - p\|) + \beta_n \nu_{n,s}.$$

This implies that

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \left(\|y_n - p\| + \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\|y_n - p\|) + \nu_{n,t}\right) \leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \left(\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\|x_n - p\|) + \beta_n \nu_{n,s}\right) + \alpha_n \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\|y_n - p\|) + \alpha_n \nu_{n,t} \leq \|x_n - p\| + \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\text{diam } C) + \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\text{diam } C) + \nu_{n,t} + \nu_{n,s}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,s} < \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n,s} < \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,t} < \infty$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n,t} < \infty$, we obtain from Lemma 1.1 that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists. Next, we assume that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p\| = d > 0$. Note that

$$\|y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\|x_n - p\|) + \nu_{n,s}.$$

Hence, we have $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - p\| \leq d$. On the other hand, we have

$$\|T_n y_n - p\| \leq \|y_n - p\| + \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\|y_n - p\|) + \nu_{n,t} \leq \|y_n - p\| + \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\text{diam } C) + \nu_{n,t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

This implies that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|T_n y_n - p\| \leq d$. Since

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| = \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - p) + \alpha_n (T_n y_n - p)\|,$$

we find from Lemma 1.2 that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_n y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)
From (2.1), we have
\[ \|x_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - T^n y_n\| + \|T^n y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - T^n y_n\| + \|y_n - p\| + \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\text{diam } C) + \nu_{n,t}. \]

In view of (2.2), we find that \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - p\| \geq d \), which in turn implies that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - p\| = d \). Since
\[ \|S^n x_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\|x_n - p\|) + \nu_{n,s} \leq \|x_n - p\| + \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\text{diam } C) + \nu_{n,s}, \]
we have \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|S^n x_n - p\| \leq d \). On the other hand, we have
\[ \|y_n - p\| = \|(1 - \beta_n)(x_n - p) + \beta_n (S^n x_n - p)\|. \]

Using Lemma 1.2, we find that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|S^n x_n - x_n\| = 0. \] (2.3)

Note that
\[ \|T^n x_n - x_n\| \leq \|T^n x_n - T^n y_n\| + \|T^n y_n - x_n\| \]
\[ \leq L_t \|x_n - y_n\| + \|T^n y_n - x_n\| \]
\[ \leq L_t \|S^n x_n - x_n\| + \|T^n y_n - x_n\|. \]

From (2.2) and (2.3), we have
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T^n x_n - x_n\| = 0. \] (2.4)

It also follows from (2.2) that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \] (2.5)

In view of the following two inequalities
\[ \|T x_n - x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} x_{n+1}\| + \|T^{n+1} x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} x_n\| + \|T^{n+1} x_n - T x_n\|, \]
and
\[ \|S x_n - x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - S^{n+1} x_{n+1}\| + \|S^{n+1} x_{n+1} - S^{n+1} x_n\| + \|S^{n+1} x_n - S x_n\|, \]
we find from (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T x_n - x_n\| = 0, \] (2.6)
and
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|S x_n - x_n\| = 0. \] (2.7)

Since \( C \) is bounded, there exists a subsequence \( \{x_{n_i}\} \) of \( \{x_n\} \) such that \( x_{n_i} \to \bar{x} \). Fix \( \kappa \in (0, \frac{1}{1 + L_t \beta_0}) \) and define \( y_{\kappa,\rho} = \kappa S^\rho \bar{x} + (1 - \kappa) \bar{x} \) for arbitrary but fixed \( \rho \geq 1 \). Since both the mappings \( S \) and \( T \) are Lipschitz continuous, we have
\[ \|S^\rho x_n - x_n\| \leq \|S x_n - x_n\| + \|S^2 x_n - S x_n\| + \cdots + \|S^\rho x_n - S^{\rho-1} x_n\| \leq L_s \kappa \|S x_n - x_n\|. \]

It follows from (2.7) that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|S^\rho x_n - x_n\| = 0. \] (2.8)

Note that
\[ \langle S^\rho y_{\kappa,\rho} - y_{\kappa,\rho}, y_{\kappa,\rho} - \bar{x} \rangle = \langle x_n - y_{\kappa,\rho}, y_{\kappa,\rho} - S^\rho y_{\kappa,\rho} \rangle + \langle \bar{x} - x_n, y_{\kappa,\rho} - S^\rho y_{\kappa,\rho} \rangle \]
\[ = \langle x_n - y_{\kappa,\rho}, S^\rho x_n - S^\rho y_{\kappa,\rho} \rangle + \langle \bar{x} - x_n, y_{\kappa,\rho} - S^\rho y_{\kappa,\rho} \rangle. \]
On the other hand, we have

\[
\langle \bar{x} - y_{k, \rho}, y_{k, \rho} - S^p y_{k, \rho} \rangle \leq \mu_{\rho, s} (\text{diam C}) \psi_s((\text{diam C})) + (\text{diam C}) \nu_{\rho, s}.
\]  

