ISSN: 2008-1898





Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications



Journal Homepage: www.tjnsa.com - www.isr-publications.com/jnsa

Stability of additive-quadratic ρ -functional equations in Banach spaces: a fixed point approach

Choonkil Park^a, Sang Og Kim^{b,*}, Cihangir Alaca^c

^aResearch Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Republic of Korea.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Hallym University, Chuncheon 24252, Republic of Korea.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Celal Bayar University, Muradiye Campus 45140 Manisa, Turkey.

Communicated by R. Saadati

Abstract

Let

$$\begin{split} M_1 f(x,y) &:= \frac{3}{4} f(x+y) - \frac{1}{4} f(-x-y) + \frac{1}{4} f(x-y) + \frac{1}{4} f(y-x) - f(x) - f(y), \\ M_2 f(x,y) &:= 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y). \end{split}$$

We solve the additive-quadratic p-functional equations

$$M_1 f(x, y) = \rho M_2 f(x, y), \tag{1}$$

and

$$M_2 f(x,y) = \rho M_1 f(x,y), \qquad (2)$$

where ρ is a fixed nonzero number with $\rho \neq 1$.

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic ρ -functional equations (1) and (2) in Banach spaces. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, additive-quadratic ρ-functional equation, fixed point method, Banach space. 2010 MSC: 39B52, 39B62, 47H10.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [10] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping. Hyers [6] gave a first affirmative partial

*Corresponding author

doi:10.22436/jnsa.010.03.34

Email addresses: baak@hanyang.ac.kr (Choonkil Park), sokim@hallym.ac.kr (Sang Og Kim), cihangiralaca@yahoo.com.tr (Cihangir Alaca)

answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [8] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

The functional equation f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) is called the quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping. The stability of quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [9] for mappings $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, where E_1 is a normed space and E_2 is a Banach space. Cholewa [3] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain E_1 is replaced by an Abelian group.

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

Theorem 1.1 ([2, 4]). *Let* (X, d) *be a complete generalized metric space and let* $J : X \to X$ *be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant* $\alpha < 1$ *. Then for each given element* $x \in X$ *, either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty,$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- $(1) \ d(J^nx,J^{n+1}x)<\infty, \quad \forall n \geqslant n_0;$
- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0}x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (4) $d(y,y^*) \leqslant \frac{1}{1-\alpha}d(y,Jy)$, for all $y \in Y$.

In Section 2, we solve the additive-quadratic functional equation (1) and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic functional equation (1) in Banach spaces.

In Section 3, we solve the additive-quadratic ρ -functional equation (2) and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic ρ -functional equation (2) in Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, assume that X is a normed space and that Y is a Banach space. Let ρ be a nonzero number with $\rho \neq 1$.

2. Additive-quadratic ρ -functional equation (1) in Banach spaces

We solve and investigate the additive-quadratic ρ -functional equation (1) in normed spaces.

Lemma 2.1.

- (i) If a mapping $f: X \to Y$ satisfies $M_1 f(x, y) = 0$, then $f = f_o + f_e$, where $f_o(x) := \frac{f(x) f(-x)}{2}$ is the Cauchy additive mapping and $f_e(x) := \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}$ is the quadratic mapping.
- (ii) If a mapping $f: X \to Y$ satisfies $M_2f(x, y) = 0$, then $f = f_0 + f_e$, where $f_0(x) := \frac{f(x) f(-x)}{2}$ is the Cauchy additive mapping and $f_e(x) := \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}$ is the quadratic mapping.

Proof.

(i)

$$M_1 f_o(x, y) = f_o(x + y) - f_o(x) - f_o(y) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So f_o is the Cauchy additive mapping.

$$M_1 f_e(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} f_e(x + y) + \frac{1}{2} f_e(x - y) - f_e(x) - f_e(y) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So f_o is the quadratic mapping.

