Bilinearization and new soliton solutions of Whitham-Broer-Kaup equations with time-dependent coefficients
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Abstract

In this paper, Whitham–Broer–Kaup (WBK) equations with time-dependent coefficients are exactly solved through Hirota’s bilinear method. To be specific, the WBK equations are first reduced into a system of variable-coefficient Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur (AKNS) equations. With the help of the AKNS equations, bilinear forms of the WBK equations are then given. Based on a special case of the bilinear forms, new one-soliton solutions, two-soliton solutions, three-soliton solutions and the uniform formulae of $n$-soliton solutions are finally obtained. It is graphically shown that the dynamical evolutions of the obtained one-, two- and three-soliton solutions possess time-varying amplitudes in the process of propagations. ©2017 All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

In nonlinear science, many physical phenomena such as fluid dynamics, plasma physics and nonlinear optics are often related to nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs). Researchers often investigate solutions of such nonlinear PDEs (see for examples [17–21]) to gain more insight into these physical phenomena for further applications. Since the celebrated Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation was exactly solved by Gardner et al. [13], finding exact solutions of nonlinear PDEs has gradually developed into one of the most important and significant directions and many effective methods have been proposed such as the inverse scattering method [1, 61, 66, 69], Hirota’s bilinear method [15], Bäcklund transformation [33], Darboux transformation [31, 47, 64], Painlevé expansion [46, 59, 60], homogeneous balance method [44], subsidiary equation method [12, 22, 67, 68], first integral method [4], residual power series method [23], and the exp-function method [14, 55, 56].

As a direct method, Hirota’s bilinear method [15] proposed in 1971 has been widely used to construct multi-soliton solutions of many nonlinear PDEs like those in [5, 16, 29, 32, 45, 62, 63, 65]. Besides, Hirota’s bilinear method [15] and Darboux transformation [31] are two of the most powerful techniques for constructing rogue-wave solutions [6, 28, 50] of nonlinear PDEs. The key step of Hirota’s bilinear method is to convert the given nonlinear PDE into the so-called bilinear form. For such bilinear forms, there is
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no general rule to follow and one often tries to take some transformations like rational transformation or logarithmic transformation. Recently, Hirota’s bilinear method was extended in a uniform way to all the nonlinear PDEs contained in the isospectral AKNS hierarchy [10], the variable-coefficient KdV hierarchy [58] and the modified KdV (mKdV) hierarchy [72]. When the inhomogeneities of media and nonuniformities of boundaries are taken into account, the variable-coefficient PDEs could describe more realistic physical phenomena than their constant-coefficient counterparts. Therefore, how to generalize the existing methods to construct exact solutions especially soliton solutions [42, 43] of nonlinear PDEs with variable coefficients is worthy of exploring. In the present paper, we shall extend Hirota’s bilinear method to construct new multi-soliton solutions of the following new WBK equations with time-dependent coefficients [30]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_1(t)u_x + \gamma_2(t)v_x + \gamma_3(t)u_{xx} &= 0, \\
\gamma_4(t)u_x v + \gamma_5(t)v_{xx} + \gamma_6(t)u_{xxx} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\gamma_i(t)\) are arbitrary smooth functions of \(t\), which represent different dispersion and dissipation forces. Given different \(\gamma_i(t)\) \((i = 1, 2, \cdots, 6)\), equations (1.1) and (1.2) convert into some well-known equations. If \(\gamma_i(t) = h_i\) \((i = 1, 2, \cdots, 6)\) are all constants, then (1.1) and (1.2) become the generalized WBK equations [30]:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_t + h_1u_x + h_2v_x + h_3u_{xx} &= 0, \\
\nu_t + h_4u_x v + h_5v_{xx} - h_6v_{xxx} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

When \(\gamma_1(t) = \gamma_2(t) = \gamma_4(t) = -1, \gamma_3(t) = \gamma_5(t) = 1/2\) and \(\gamma_6(t) = 0\), equations (1.1) and (1.2) give the approximate equations for long water waves [51]:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_t - (uv)_x - \frac{1}{2}u_{xx} &= 0, \\

\end{align*}
\]