(2.9)

Using (2.8), we have

\[
\langle \bar{x} - y_{k, \rho}, y_{k, \rho} - S^p y_{k, \rho} \rangle \leq \mu_{\rho, s} (\text{diam C}) \psi_s((\text{diam C})) + (\text{diam C}) \nu_{\rho, s}.
\]  

(2.10)

On the other hand, we have

\[
\kappa \| \bar{x} - S^p \bar{x} \|^2 = \langle \bar{x} - y_{k, \rho}, \bar{x} - S^p \bar{x} \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \bar{x} - y_{k, \rho}, (\bar{x} - S^p \bar{x}) - (y_{k, \rho} - S^p y_{k, \rho}) \rangle + \langle \bar{x} - y_{k, \rho}, y_{k, \rho} - S^p y_{k, \rho} \rangle
\]

(2.11)

Combining (2.9) with (2.10), we arrive at

\[
\alpha [1 - (L_s + 1) \kappa] \| \bar{x} - S^p \bar{x} \|^2 \leq (\text{diam C}) \nu_{\rho, s} + \mu_{\rho, s} (\text{diam C}) \psi_s((\text{diam C})) , \quad \forall m \geq 1.
\]

Letting \( m \to \infty \), we see that \( S^p \bar{x} \to \bar{x} \). Since \( S \) is uniformly \( L_s \)-Lipschitz, we obtain that \( \bar{x} \) is a fixed point of \( S \).

Fix \( \kappa' \in (0, \frac{1}{1 + L_T}) \) and define \( y_{k', \rho'} = \kappa' T^{p'} \bar{x} + (1 - \kappa') \bar{x} \) for arbitrary but fixed \( \rho' \geq 1 \). Since both the mappings \( S \) and \( T \) are Lipschitz continuous, we have

\[
\| T^{p'} x_n - x_n \| \leq \| T x_n - x_n \| + \cdots + \| T^{p'-1} x_n - T^{p'-2} x_n \| \leq L_T \kappa' \| T x_n - x_n \|.
\]

(2.12)

It follows from (2.6) that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| T^{p'} x_n - x_n \| = 0.
\]  

(2.13)

Note that

\[
\langle T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'}, y_{k', \rho'} - y_{k', \rho'} - \bar{x} \rangle = \langle x_n - y_{k', \rho'}, y_{k', \rho'} - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} \rangle + \langle \bar{x} - x_n, y_{k', \rho'} - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle x_n - y_{k', \rho'}, T^{p'} x_n - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} \rangle + \langle \bar{x} - x_n, y_{k', \rho'} - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} \rangle
\]

\[
- \langle x_n - y_{k', \rho'}, x_n - y_{k', \rho'} \rangle + \langle x_n - y_{k', \rho'}, x_n - T^{p'} x_n \rangle
\]

\[
\leq \langle x_n - \bar{x}, T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} - y_{k', \rho'} \rangle
\]

\[
+ \| x_n - y_{k', \rho'} \| \left( \| x_n - y_{k', \rho'} \| + \mu_{\rho', t} \psi_t(\| x_n - y_{k', \rho'} \|) + \nu_{\rho', t} \right)
\]

(2.14)

\[
- \| x_n - y_{k', \rho'} \| \| x_n - T^{p'} x_n \|
\]

\[
\leq \langle \bar{x} - x_n, y_{k', \rho'} - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} \rangle + \| x_n - y_{k', \rho'} \| \| x_n - T^{p'} x_n \|
\]

\[
+ \mu_{\rho', t} (\text{diam C}) \psi_t((\text{diam C})) + (\text{diam C}) \nu_{\rho', t}.
\]

(2.15)

From (2.11), one has

\[
\langle \bar{x} - y_{k', \rho'}, y_{k', \rho'} - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} \rangle \leq \mu_{\rho', t} (\text{diam C}) \psi_t((\text{diam C})) + (\text{diam C}) \nu_{\rho', t}.
\]  

(2.16)

On the other hand, we have

\[
\kappa \| \bar{x} - T^{p'} \bar{x} \|^2 = \langle \bar{x} - y_{k', \rho'}, \bar{x} - T^{p'} \bar{x} \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \bar{x} - y_{k', \rho'}, (\bar{x} - T^{p'} \bar{x}) - (y_{k', \rho'} - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'}) \rangle + \langle \bar{x} - y_{k', \rho'}, y_{k', \rho'} - T^{p'} y_{k', \rho'} \rangle
\]

(2.17)
Using (2.12) with (2.13), we show that
\[
\alpha[1 - (L + 1)\kappa]\|\bar{x} - T^m\bar{x}\|^2 \leq (\text{diam } C)\nu_{p',t} + \mu_{p',t}(\text{diam } C)\psi_1(\text{diam } C), \quad \forall m \geq 1.
\]

Letting \(m \to \infty\), we see that \(T^\varphi\bar{x} \to \bar{x}\). Since \(T\) is uniformly \(L_1\)-Lipschitz, we obtain that \(\bar{x}\) is a fixed point of \(T\).

