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Abstract
The purpose of this paper is to introduce an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of fixed point of nonexpansive mappings, set of a mixed equilibrium problem and the set of variational inclusions in a real Hilbert space. We prove that the sequence $x_n$ which is generated by the proposed iterative algorithm converges strongly to a common element of four sets above. Furthermore, we give an application to optimization and some numerical examples which support our main theorem in the last part. Our result extended and improve the existing result of Yao et al. \cite{19} and references therein.
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1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that $H$ be a real Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $F : C \to H$ be a nonlinear mapping, $\varphi : C \to R$ be a function and $\Theta : C \times C \to R$ be a bifunction.

First, we consider the following mixed equilibrium problem: find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\Theta(x^*, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x^*) + \langle Fx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  \hfill (1.1)

If $F = 0$, then the mixed equilibrium problem (1.1) becomes the following mixed equilibrium problem, which was studied by Ceng and Yao [2]: Find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\Theta(x^*, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x^*) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  \hfill (1.2)

If $\varphi = 0$, then the mixed equilibrium problem (1.1) becomes the following equilibrium problem, which was considered by Takahashi and Takahashi [16]: Find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\Theta(x^*, y) + \langle Fx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  \hfill (1.3)

If $\varphi = 0$ and $F = 0$, then the mixed equilibrium problem (1.1) becomes the following variational inequality problem: Find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\varphi(y) - \varphi(x^*) + \langle Fx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  \hfill (1.4)

If $\Theta(x, y) = 0$ for all $x,y \in C$, then the mixed equilibrium problem (1.1) becomes the following variational inequality problem: Find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\varphi(y) - \varphi(x^*) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  \hfill (1.5)

We denote the sets of solutions of the problems (1.1)-(1.5) by $EP(1)$-$EP(5)$, respectively. Equilibrium problem theory is one of most interesting and useful branch for the existence of solutions of many problems arising in economics, physics, operation research and other fields. The mixed equilibrium problems include fixed point problems, optimization problems, variational inequality problems, Nash equilibrium problems as the special cases. Also, many authors have introduced some kind of methods to solve these problems.

In 1997, Combettes and Hirstoaga [4] introduced an iterative method to find the best approximation to the initial data and also prove some strong convergence theorems by using the proposed method. Consequently, Takahashi and Takahashi [17] presented another iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem (1.2) and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mappings. Moreover, Yao, Liou and Yao [20], [21] also introduced new iterative schemes for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem and the set of common fixed points of finitely (infinitely) nonexpansive mappings.

Recently, Ceng and Yao [2] introduced a new iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of solution of the mixed equilibrium problem and the set of common fixed points of infinitely nonexpansive mappings. Furthermore, Peng and Yao [12] applied the CQ method to solve the mixed equilibrium problem and variational inequality problem and they also obtained the strong convergence results. Their result extended and improved the corresponding results in [3], [9], [16] and [21].

Recall that the mapping $f : C \to C$ is called a $\rho$-contraction if there exists a constant $\rho \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \rho \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$  

A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$  

A mapping $B : C \to C$ is said to be $\beta$-inverse strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that
\[
\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle \geq \beta \|Bx - By\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.
\]

A mapping $G$ is said to be strongly positive on $H$ if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that
\[
\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \mu \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H.
\]

(1.6)

Let $A : H \to H$ be a single-valued nonlinear mapping and $R : H \to 2^H$ be a set-valued mapping. The variational inclusion problem is as follows: Find $x \in H$ such that
\[
\theta \in B(x) + R(x),
\]

(1.7)

where $\theta$ is the zero vector in $H$. We denote the set of solution of this problem by $I(A, R)$.

Remark 1.1. (1) If $R = \partial \phi : H \to 2^H$ in (1.7), where $\phi : H \to R$ is proper convex lower semi-continuous and $\partial \phi$ is the sub-differential of $\phi$, then the variational inclusion (1.7) is equivalent to the following problem:

Find $x \in H$ such that
\[
\langle Bx, v - x \rangle + \phi(y) - \phi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall v, y \in H,
\]

which is called the mixed quasi-variational inequality in Noor [10].