When \(\gamma_1(t) = \gamma_2(t) = \gamma_4(t) = 1, \gamma_3(t) = \gamma_5(t) = \beta\) and \(\gamma_6(t) = \alpha\) are all constants, equations (1.1) and (1.2) transform into the WBK equations in shallow water [52]:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_t + u_x v_x + \beta u_{xx} &= 0, \\
\nu_t + (uv)_x + \alpha u_{xxx} - \beta v_{xx} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

When \(\gamma_1(t) = \gamma_4(t) = 2, \gamma_2(t) = \gamma_6(t) = -1/2\) and \(\gamma_3(t) = \gamma_5(t) = 0\), equations (1.1) and (1.2) degenerate into the Boussinesq–Burgers (BB) equations [25]:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_t + 2u_x - \frac{1}{2}v_x &= 0, \\

\end{align*}
\]

When \(\gamma_1(t) = \gamma_2(t) = 1, \gamma_3(t) = \gamma_5(t) = 0\) and \(\gamma_6(t) = 1\), equations (1.1) and (1.2) change into the variant Boussinesq equations [51]:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_t - \frac{1}{2}u_{xxx} + 2(uv)_x &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

When \(\gamma_1(t) = \gamma_2(t) = \gamma_4(t) = 2\alpha(t), \gamma_3(t) = \gamma_5(t) = -\alpha(t), \gamma_6(t) = 0\), equations (1.1) and (1.2) turn into the variable-coefficient Broer–Kaup (BK) equations [53]:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_{xt} - \alpha(t)|u_{xxx} - 2(uu)_x - 2v_{xx}| &= 0, \\

\end{align*}
\]

In 2014, Liu and Liu [30] obtained some symmetries and similarity reductions of (1.3) and (1.4) by applying...
ing direct symmetry method. Based on the obtained symmetries, Liu and Liu [30] obtained some new solutions including rational solutions, hyperbolic function solutions, trigonometric function solutions and Jacobi elliptic function solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). As far as we know, there are no multi-soliton solutions and other solutions of (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) have been reported. By using the extend homogeneous balance method, Yan and Liu [51] obtained trigonometric function solutions, $n$-resonance plane solitary wave solutions and non-traveling wave solutions of (1.5) and (1.6) and (1.11) and (1.12).


With the help of Riccati equation and its some special solutions, Khalfallah [25] obtained hyperbolic function solutions and rational solutions of (1.9) and (1.10). By using the compatibility method, Yan and Zhou [53] obtained many explicit solutions of the Boussinesq–Burgers (1.13) and (1.14), which include solutions expressed by error function, Bessel function, exponential function and Airy function. As a special case of Zhang and Zhang’s work in [70], $n$-soliton solutions of the variable-coefficient BK (1.13) and (1.14) can be reached.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first take appropriate transformations to reduce (1.1) and (1.2) into the variable-coefficient AKNS equations. Then the variable-coefficient AKNS equations are bilinearized so that we arrive at the bilinear forms of (1.1) and (1.2). In Section 3, starting from a special case of the obtained bilinear forms, we construct one-soliton, two-soliton, and three-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). Based on the obtained soliton solutions, we then summarize a uniform formula for the explicit $n$-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). In addition, some spatial structures and propagations of the obtained one-, two- and three-soliton solutions are shown by figures. In Section 4, we conclude this paper.
2. Bilinearization

For (1.1) and (1.2), we have the following Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that

\begin{align}
  u &= a_0 \frac{A_x}{A}, \\
  v &= -a_0^2 \gamma_1(t) \cdot \frac{AB}{\gamma_2(t)} + a_0 \frac{a_0 \gamma_1(t) - 2 \gamma_3(t)}{2 \gamma_2(t)} \left( \frac{A_x^2}{A^2} + \frac{A_{xx}}{A} \right),
\end{align}

where $a_0$ is an arbitrary constant, $A$ and $B$ are smooth functions of $x$ and $t$, the time-dependent-coefficient WBK (1.1) and (1.2) reduce then into the variable-coefficient AKNS equations:

\begin{align}
  A_t &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t)(2A^2B - A_{xx}), \\
  B_t &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t)(-2AB^2 + B_{xx}),
\end{align}

under the constraints

\begin{align}
  \gamma_4(t) &= \gamma_1(t), \quad \gamma_5(t) = \gamma_3(t), \quad \gamma_6(t) = \frac{a_0^2 \gamma_1(t) - 4 \gamma_3(t)}{4 \gamma_2(t)}, \\
  \gamma'_4(t) &= \gamma'_3(t) \gamma_3(t), \quad \gamma'_2(t) = \gamma'_1(t) \gamma_2(t). \quad (2.6)
\end{align}