(ii)

$$M_2 f_o(x, y) = 2 f_o\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f_o(x) - f_o(y) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Since $M_2f(0, 0) = 0$, f(0) = 0 and f_0 is the Cauchy additive mapping.

$$M_2 f_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 2f_e\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}}{2}\right) + 2f_e\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}}{2}\right) - f_e(\mathbf{x}) - f_e(\mathbf{y}) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Since $M_2f(0, 0) = 0$, f(0) = 0 and f_e is the quadratic mapping.

Therefore, the mapping $f : X \to Y$ is the sum of the Cauchy additive mapping and the quadratic mapping.

From now on, for a given mapping $f : X \to Y$, define $f_o(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$ and $f_e(x) := \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}$ for all $x \in X$. Then f_o is an odd mapping and f_e is an even mapping.

Lemma 2.2. If a mapping $f : X \to Y$ satisfies f(0) = 0 and

$$M_1 f(x, y) = \rho M_2 f(x, y),$$
 (2.1)

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f: X \to Y$ is the sum of the Cauchy additive mapping f_o and the quadratic mapping f_e .

Proof. Letting y = x in (2.1) for f_o , we get $f_o(2x) - 2f_o(x) = 0$ and so $f_o(2x) = 2f_o(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus

$$f_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f_o(x), \qquad (2.2)$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$f_{o}(x+y) - f_{o}(x) - f_{o}(y) = \rho\left(2f_{o}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f_{o}(x) - f_{o}(y)\right) = \rho(f_{o}(x+y) - f_{o}(x) - f_{o}(y)),$$

and so

$$f_o(x+y) = f_o(x) + f_o(y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Letting y = x in (2.1) for f_e , we get $\frac{1}{2}f_e(2x) - 2f_e(x) = 0$ and so $f_e(2x) = 4f_e(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus

$$f_e\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}f_e(x),\tag{2.3}$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x+y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x-y) - f_{e}(x) - f_{e}(y) &= \rho\left(2f_{e}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2f_{e}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - f_{e}(x) - f_{e}(y)\right) \\ &= \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x+y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x-y) - f_{e}(x) - f_{e}(y)\right), \end{split}$$

and so

$$f_e(x+y) + f_e(x-y) = 2f_e(x) + 2f_e(y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Therefore, the mapping $f : X \to Y$ is the sum of the Cauchy additive mapping f_o and the quadratic mapping f_e .

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic ρ -functional equation (2.1) in Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\varphi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an L < 1 with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{y}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{L}{4}\varphi\left(x,y\right),\tag{2.4}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and

$$\|M_1f(x,y) - \rho M_2f(x,y)\| \leqslant \varphi(x,y), \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{L}{4(1-L)}(\phi(x,x) + \phi(-x,-x)), \\ \|f_{e}(x) - Q(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{L}{4(1-L)}(\phi(x,x) + \phi(-x,-x)), \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting y = x in (2.5) for f_0 , we get

$$\|f_{o}(2x) - 2f_{o}(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x,x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(-x,-x),$$
 (2.6)

for all $x \in X$.

Consider the set

 $S:=\{h:X\to Y,\ h(0)=0\},$

and introduce the generalized metric on S:

$$d(g,h) = \inf \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \left\| g(x) - h(x) \right\| \leqslant \mu(\phi(x,x) + \phi(-x,-x)), \ \forall x \in X \right\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see [7]).

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$\operatorname{Jg}(\mathbf{x}) := 2g\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)),$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $\frac{L}{4}\phi(x,y) \leq \frac{L}{2}\phi(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{split} |Jg(x) - Jh(x)|| &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 2\varepsilon \left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \frac{L}{2}(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)) = L\varepsilon(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)), \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (2.6) that

$$\left\|f_{o}(x)-2f_{o}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{x}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2},-\frac{x}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{L}{8}(\varphi(x,x)+\varphi(-x,-x)),$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(f_o, Jf_o) \leq \frac{L}{8} \leq \frac{L}{4}$.