Finally, we prove that \(\{x_n\}\) converges weakly to \(\bar{x}\). Assume that there exists some subsequence \(\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}\) such that \(\{x_{n_i}\}\) converges weakly to \(\bar{x}' \in C\), where \(\bar{x} \neq \bar{x}'\). In the same way, we find that \(\bar{x}' \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)\). Using the Opial’s condition, we find that
\[
d = \lim_{i \to \infty} \|x_{n_i} - \bar{x}\| < \lim_{i \to \infty} \|x_{n_i} - \bar{x}'\| = \lim_{j \to \infty} \|x_{n_j} - \bar{x}'\| < \lim_{j \to \infty} \|x_{n_j} - \bar{x}\| = \lim_{j \to \infty} \|x_{n_j} - \bar{x}\| = d.
\]

This derives a contradiction. It follows that \(\bar{x} = \bar{x}'\). This shows that \(\{x_n\}\) converges weakly to a common fixed point of \(S\) and \(T\). This completes the proof.

\[\square\]

Remark 2.2. Since the class of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings includes generalized asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense, asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, and nonexpansive mapping as special cases, Theorem 2.1 is still valid for these nonlinear mappings.

For a single mapping, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.3. Let \(C\) be a nonempty bounded closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space \(H\). Let \(T : C \to C\) be a uniformly \(L\)-Lipschitz and total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with function \(\psi_1\) and nonnegative real sequences \(\{\mu_n\}\) and \(\{\nu_n\}\) such that \(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \mu_n < \infty\) and \(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \nu_n < \infty\). Assume \(\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset\) and let \(\{x_n\}\) be a sequence generated by the following manner:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 & \in C, \\
y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n, \\
x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\{\alpha_n\}\) and \(\{\beta_n\}\) are two sequences in \((0, 1)\) such that \(0 < \alpha < \alpha_n \leq \alpha' < 1\) and \(0 < b \leq \beta_n \leq b' < 1\), where \(a, a', b,\) and \(b'\) are four real constants. Then \(\{x_n\}\) converges weakly to a fixed point of \(T\).

3. Strong convergence results

In this section, we give the strong convergence analysis of the two-step iterative algorithm.

Recall that a mapping \(T : C \to C\) is said to satisfy condition (A) iff there exists a nondecreasing function \(f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) with \(f(0) = 0, f(y) > 0\) for all \(y \in (0, \infty)\) such that \(f(d(x, \text{Fix}(T))) \leq \|x - T x\|\), where \(d(x, \text{Fix}(T)) = \inf\{\|x - x'\| : x' \in \text{Fix}(T)\}\) for all \(x \in C\). Maisi and Ghosh [15] and Tan and Xu [24] studied the approximation of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping \(T\) by the Ishikawa iteration under the condition (A) which is weaker than the requirement that \(T\) is demicompact.

In this paper, we use the following condition (HC). Let \(S, T : C \to C\) be two mappings. Recall that \(T\) and \(S\) are said to satisfy condition (HC) iff there exists a nondecreasing function \(f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) with \(f(0) = 0, f(y) > 0\) for all \(y \in (0, \infty)\) such that \(f(d(x, \text{Fix}(T))) \leq \lambda\|x - T x\| + (1 - \lambda)\|x - T x\|\), where \(d(x, \text{Fix}(T)) = \inf\{\|x - x'\| : x' \in \text{Fix}(T)\}\) for all \(x \in C\). Note that condition (HC) reduces to condition (A) in the case that \(S = T\).