(2) Let $M = \partial \delta_C$ in (1.7), where $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and $\delta_C : H \to [0, \infty)$ is the indicator function of $C$, i.e.,
\[
\delta_C(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \in C; \\
+\infty, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Then the variational inclusion (1.7) is equivalent to the following problem: Find $x \in H$ such that
\[
\langle Bx, v - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in H,
\]

which is called Hartman-Stampacchia’s variational inequality.

Remark 1.2. (1) If $H = \mathbb{R}^m$, then the problem (1.7) becomes the generalized equation introduced by Robinson [13].

(2) If $B = 0$, then the problem (1.7) becomes the inclusion problem introduced by Rockafellar [14].

The problem (1.7) is the most widely use for the study of optimal solutions in many related areas including mathematical programming, complementarity, variational inequalities, optimal control and many other fields. Many kinds of variational inclusions problems have been improved, extended and generalized in recent years by many authors.

In 2008, Zhang et al. [22] introduced an algorithm for finding a common solutions for quasi variational in clusion and fixed point problems, they also prove a strong convergence theorems for approximating this common elements under the suitable condition. Moreover, Kocourek et al. [7] presented a new iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of solution to the problem (1.7) and the set of fixed points of nonexpansive, nonspreading and hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces. Peng et al. [11] introduced another iterative algorithm by the viscosity approximate method for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a variational inclusion with a set-valued maximal monotone mapping and inverse strongly monotone mappings, the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping.

Very recently, Yao et al. [19], proposed the following iterative algorithm:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y_n) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rF x_n)) & \geq 0, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n)) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu A)]W_n J_{R,\lambda}(z_n - r Az_n),
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.8)
where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \) are two real sequences in \([0, 1]\). Furthermore, they also proved that the proposed above algorithm converges strongly to a common element of the set of solution of mixed equilibrium problem and the set of fixed point of nonexpansive mapping and the set of a variational inclusion in a real Hilbert space.

Motivated and inspired by the above works, in this paper, we propose an iterative algorithm which extend from Yao et al. [19] as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y_n) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n)) & \geq 0, \\
z_n = J_{R, s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n), \\
y_n = J_{R, s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n)) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G)]W_n y_n,
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.9)

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are two real sequences in \([0, 1]\). Furthermore, we prove the strong convergence theorem and give an illustrative example to support our main theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space and \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of \( H \). It follows that

\[
\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2\langle x, y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.
\]  

(2.1)

Recall that the nearest projection \( P_C \) from \( H \) to \( C \) assigns to each \( x \in H \), the unique point \( P_C x \in C \) satisfying the property

\[
\|x - P_C x\| = \min_{y \in C} \|x - y\|,
\]

which is equivalent to the following inequality

\[
\langle x - P_C x, P_C x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

A set-valued mapping \( T : H \to 2^H \) is called monotone if, for all \( x, y \in H \), \( f \in Tx \) and \( g \in Ty \) imply \( \langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0 \). A monotone mapping \( T : H \to 2^H \) is said to be maximal if its graph \( G(T) \) is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. It is well known that a monotone mapping \( T \) is maximal if and only if, for all \( (x, f) \in H \times H \), \( \langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0 \) for all \( (y, g) \in G(T) \) implies \( f \in Tx \).

Let a set valued mapping \( R : H \to 2^H \) be a maximal monotone. We define a resolvent operator \( J_{R, \lambda} \) generated by \( R \) and \( \lambda \) as follows:

\[
J_{R, \lambda} = (I + \lambda R)^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in H,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a positive number. It is easily to see that the resolvent operator \( J_{R, \lambda} \) is single-valued, nonexpansive and 1-inverse strongly monotone and moreover, a solution of the problem (1.7) is a fixed point of the operator \( J_{R, \lambda}(I - \lambda B) \) for all \( \lambda > 0 \) (see, for example, [3]).