By Theorem 1.1, there exists a mapping $A : X \to Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) A is a fixed point of J, i.e.,

$$A(x) = 2A\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \qquad (2.7)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping A is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f,g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that A is a unique mapping satisfying (2.7) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| \leq \mu(\phi(x, x) + \phi(-x, -x)),$$

for all $x \in X$;

(2) $d(J^{l}f_{o}, A) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} 2^n f_o\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = A(x),$$

for all $x \in X$;

(3) $d(f_o, A) \leq \frac{1}{1-1}d(f_o, Jf_o)$, which implies

$$\|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)}(\varphi(x,x) + \varphi(-x,-x)),$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{split} \left\| A(x+y) - A(x) - A(y) - \rho \left(2A \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) - A(x) - A(y) \right) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| 2^n \left(f_o \left(\frac{x+y}{2^n} \right) - f_o \left(\frac{x}{2^n} \right) - f_o \left(\frac{y}{2^n} \right) \right) - 2^n \rho \left(2f_o \left(\frac{x+y}{2^{n+1}} \right) - f_o \left(\frac{x}{2^n} \right) - f_o \left(\frac{y}{2^n} \right) \right) \right\| \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(2^n \phi \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n} \right) + 2^n \phi \left(-\frac{x}{2^n}, -\frac{y}{2^n} \right) \right) = 0, \end{split}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So

$$A(x+y) - A(x) - A(y) = \rho\left(2A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - A(x) - A(y)\right),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By Lemma 2.2, the mapping $A : X \to Y$ is additive.

Letting y = x in (2.5) for f_e , we get

$$\left\|\frac{1}{2}f_{e}(2x) - 2f_{e}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x,x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(-x,-x),$$
(2.8)

for all $x \in X$.

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \to S$ such that

$$\operatorname{Jg}(\mathbf{x}) := 4g\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \epsilon$. Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)),$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\| Jg(x) - Jh(x) \| = \left\| 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 4\varepsilon \left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right)\right)$$
$$\leq 4\varepsilon \frac{L}{4}(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)) = L\varepsilon(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)),$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\left\|f_{e}(x)-4f_{e}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leqslant \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{x}{2}\right)+\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2},-\frac{x}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{L}{4}(\varphi(x,x)+\varphi(-x,-x)),$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(f_e, Jf_e) \leq \frac{L}{4}$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a mapping $Q : X \to Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) Q is a fixed point of J, i.e.,

$$Q(x) = 4Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \qquad (2.9)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping Q is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$\mathsf{M} = \{ \mathsf{g} \in \mathsf{S} : \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) < \infty \}.$$

This implies that Q is a unique mapping satisfying (2.9) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

 $\|\mathbf{f}_{e}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \mu(\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + \varphi(-\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{x})),$

for all $x \in X$;

(2) $d(J^{l}f_{e}, Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} 4^n f_e\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = Q(x),$$

for all $x \in X$;

(3) $d(f_e, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f_e, Jf_e)$, which implies

$$\|\mathbf{f}_{e}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)}(\varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(-\mathbf{x},-\mathbf{x})),$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{1}{2} Q\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} Q\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - Q(x) - Q(y) - \rho\left(2Q\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2Q\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - Q(x) - Q(y)\right) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| 4^n \left(\frac{1}{2} f_e\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}\right) + \frac{1}{2} f_e\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}\right) - f_e\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f_e\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right) \\ &- 4^n \rho\left(2 f_e\left(\frac{x+y}{2^{n+1}}\right) + 2 f_e\left(\frac{x-y}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f_e\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f_e\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right) \right\| \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(4^n \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) + 4^n \phi\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}, -\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right) = 0, \end{split}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So

$$\frac{1}{2}Q\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}Q\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - Q(x) - Q(y) = \rho\left(2Q\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2Q\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - Q(x) - Q(y)\right),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By Lemma 2.2, the mapping $Q : X \to Y$ is quadratic.