**Proof.** We take the following transformations

\begin{align}
  u &= a(t)(\ln A)_x, \\
  v &= b(t)(\ln A)_{xx} + c(t)AB,
\end{align}

and substitute (2.7) and (2.8) into (1.1) and (1.2), here $a(t)$, $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are functions of $t$ to be determined. A direct computation tells that if

\begin{align}
  a(t) = a_0, \quad b(t) = \frac{a_0 \gamma_1(t) - 2 \gamma_3(t)}{2 \gamma_2(t)}, \quad c(t) = -a_0^2 \gamma_1(t) \gamma_2(t),
\end{align}

then (1.1) and (1.2) reduce into (2.3) and (2.4) under the constrains (2.5) and (2.6). Thus, the proof is end. 

For the bilinear forms of (1.1) and (1.2), we have the following Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let (2.5) and (2.6) hold, the time-dependent-coefficient WBK (1.1) and (1.2) possess the bilinear forms

\begin{align}
  D_t g \cdot f &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t) \left[-D_x^2 g \cdot f + \frac{g}{f} (D_x f \cdot f + 2gh) \right], \\
  D_t h \cdot f &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t) \left[D_x^2 h \cdot f - \frac{h}{f} (D_x f \cdot f + 2gh) \right],
\end{align}

where $f = f(x, t)$, $g = g(x, t)$, $h = h(x, t)$, $D_x$ and $D_t$ are Hirota’s differential operators defined by

\begin{align}
  D_x^m D_t^n F(x, t) \cdot G(x, t) &= (\partial_x - \partial_{x'})^m (\partial_t - \partial_{t'})^n F(x, t) \cdot G(x', t')|_{x' = x, t' = t}.
\end{align}

**Proof.** In view of (2.3) and (2.4), we suppose that

\begin{align}
  A &= \frac{g}{f}, \quad B = \frac{h}{f},
\end{align}

Equations (2.3) and (2.4) are then converted into

\begin{align}
  fg_t - f g &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t) \left[-g_{xx} f + 2g_x f_x + f_{xx} g - \frac{2f^2 g}{f} + \frac{2g^2 h}{f} \right], \\
  fh_t - f h &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t) \left[f_{xx} - 2f_x h_x - f_{xx} h + \frac{2f^2 h}{f} - \frac{2gh^2}{f} \right],
\end{align}

the bilinear forms of which are namely (2.9) and (2.10). It is easy to see from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.11) that if
we take the transformations
\[ u = a_0 g - f, \]
\[ v = -a_0^2 \gamma_1(t) \frac{g}{f^2} + a_0 \frac{a_0 \gamma_1(t) - 2 \gamma_3(t)}{2 \gamma_2(t)} \left( \frac{f}{f} - \frac{g}{g^2} - \frac{f_{xx}}{f} + \frac{g_{xx}}{g} \right), \]
then (1.1) and (1.2) are converted into the bilinear forms (2.9) and (2.10). We complete the proof.

3. Multi-soliton solutions

To construct multi-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) conveniently, we set
\[ D^2_x f \cdot f + 2 gh = 0, \quad (3.1) \]
the bilinear forms (2.9) and (2.10) are then reduced into
\[ D_t g \cdot f = -\frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t) D_x^2 g \cdot f, \quad (3.2) \]
\[ D_t h \cdot f = \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t) D_x^2 h \cdot f. \quad (3.3) \]

Based on the bilinear forms (3.2) and (3.3) under the condition (3.1), in what follows we construct multi-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). For this purpose, we suppose that
\[ f = 1 + \epsilon^2 f^{(2)} + \epsilon^4 f^{(4)} + \ldots + \epsilon^{2j} f^{(2j)} + \ldots, \quad (3.4) \]
\[ g = \epsilon g^{(1)} + \epsilon^3 g^{(3)} + \ldots + \epsilon^{2j+1} g^{(2j+1)} + \ldots, \quad (3.5) \]
\[ h = \epsilon h^{(1)} + \epsilon^3 h^{(3)} + \ldots + \epsilon^{2j+1} h^{(2j+1)} + \ldots. \quad (3.6) \]

Figure 1: Spatial structures of one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19).