In this paper, we assume that a bifunction \( \Theta : H \times H \to R \) and a convex function \( \varphi : H \to R \) satisfy the following conditions:

(H1) \( \Theta(x, x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in H \);
(H2) \( \Theta \) is monotone, i.e., \( \Theta(x, y) + \Theta(y, x) \leq 0 \) for all \( x, y \in H \);
(H3) for any \( y \in H, x \to \Theta(x, y) \) is weakly upper semi-continuous;
(H4) for any \( x \in H, y \to \Theta(x, y) \) is convex and lower semi-continuous;
\((H5)\) for any \(x \in H\) and \(r > 0\), there exists a bounded subset \(D_x \subset H\) and \(y_x \in H\) such that, for any \(z \in H \setminus D_x\),

\[
\Theta(z, y_x) + \varphi(y_x) - \varphi(z) + \frac{1}{r}(y_x - z, z - x) < 0.
\]

Next, we recall some lemmas which will be needed in the rest of this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** [12] Let \(H\) be a real Hilber space and \(\Theta : H \times H \to R\) be a bifunction. Let \(\varphi : H \to R\) be a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function. For any \(r > 0\) and \(x \in H\), define a mapping \(S_r : H \to H\) as follow: for all \(\lambda \in (0, 1)\),

\[
S_r(x) = \{z \in H : \Theta(z, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z) + \frac{1}{r}(y - z, z - x) \geq 0, \forall y \in H\}.
\]

Assume that the condition \((H1)-(H5)\) hold. Then we have the following:

1. For each \(x \in H\), \(S_r(x) \neq 0\) and \(S_r\) is single valued;
2. \(S_r\) is firmly nonexpansive, i.e., for any \(x, y \in H\),

\[
\|S_r x - S_r y\|^2 \leq (S_r x - S_r y, x - y);
\]
3. \(\text{Fix}(S_r) = \text{EP}(1)\);
4. \(\text{EP}(1)\) is closed and convex.

**Lemma 2.2.** [12] Let \(\{x_n\}\) and \(\{z_n\}\) be bounded sequences in a Banach space \(E\) and \(\{\beta_n\}\) be a sequence in \([0, 1]\) satisfying the following condition:

\[
0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1.
\]

Suppose that \(x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)z_n\) for all \(n \geq 0\) and \(\limsup_{n \to \infty}(\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0\). Then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|z_n - x_n\| = 0\).

Now, we define the mapping \(W_n\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{n, n+1} &= 1, \\
U_{n, n} &= \lambda_n T_n U_{n, n+1} + (1 - \lambda_n)I, \\
U_{n, n-1} &= \lambda_{n-1} T_{n-1} U_{n, n} + (1 - \lambda_{n-1})I, \\
&\vdots \\
U_{n, k} &= \lambda_k T_k U_{n, k+1} + (1 - \lambda_k)I, \\
U_{n, k-1} &= \lambda_{k-1} T_{k-1} U_{n, k} + (1 - \lambda_{k-1})I, \\
&\vdots \\
U_{n, 2} &= \lambda_2 T_2 U_{n, 3} + (1 - \lambda_2)I, \\
W_n &= U_{n, 1} = \lambda_1 T_1 U_{n, 2} + (1 - \lambda_1)I,
\end{align*}
\]

\((2.2)\)

where \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1}\) are real numbers such that \(0 \leq \lambda_n \leq 1\) for all \(n \geq 1\) and \(\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}\) is an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings \(T_n : H \to H\).

Note that \(W_n\) is usually called the \(W\)-mapping generated by \(T_n, T_{n-1}, \cdots, T_1\) and \(\lambda_n, \lambda_{n-1}, \cdots, \lambda_1\). It can be easily seen that \(W_n\) is also nonexpansive mapping.
Lemma 2.3. \[15\] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings $T_n : H \to H$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \text{Fix}(T_n) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots$ be a real number such that $0 < \lambda_n \leq b < 1$ for all $n \in N$. Then the following statements hold:

1. For all $x \in H$ and $k \in N$, the limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,k} x$ exists;
2. $\text{Fix}(W) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \text{Fix}(T_n)$ where $Wx = \lim_{n \to \infty} W_n x = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,1} x$ for all $x \in C$;
3. For any bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $H$,
   \[
   \lim_{n \to \infty} \| W_n x_n - W_{n+1} x_n \| = 0.
   \]

Lemma 2.4. \[1\] Let $R : H \to 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping and $B : H \to H$ be a Lipschitz and continuous monotone mapping. Then the mapping $R + B : H \to 2^H$ is maximal monotone.