Theorem 3.1. Let \(C\) be a nonempty bounded closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space \(H\). Let \(T : C \to C\) be a uniformly \(L_1\)-Lipschitz and total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with function \(\psi_1\) and nonnegative real sequences \(\{\mu_{n,t}\}\) and \(\{\nu_{n,t}\}\) such that \(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \mu_{n,t} < \infty\) and \(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \nu_{n,t} < \infty\). Let \(S : C \to C\) be a uniformly \(L_s\)-Lipschitz and total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with function \(\psi_s\) and nonnegative real sequences \(\{\mu_{n,s}\}\) and \(\{\nu_{n,s}\}\) such that...
and \( \{ \gamma_{n,s} \} \) such that \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,s} < \infty \) and \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,s} < \infty \). Assume \( \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset \) and \( S, T \) satisfy condition (HC). Let \( \{ x_n \} \) be a sequence generated by the following manner:

\[
\begin{aligned}
x_0 & \in C, \\
y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n, \\
x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \{ \alpha_n \} \) and \( \{ \beta_n \} \) are two sequences in \((0, 1)\) such that \( 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha' < 1 \) and \( 0 < \beta \leq \beta_n \leq \beta' < 1 \), where \( \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \) and \( \beta' \) are four real constants. Then \( \{ x_n \} \) converges strongly to a common fixed point of \( T \) and \( S \).

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.1, we have that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - p \| \) exists for all \( x \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \). Without loss of generality, we see that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - p \| = d > 0 \). From Theorem 2.1, we have that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| T x_n - x_n \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - S x_n \| = 0 \) and

\[
\inf_{p \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)} \| x_{n+1} - p \| \leq \inf_{p \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)} \| x_n - p \| + \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\text{diam } C) + \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\text{diam } C) + \gamma_{n,t} + \gamma_{n,s}.
\]

That is,

\[
d(x_{n+1}, \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)) \leq d(x_n, \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)) + \mu_{n,s} \psi_s(\text{diam } C) + \mu_{n,t} \psi_t(\text{diam } C) + \gamma_{n,t} + \gamma_{n,s}.
\]

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S))
\]

exists. From condition (HC), we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f(d(x_n, \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S))) = 0 \). Since \( f(0) = 0 \), we find that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)) = 0 \).

Next, we take a subsequence \( \{ x_{n_j} \} \) of \( \{ x_n \} \) and a sequence \( \{ y_j \} \subset \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \) such that \( \| x_{n_j} - y_j \| < 2^{-j} \). Then, following the method of proof of Tan and Xu [24], we get that \( \{ y_j \} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \) and so it converges. Let \( y_j \to y \). Since \( \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S) \) is closed, therefore \( y \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \) and then \( x_{n_j} \to y \). Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - p \| \) exists for all \( x \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \), we find that \( x_n \to y \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

For a single mapping, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty bounded closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \). Let \( T : C \to C \) be a uniformly \( L_T \)-Lipschitz and total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with function \( \psi_t \) and nonexpansive real sequences \( \{ \mu_{n,t} \} \) and \( \{ \nu_{n,t} \} \) such that \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,t} < \infty \) and \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n,t} < \infty \). Assume \( \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset \) and \( \bar{T} \) satisfies condition (A). Let \( \{ x_n \} \) be a sequence generated by the following manner:

\[
\begin{aligned}
x_0 & \in C, \\
y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n, \\
x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \{ \alpha_n \} \) and \( \{ \beta_n \} \) are two sequences in \((0, 1)\) such that \( 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha' < 1 \) and \( 0 < \beta \leq \beta_n \leq \beta' < 1 \), where \( \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \) and \( \beta' \) are four real constants. Then \( \{ x_n \} \) converges strongly to a fixed point of \( \bar{T} \).

Finally, we give two numerical examples to illustrate the efficient of the algorithm.

Suppose that \( H := \mathbb{R} \) and \( C := [0,1] \subset \mathbb{R} \). Define a mapping \( T \) by \( T x = k x \), \( \forall x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \) and \( T x = 0 \), \( \forall x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \), where \( k \) is a constant in \((0,1)\). Then we observe that \( \text{Fix}(T) = 0 \), and hence the set of the fixed points is nonempty.

Now, we show that \( T \) is total asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping. Suppose that \( C_1 = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \) and \( C_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \).
Case 1. If \( x, y \in C_1 \), then \( |T^n x - T^n y| = |k^n x - k^n y| \leq |x - y| \).

Case 2. If \( x, y \in C_2 \), then \( |T^n x - T^n y| \leq |x - y| \).

Case 3. If \( x \in C_1, y \in C_2 \), then \( |T^n x - T^n y| \leq |k^n x - 0| \leq k^n |x - y| + k^n |y| \leq |x - y| + k^n M \), where \( M \) is some real constant. If we choose \( x_0 \in C \) arbitrarily, then for four different initial values, we see all the results are convergent in Figure 1. Let \( D = [-1, 1], D_1 = [-1, 0], \) and \( D_2 = [0, 1] \). If we choose \( x_0 \in D \) arbitrarily, then for four different initial values, we see all the results are convergent in Figure 2.
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