Substituting (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) into (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and then collecting the coefficients of the same order of \( \epsilon \) yields a system of differential equations (SDEs)
\[ g_t^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} a_0 \gamma_1(t) g_{xx}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (3.7) \]
\[ h_{t}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) h_{xx}^{(1)} = 0, \]  
\[ f_{xx}^{(2)} + g^{(1)} h^{(1)} = 0, \]  

\[ g_{t}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) g_{xx}^{(3)} = -[D_{t} + \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) D_{x}^{2}] g^{(1)} \cdot f^{(2)}, \]  
\[ h_{t}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) h_{xx}^{(3)} = -[D_{t} - \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) D_{x}^{2}] h^{(1)} \cdot f^{(2)}, \]  
\[ 2f_{xx}^{(4)} = -D_{x}^{2} f^{(2)} \cdot f^{(2)} - 2(g^{(1)} h^{(3)} + g^{(3)} h^{(1)}), \]  
\[ g_{t}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) g_{xx}^{(5)} = -[D_{t} + \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) D_{x}^{2}] (g^{(1)} \cdot f^{(4)} + g^{(3)} f^{(2)}), \]  
\[ h_{t}^{(5)} - \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) h_{xx}^{(5)} = -[D_{t} - \frac{1}{2} a_{0} \gamma_{1}(t) D_{x}^{2}] (h^{(1)} \cdot f^{(4)} + h^{(3)} f^{(2)}), \]  
\[ f_{xx}^{(6)} = -D_{x}^{2} f^{(2)} \cdot f^{(4)} - (g^{(1)} h^{(5)} + g^{(3)} h^{(3)} + g^{(5)} h^{(1)}), \]  

and so forth.

\[ \frac{\gamma_{1}(t)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} a_{0} k_{1}^{2} \int \gamma_{1}(t) dt, \]  
\[ \frac{\gamma_{1}(t)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} a_{0} l_{1}^{2} \int \gamma_{1}(t) dt, \]  

Figure 2: Dynamical evolutions of one-soliton solution (3.18).

If let

\[ g^{(1)} = e^{\xi_{1}}, \quad \xi_{1} = k_{1} x - \frac{1}{2} a_{0} k_{1}^{2} \int \gamma_{1}(t) dt, \]  
\[ h^{(1)} = e^{\eta_{1}}, \quad \eta_{1} = l_{1} x + \frac{1}{2} a_{0} l_{1}^{2} \int \gamma_{1}(t) dt, \]
be two solutions of (3.7) and (3.8), then from (3.9) we have

\[ f^{(2)} = e^{\xi_1 + \eta_1 + \theta_{13}}, \quad e^{\theta_{13}} = -\frac{1}{(k_1 + l_1)^2}. \]

\[ f_1 = 1 + e^{\xi_1 + \eta_1 + \theta_{13}}, \quad g_1 = e^{\xi_1}, \quad h_1 = e^{\eta_1}, \]

we then obtain one-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2):

\[ u = a_0 \frac{k_1 - l_1 e^{\xi_1 + \eta_1 + \theta_{13}}}{1 + e^{\xi_1 + \eta_1 + \theta_{13}}}, \quad (3.18) \]

\[ v = -a_0 [2a_0 - a_0 \gamma_1(t) + 2\gamma_3(t)] \frac{e^{\xi_1 + \eta_1}}{2\gamma_2(t) [1 + e^{\xi_1 + \eta_1 + \theta_{13}}]^2}. \quad (3.19) \]

In Figure 1, the spatial structures of one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19) are shown, where the parameters are selected as \( k_1 = i, \ l_1 = 0.05, \ a_0 = 0.01, \gamma_1(t) = 0.5e^{0.5t^2}, \gamma_2(t) = 2e^{0.5t^2}, \gamma_3(t) = e^{0.5t^2}. \)

We use Figures 2 and 3 to describe the corresponding dynamical evolutions of one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19) at times \( t = -3, \ t = 0 \) and \( t = 3. \) It can be seen from Figures 1–3 that one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19) possess time-varying amplitudes in the process of propagations.