Lemma 2.5. \[5, 6\] Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying

\[
a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n) a_n + \delta_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\]
where $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\delta_n}{\gamma_n} = \infty$;
(ii) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\delta_n}{\gamma_n} \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\delta_n| < \infty$;

Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.6. \[5, 6\] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $g : C \to R \cup \{\infty\}$ be a proper lower-semicontinuous differentiable convex function. If $x^*$ is a solution to the minimization problem:

\[
g(x^*) = \inf_{x \in C} g(x),
\]
then

\[
\langle g'(x), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C.
\]

In particular, if $x^*$ solves the optimization problem:

\[
\min_{x \in C} \frac{\nu}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \| x - u \|^2 - h(x),
\]
then

\[
\langle u + (\gamma f - (I + \nu A)) x^*, x - x^* \rangle \leq 0.
\]

3. Main Results

In this section, we prove some strong convergence theorems for finding a common element of the set of solution of a mixed equilibrium problem, the set of solution of variational inclusions and the set of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings.
Theorem 3.1. Let $H$ a real Hilbert space and $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings $T_n : H \to H$. Let $\varphi : H \to R$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function and $\Theta : H \times H \to R$ be a bifunction satisfying the condition (H1)-(H5). Let $G$ be a strongly positive bounded linear operator with coefficient $\mu > 0$ and $R : H \to 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping. Let $A, B, F : H \to H$ be $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$-inverse strongly monotone mappings, respectively. Let $f : H \to H$ be a $\rho$-contraction and $r > 0, s_1, s_2 > 0, \gamma > 0$ be four constants such that $s_1 < 2\alpha, s_2 < 2\beta, r < 2\rho$ and $\gamma < (1+\nu)\rho$. Assume that $\Omega := (\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} Fix(T_n)) \cap EP(1) \cap I(A, R) \cap I(B, R) \neq \emptyset$. Let $W_n$ be the mapping defined by (2.2). If $\{x_n\}$ is the sequence generated by $x_1 \in H$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y_n) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n)) &\geq 0, \\
z_n &= J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n), \\
y_n &= J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n)) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G)]W_n y_n,
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.1)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two real sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

$(C1)$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;

$(C2)$ $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in \Omega$, which solve the following optimization problem:

$$
\min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\nu}{2} \langle Gx, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - u\|^2 - h(x),
$$

(3.2)

where $h$ is a potential function for $\gamma f$.

Proof. We divide the proof of Theorem 3.1 into several steps.

**Step 1.** We show that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. First, we note that $I - s_1 A$ and $I - s_2 B$ are nonexpansive for all $x, y \in C$ and

$$
\| (I - s_1 A)x - (I - s_1 A)y \|^2 = \|x - y\|^2 - 2s_1 \langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle + s_1^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2
\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2s_1 \alpha \|Ax - Ay\|^2 + s_1^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2
= \|x - y\|^2 - s_1 (2\alpha - s_1) \|Ax - Ay\|^2
\leq \|x - y\|^2.
$$

Thus $I - s_1 A$ is nonexpansive and so are $I - s_2 B$ and $I - rF$. Let $p \in \Omega$. From (C1) and (C2), we assume that $\alpha_n \leq (1 - \beta_n)(1 + \nu \|G\|)^{-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $G$ is a linear bounded self-adjoint operator on $H$, we have

$$
\|G\| = \sup \{\|Gx, x\| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}
$$

and

$$
\langle (1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n (I + \nu G), x, y \rangle = \langle (1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n x - \alpha_n \nu Gx, x \rangle
= 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n - \alpha_n \nu \langle Gx, x \rangle
\geq 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n - \alpha_n \nu \|G\|
\geq 0.
$$

Thus we can see that $(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n (I + \nu G)$ is positive. Further, it follows that