Corollary 2.4. Let r > 2 and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and

 $\|M_1 f(x, y) - \rho M_2 f(x, y)\| \le \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r),$ (2.10)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| &\leq \frac{2\theta}{2^{r} - 2} \|x\|^{r}, \\ \|f_{e}(x) - Q(x)\| &\leq \frac{4\theta}{2^{r} - 4} \|x\|^{r}, \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(||x||^r + ||y||^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{1-r}$ for f_o (respectively, $L = 2^{2-r}$ for f_e) and we get the desired result.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\phi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an L < 1 with

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \leqslant 2L\varphi\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2},\frac{\mathbf{y}}{2}\right),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and (2.5). Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{o}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})\| &\leq \frac{1}{4(1-L)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\right) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(-\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{x}\right)), \\ \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})\| &\leq \frac{1}{4(1-L)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\right) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(-\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{x}\right)), \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

It follows from (2.6) that

$$\left\|f_{o}(x)-\frac{1}{2}f_{o}(2x)\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\phi(x,x)+\frac{1}{4}\phi(-x,-x),$$

for all $x \in X$.

For f_o , we consider the linear mapping $J : S \to S$ such that

$$\operatorname{Jg}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{g}(2\mathbf{x}),$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\left\|f_{e}(x)-\frac{1}{4}f_{e}(2x)\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\phi(x,x)+\frac{1}{4}\phi(-x,-x),$$

for all $x \in X$.

For $f_e,$ we consider the linear mapping $J:S\to S$ such that

$$\mathsf{Jg}(\mathsf{x}) := \frac{1}{4}\mathsf{g}(2\mathsf{x}),$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.6. Let r < 1 and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and (2.10). Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^{r}} \|x\|^{r}, \\ \|f_{e}(x) - Q(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{4\theta}{4 - 2^{r}} \|x\|^{r}, \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.5 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(||x||^r + ||y||^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{r-1}$ for f_o (respectively, $L = 2^{r-2}$ for f_e) and we get the desired result.

3. Additive-quadratic p-functional equation (2) in Banach spaces

We solve and investigate the additive-quadratic ρ -functional equation (2) in normed spaces.

Lemma 3.1. If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies f(0) = 0 and

$$M_2 f(x, y) = \rho M_1 f(x, y),$$
 (3.1)

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f : X \to Y$ is the sum of the Cauchy additive mapping f_o and the quadratic mapping f_e . *Proof.* Letting y = 0 in (3.1) for f_o , we get

$$f_{o}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f_{o}(x), \qquad (3.2)$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$f_{o}(x+y) - f_{o}(x) - f_{o}(y) = 2f_{o}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f_{o}(x) - f_{o}(y) = \rho(f_{o}(x+y) - f_{o}(x) - f_{o}(y)),$$

and so

$$f_o(x+y) = f_o(x) + f_o(y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Letting y = 0 in (3.1) for f_e , we get

$$f_e\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}f_e(x),\tag{3.3}$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x+y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x-y) - f_{e}(x) - f_{e}(y) &= 2f_{e}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2f_{e}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - f_{e}(x) - f_{e}(y) \\ &= \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x+y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{e}(x-y) - f_{e}(x) - f_{e}(y)\right), \end{split}$$

and so

$$f_e(x+y) + f_e(x-y) = 2f_e(x) + 2f_e(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic ρ -functional equation (3.1) in Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\varphi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an L < 1 with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{y}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{L}{4}\varphi\left(x,y\right),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and

$$\|M_2f(x,y) - \rho M_1f(x,y)\| \leqslant \varphi(x,y), \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2(1-L)}(\phi(x,0) + \phi(-x,0)), \\ \|f_{e}(x) - Q(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2(1-L)}(\phi(x,0) + \phi(-x,0)), \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting y = 0 in (3.4) for f_0 , we get

$$\left\|f_{o}(x) - 2f_{o}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| = \left\|2f_{o}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f_{o}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x,0) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(-x,0),$$
(3.5)

for all $x \in X$.

Consider the set

$$S := \{h : X \to Y, h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on S:

$$d(g,h) = \inf \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \left\| g(x) - h(x) \right\| \leqslant \mu(\phi(x,0) + \phi(-x,0)), \ \forall x \in X \right\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see [7]).