We next construct two-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). Selecting

\[ g^{(1)} = e^{\xi_1} + e^{\xi_2}, \quad \xi_i = k_i x - \frac{1}{2} a_0 k_i^2 \int \gamma_1(t) dt, \quad (i = 1, 2), \]
which satisfy (3.7) and (3.8), from (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) we then have

\[
\begin{align*}
    f^{(2)} &= e^{k_1 + n_1 + \theta_{13}} + e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13}} + e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{23}}, \\
    g^{(3)} &= e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}}, \\
    h^{(3)} &= e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}}, \\
    f^{(4)} &= e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
    e^{\theta_{12}} &= -(k_1 - k_2)^2, & e^{\theta_{23}} &= -(l_1 - l_2)^2, & e^{\theta_{(i+j)}^2} &= -\frac{1}{(k_i + l_j)^2}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2).
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 4: Spatial structures of two-soliton solutions (3.20) and (3.21).

If \( g^{(5)} = h^{(5)} = f^{(6)} = \cdots = 0 \), then we can see that (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and those unwritten ones in above SDEs all hold. In this case, we truncate (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) into finite terms. We further select \( \varepsilon = 1 \) and write

\[
\begin{align*}
    g_2 &= e^{k_1} + e^{k_2} + e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}}, \\
    h_2 &= e^{n_1} + e^{n_2} + e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}}, \\
    f_2 &= 1 + e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}}, \\
    \quad + e^{k_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

and hence obtain two-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= a_0 \frac{g_2 f_2 - f_2 g_2}{f_2 h_2}, \\
    v &= a_0 \frac{g_1 + 2 \gamma_1(t)}{\gamma_2(t)} \left( \frac{f_2}{g_2} - \frac{g_2}{f_2} \right) + a_0 \frac{\gamma_1(t) - 2 \gamma_3(t)}{2 \gamma_2(t)} \left( \frac{f_2}{g_2} - \frac{g_2}{f_2} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The spatial structures of two-soliton solutions (3.20) and (3.21) are shown in Figure 4 by selecting the parameters as \( k_1 = i, \ k_2 = 0.3i, \ l_1 = 4, \ l_2 = -1.2, \ a_0 = 0.008, \ \gamma_1(t) = 0.5e^{0.5t^2}, \ \gamma_2(t) = 2e^{0.5t^2}, \ \gamma_3(t) = e^{0.5t^2} \). Figures 5 and 6 are used to describe the corresponding dynamical evolutions of two-soliton solutions (3.20) and (3.21) at times \( t = -3, t = 0 \) and \( t = 3 \). Figures 4–6 show that two-soliton solutions (3.20) and (3.21) possess time-varying amplitudes in the process of propagation.
Figure 5: Dynamical evolutions of two-soliton solution (3.20).

Figure 6: Dynamical evolutions of two-soliton solution (3.21).
Similarly, we can determine three-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) as follows:

\[ u = a_0 \frac{g_3 f_3 - f_3 x g_3}{f_3 g_3}, \quad (3.22) \]

\[ v = -a_0^2 \frac{\gamma_1(t)}{\gamma_2(t)} g_3 h_3 + a_0 \frac{a_0 \gamma_1(t) - 2 \gamma_3(t)}{2 \gamma_2(t)} \left( \frac{f_3^2}{f_3^2} - \frac{g_3^2}{g_3^2} - \frac{f_3 x}{f_3} + \frac{g_3 x}{g_3} \right), \quad (3.23) \]

by

\[ f_3 = 1 + e^{\xi_1 + n_1 + \theta} + e^{\xi_2 + n_2 + \theta} + e^{\xi_3 + n_3 + \theta} + e^{\xi_1 + n_1 + 2 \theta} + e^{\xi_2 + n_2 + \theta} + e^{\xi_3 + n_3 + \theta}, \]

\[ g_3 = e^{\xi_1} + e^{\xi_2} + e^{\xi_3} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta}, \]

\[ h_3 = e^{n_1} + e^{n_2} + e^{n_3} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta} + e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \theta}, \]