$$
\| (1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n (I + \nu G) \| = \sup \{ \| (1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n (I + \nu G), x \| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \}
= \sup \{ 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n - \alpha_n \nu \|Gx, x\|, x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \}
\leq 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n (1 + \nu \mu).
$$
From \( z_n = J_{R,s}(u_n - s_2Bu_n) \) for all \( n \geq 0 \), we can compute
\[
\|z_n - p\| = \|J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n) - J_{R,s_1}(p - s_2Bp)\| \\
\leq \|(u_n - p) - s_2(Bu_n - Bp)\| \\
\leq \|u_n - p\|
\]
and
\[
\|y_n - p\| = \|J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n) - J_{R,s_1}(p - s_1Ap)\| \\
\leq \||(z_n - p) - s_1(Az_n - Ap)\| \\
\leq \|z_n - p\| \\
\leq \|u_n - p\|.
\]
Letting \( u_n = S_r(x_n - RFx_n) \) for all \( n \geq 0 \), by Lemma 2.1, we have
\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 = \|S_r(x_n - rFx_n) - S_r(p - rFp)\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2r(x_n - p, Fx_n - Fp) + r^2\|Fx_n - Fp\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - r(2\rho - r)\|Fx_n - Fp\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2
\]
and so \( \|z_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| \) and \( \|y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| \). Thus we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\| = \|\alpha_n u + \alpha_n (\gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p) + \beta_n (x_n - p) + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G)](W_ny_n - p)\| \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n(1 + \nu \mu))\|y_n - p\| + \beta_n\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|u\| + \alpha_n\gamma\|x_n - p\| \\
+ \alpha_n\|\gamma f(p) - (I + \nu G)p\| \\
= [1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu \mu + \gamma \eta)]\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|\gamma f(p) - (I + \nu G)p\| + \|u\| \\
\leq \max\left\{\|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - (I + \nu G)p\| + \|u\|}{1 + \nu \mu - \gamma \eta}\right\}
\]
Hence \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded and so are \( \{u_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{W_ny_n\}, \{f(x_n)\} \) and \( \{GW_ny_n\} \).

**Step 2.** We show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0 \). Define \( x_{n+1} = \beta_n + (1 - \beta_n)v_n \) for all \( n \geq 0 \), that is, \( v_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). It follows that
\[
v_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_n} = \frac{x_{n+2} - \beta_n x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_n}\frac{x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} \\
= \frac{\alpha_n + 1}{1 - \beta_n} u + \gamma f(x_n) - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} u + \gamma f(x_n) + W_{n+1}y_{n+1} - W_ny_n \\
= \frac{\alpha_n + 1}{1 - \beta_n} u + \gamma f(x_n) - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} u + \gamma f(x_n) + W_{n+1}y_{n+1} - W_ny_n \\
+ \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} [u + \gamma f(x_n) + (I + \nu G)W_ny_n]
\]
and so
\[
\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \left( \|u\| + \|\gamma f(x_{n+1})\| + \|(I + \nu G)W_{n+1}y_{n+1}\| \right) \\
+ \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \left( \|u\| + \|\gamma f(x_n)\| + \|(I + \nu G)W_n y_n\| \right) \\
+ \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|W_{n+1} y_n - W_n y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|.
\]

Since \(T_i\) and \(U_{n,i}\) are nonexpansive, it follows that
\[
\|W_{n+1} y_n - W_n y_n\| = \|\lambda_1 T_1 U_{n+1,2} y_n - \lambda_1 T_1 U_{n,2} y_n\| \\
\leq \lambda_1 \|U_{n+1,2} y_n - U_{n,2} y_n\| \\
= \lambda_1 \|\lambda_2 T_2 U_{n+1,3} y_n - \lambda_2 T_2 U_{n,3} y_n\| \\
\leq \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \|U_{n+1,3} y_n - U_{n,3} y_n\| \\
\vdots \\
\leq \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_n \|U_{n+1,n+1} y_n - U_{n,n+1} y_n\| \\
\leq M\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,
\]
where \(M > 0\) is a constant such that \(\sup\{\|U_{n+1,n+1} y_n - U_{n,n+1} y_n\| : n \geq 0\} \leq M\).

Observe that
\[
\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| = \|J_{R,s_1} (z_{n+1} - s_1 A z_n) - J_{R,s_1} (z_n - s_1 A z_n)\| \\
\leq \| (I - s_1 A) z_{n+1} - (I - s_1 A) z_n\| \\
\leq \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \\
\leq \|J_{R,s_2} (u_{n+1} - s_2 B u_{n+1}) - J_{R,s_2} (u_n - s_2 B u_n)\| \\
\leq \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \\
\leq \|S_r (x_{n+1} - r F x_{n+1}) - S_r (x_n - r F x_n)\| \\
\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|.
\]
Therefore, we have
\[
\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \left( \|u\| + \|\gamma f(x_{n+1})\| + \|(I + \nu G)W_{n+1}y_{n+1}\| \right) \\
+ \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \left( \|u\| + \|\gamma f(x_n)\| + \|(I + \nu G)W_n y_n\| \right) + M\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,
\]
which implies that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.
\]
Hence, by Lemma 2.2 it follows that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n - x_n\| = 0\) and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|}{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|} \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|}{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|},
\]
and so
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.4}
\]