For f_o , we consider the linear mapping $J : S \to S$ such that

$$\operatorname{Jg}(\mathbf{x}) := 2g\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in X$.

Letting y = 0 in (3.4) for f_e , we get

$$\left\|f_{e}(x) - 4f_{e}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| = \left\|4f_{e}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f_{e}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi(x,0) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(-x,0),$$
(3.6)

for all $x \in X$.

For f_e , we consider the linear mapping $J : S \to S$ such that

$$\operatorname{Jg}(\mathbf{x}) := 4g\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.3. Let r > 2 and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and

$$\|M_2 f(x, y) - \rho M_1 f(x, y)\| \le \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r),$$
(3.7)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$

such that

$$\begin{split} \|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{2^{r}\theta}{2^{r} - 2} \|x\|^{r}, \\ \|f_{e}(x) - Q(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{2^{r}\theta}{2^{r} - 4} \|x\|^{r}, \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(||x||^r + ||y||^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{1-r}$ for f_o (respectively, $L = 2^{2-r}$ for f_e) and we get the desired result.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\phi: X^2 \to [0,\infty)$ be a function such that there exists an L < 1 with

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \leqslant 2L\varphi\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2},\frac{\mathbf{y}}{2}\right),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and (3.4). Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| &\leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)}(\phi(x,0) + \phi(-x,0)), \\ \|f_{e}(x) - Q(x)\| &\leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)}(\phi(x,0) + \phi(-x,0)), \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

It follows from (3.5) that

$$\left\|f_{o}(x) - \frac{1}{2}f_{o}(2x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\phi(2x,0) + \frac{1}{4}\phi(-2x,0) \leq \frac{L}{2}\phi(x,0) + \frac{L}{2}\phi(-x,0),$$

for all $x \in X$.

For f_o , we consider the linear mapping $J: S \to S$ such that

$$\operatorname{Jg}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{g}(2\mathbf{x}),$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (3.6) that

$$\left\|f_{e}(x) - \frac{1}{4}f_{e}(2x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{8}\varphi(2x,0) + \frac{1}{8}\varphi(-2x,0) \leq \frac{L}{4}\varphi(x,0) + \frac{L}{4}\varphi(-x,0) \leq \frac{L}{2}\varphi(x,0) + \frac{L}{2}\varphi(-x,0),$$

for all $x \in X$, since $\frac{L}{4}\phi(x,0) + \frac{L}{4}\phi(-x,0) \leq \frac{L}{2}\phi(x,0) + \frac{L}{2}\phi(-x,0)$ for all $x \in X$.

For f_e , we consider the linear mapping $J : S \to S$ such that

$$\operatorname{Jg}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{g}(2\mathbf{x}),$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.5. Let r < 1 and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping satisfying (3.7). Then

there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|f_{o}(x) - A(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{2^{r}\theta}{2 - 2^{r}} \|x\|^{r}, \\ \|f_{e}(x) - Q(x)\| &\leqslant \frac{2^{r}\theta}{4 - 2^{r}} \|x\|^{r}, \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(||x||^r + ||y||^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{r-1}$ for f_o (respectively, $L = 2^{r-2}$ for f_e) and we get the desired result.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the referees for comments and suggestions. This research was supported by Hallym University Research Fund, 2016 (HRF-201606-006).

References

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), 64–66. 1
- [2] L. Cădariu, V. Radu, Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 4 (2003), 7 pages. 1.1
- [3] P. W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, Aequationes Math., 27 (1984), 76–86. 1
- [4] J. B. Diaz, B. Margolis, A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1968), 305–309. 1.1
- [5] P. Găvruța, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184** (1994), 431–436. 1
- [6] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 27 (1941), 222–224. 1
- [7] D. Miheţ, V. Radu, On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 343 (2008), 567–572. 2, 3
- [8] T. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 297–300. 1
- [9] F. Skof, Local properties and approximation of operators, (Italian) Geometry of Banach spaces and related topics, Italy, Milan, (1983), Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 53 (1983), 113–129.
- [10] S. M. Ulam, A collection of mathematical problems, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, (1960). 1