where

\[ \xi_i = k_i x - \frac{1}{2} a_0 k_i^2 \int \gamma_1(t) dt, \quad \gamma_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 k_i^2 \int \gamma_1(t) dt, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \]

\[ e^{\theta_{ij}} = -(k_i - k_j)^2, \quad (i < j = 2, 3), \]

\[ e^{\theta_{i(j+n)}} = -\frac{1}{(k_i + l_j)^2}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3), \]

\[ e^{\theta_{i(j+n)(l+n)}} = -(l_i - l_j)^2, \quad (i < j = 2, 3). \]

Selecting \( k_1 = i, k_2 = 0.3i, k_3 = 2i, l_1 = 2, l_2 = 1, l_3 = -1.5, a_0 = 0.005, \gamma_1(t) = 0.5 e^{0.5t^2}, \gamma_2(t) = 2 e^{0.5t^2} \) and \( \gamma_3(t) = e^{0.5t^2} \), we show in Figure 7 the spatial structures of three-soliton solutions (3.22) and (3.23). In Figures 8 and 9, the corresponding dynamical evolutions of three-soliton solutions (3.22) and (3.23) are described at times \( t = -3, t = 0 \) and \( t = 3 \). From Figures 7–9 we can see that three-soliton solutions (3.22)
and (3.23) possess time-varying amplitudes in the process of propagations.

**Figure 7:** Spatial structures of bright and dark three-soliton solutions (3.22) and (3.23).

**Figure 8:** Dynamical evolutions of three-soliton solution (3.22).

Generally speaking, if we take

\[
\begin{align*}
g^{(1)} &= e^{\xi_1} + e^{\xi_2} + \cdots + e^{\xi_n}, \quad \xi_i = k_i - \frac{1}{2} a_0 k_i^2 \int \gamma_1(t) dt, \\
n^{(1)} &= e^{\eta_1} + e^{\eta_2} + \cdots + e^{\eta_n}, \quad \eta_i = l_i + \frac{1}{2} a_0 l_i^2 \int \gamma_1(t) dt, \\
f_n &= \sum_{\mu=0,1} Z_1(\mu) e^{\xi_1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \mu_i \mu_j \theta_{ij},
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 9: Dynamical evolutions of three-soliton solution (3.23).

\[ g_n = \sum_{\mu=0,1} Z_2(\mu) e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_i \xi_i}} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^{2n} \mu_i \mu_j \theta_{ij}, \]

\[ h_n = \sum_{\mu=0,1} Z_3(\mu) e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_i \xi_i}} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^{2n} \mu_i \mu_j \theta_{ij}, \]

\[ \xi_i = k_i x - \frac{1}{2} a_0 k_i^2 \int \gamma_1(t) dt, \quad \eta_i = l_i x + \frac{1}{2} a_0 l_i^2 \int \gamma_1(t) dt, \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n), \]

\[ \xi_{n+j} = \eta_j, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, n), \]

\[ e^{\theta_{ij}} = -\frac{1}{(k_i + l_j)^2}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n), \]

\[ e^{\theta_{ij}} = -(k_i - k_j)^2, \quad e^{\theta_{(i+n)(j+n)}} = -(l_i - l_j)^2, \quad (i < j = 2, 3, \cdots, n), \]

we can give uniform formulae of \( n \)-soliton solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) as follows:

\[ u = a_0 \frac{g_n h_n f_n - f_{nx} g_n}{f_n g_n}, \quad (3.24) \]

\[ v = -a_0^2 \frac{\gamma_1(t) g_n h_n}{\gamma_2(t)} + a_0 \frac{\gamma_1(t) - 2 \gamma_3(t)}{2 \gamma_2(t)} \left( \frac{f_{nx}^2}{f_n^2} - \frac{g_{nx}^2}{g_n^2} - \frac{f_{nxx}}{f_n} + \frac{g_{nxx}}{g_n} \right), \quad (3.25) \]

where the summation \( \sum_{\mu=0,1} \) refers to all possible combinations of each \( \mu_i = 0,1 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), and \( Z_1(\mu), Z_2(\mu) \) and \( Z_3(\mu) \) denote that when we select all the possible combinations \( \mu_j (j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2n) \) the following conditions hold, respectively:
The third example is based on (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), (2.11), (3.2) and (3.3). In this case, we select γ

In view of (2.11) and (3.1), we reduce (3.2) and (3.3) as

Based on (3.2) and (3.3), a special case of the bilinear forms (2.9) and (2.10) under the condition (3.1), we have successfully extended Hirota’s bilinear method to the new time-dependent-coefficient WBK (1.1) and (1.2). As a result, new one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19), two-soliton solutions (3.20) and (3.21), three-soliton solutions (3.22) and (3.23) and n-soliton solutions (3.24) and (3.25) are obtained. For the existing solutions in [2, 7–9, 11, 24, 25, 27, 30, 34–36, 40, 41, 48, 49, 51–53, 57, 70], some of them can be recovered as special cases of the results obtained in the present study. Here we take the following solutions [48]

As the first example. In this case, we select \( \gamma_1(t) = 1, \gamma_3(t) = 1, \beta, a_0 = 2\sqrt{\alpha + \beta^2}, k_1 = k + \lambda/(2\sqrt{\alpha + \beta^2}), l_1 = k - \lambda/(2\sqrt{\alpha + \beta^2}) \) and set the integration constants of (3.16) and (3.17) as \( \ln 4k^2/\lambda \), then a direct computation shows that the one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19) arrive at solutions (4.1) and (4.2). In the second example, we select \( \gamma_1(t) = 1, \gamma_3(t) = 1, \beta, a_0 = -2\sqrt{\alpha + \beta^2}, k_1 = 4, l_1 = -2 \) and set the integration constants of (3.16) and (3.17) as \(-\theta_{13}/6\sqrt{2} \), then the one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19) give the known solutions [27]

The third example is based on (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), (2.11), (3.2) and (3.3). Setting \( \gamma_1(t) = 1, \gamma_3(t) = \beta, a_0 = -2\sqrt{\alpha + \beta^2} \), from (2.1) and (2.2) we then have

where \( A \) and \( B \) satisfy the constant-coefficient AKNS equations

In view of (2.11) and (3.1), we reduce (3.2) and (3.3) as

With the help of (2.11), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), we can obtain the following multi-soliton solutions [27] expressed by double Wronskian determinants

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{n+j}, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{n+j} + 1, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j + 1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{n+j}.
\end{align*}
\]
v = 16(α + β²) \frac{|N-2; M|}{|N-1; M-1|} \cdot \frac{|N-2; M|}{|N-1; M-1|} + 2 \left[ α + β(β + \sqrt{α + β²}) \right] \ln \left( \frac{2 - \frac{2}{|N-2; M|}}{|N-1; M-1|} \right)_{xx},

under the linear Wronskian conditions

φ_{jx} = -k_j φ_j, \quad φ_{jt} = -2√α + β²φ_{jxx},

ψ_{jx} = k_j ψ_j, \quad ψ_{jt} = 2√α + β²ψ_{jxx}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N + M + 2),

where the double Wronskian determinant is defined as

W^{N,M}(φ;ψ) = \text{det}(φ, ∂φ, \cdots, ∂^{N-1}φ;ψ, ∂ψ, \cdots, ∂^{M-1}ψ) = |\frac{N-2; M|}{|N-1; M-1|},

with φ = (φ_1, φ_2, \cdots, φ_{N+M+2})^T and ψ = (ψ_1, ψ_2, \cdots, ψ_{N+M+2})^T.

In the procedure of extending Hirota’s bilinear method to (1.1) and (1.2), one of the key steps is to reduce (1.1) and (1.2) to the bilinear forms (2.9) and (2.10) by the transformations (2.7), (2.8) and (2.11). It is graphically shown that the dynamical evolutions of one-soliton solutions (3.18) and (3.19), two-soliton solutions (3.20) and (3.21), three-soliton solutions (3.22) and (3.23) possess time-varying amplitudes as Serkin et al. [37–39] reported in the process of propagations. Recently, fractional-order differential calculus and its applications have attracted much attention [3, 26, 54, 71]. How to construct multi-soliton solutions of nonlinear PDEs with fractional derivatives through Hirota’s bilinear method is worthy of study.
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