**Step 3.** We show that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|F x_n - F p\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|B u_n - B p\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|A z_n - A p\| = 0.
\]
From (3.1), it follows that
\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (u + \gamma f(x_n)) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G)] W_n y_n, \]
which can be rewritten as
\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)W_n y_n) + \beta_n(x_n - W_n y_n) + W_n y_n. \]

Observe that
\[
\|x_n - W_n y_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - W_n y_n\|
\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n\|u + \gamma g(x_n) - (I + \nu G)W_n y_n\| + \beta_n\|x_n - W_n y_n\|
\]
and so
\[
\|x_n - W_n y_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n}\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n}\|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)W_n y_n\|.
\]

Thus, from \(\alpha_n \to 0\) and \(\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0\), it follows that
\[
\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n - W_n y_n\| = 0. \tag{3.5}
\]

Since \(I - rA, I - sB\) and \(I - rF\) are nonexpansive, it follows from (3.3) that
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = \|J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1 Az_n) - J_{R,s_2}(p - s_1 Ap)\|^2
\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 + s_1\|(1 - 2\alpha)\|Az_n - Ap\|^2
\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 + s_2(2 - 2\beta)\|Bu_n - Bp\|^2 + s_1(1 - 2\alpha)\|Az_n - Ap\|^2
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + r(r - 2\rho)\|Fx_n - Fp\|^2 + s_2(2 - 2\beta)\|Bu_n - Bp\|^2
+ s_1(1 - 2\alpha)\|Az_n - Ap\|^2.
\]

Thus we obtain
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 = \|\alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p) + \beta_n(x_n - W_n y_n) + (I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G))(W_n y_n - p)\|^2
\leq \|\alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p)\| + \beta_n\|x_n - W_n y_n\|^2
+ 2\alpha_n\|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p\|\|x_{n+1} - p\|
\leq (1 - \alpha - n(1 + \nu \mu))\|y_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|x_n - W_n y_n\|^2
+ 2(1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu \mu))\beta_n\|y_n - p\|\|x_n - W_n y_n\|
+ 2\alpha_n\|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p\|\|x_{n+1} - p\|. \tag{3.6}
\]

Since \((1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu \mu))^2 < 1\), it follows that
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \|y_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n^2\|x_n - W_n y_n\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu \mu))\beta_n\|y_n - p\|\|x_n - W_n y_n\|
+ 2\alpha_n\|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p\|\|x_{n+1} - p\|
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + r(r - 2\rho)\|Fx_n - Fp\|^2 + 2s_2(2 - 2\beta)\|Bu_n - Bp\|^2
+ s_1(1 - 2\alpha)\|Az_n - Ap\|^2\beta_n^2\|x_n - W_n y_n\|^2
+ 2(1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu \mu))\beta_n\|y_n - p\|\|x_n - W_n y_n\|
+ 2\alpha_n\|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p\|\|x_{n+1} - p\|.\]
and so
\[
\begin{align*}
\|u_n - p\|^2 & \leq \|x_n - rF_{x_n} - (p - rFp)\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n - r(F_{x_n} - Fp)\|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n - r(F_{x_n} - Fp)\|^2).
\end{align*}
\]
which implies that
\[
\begin{align*}
\|u_n - p\|^2 & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2r\langle F_{x_n} - Fp, x_n - u_n\rangle - r^2\|F_{x_n} - Fp\|^2 \\
& \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2r\|F_{x_n} - Fp\|\|x_n - u_n\|.
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore, from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7) it follows that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|F_{x_n} - Fp\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|Bu_n - Bp\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|Az_n - Ap\| = 0.
\]

**Step 4.** We show that \(\|x_n - W_{x_n}\| \to 0\). Since \(S_r\) is firmly nonexpansive, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\|u_n - p\|^2 &= \|u_n - s_2Bu_n\|^2 - \|u_n - s_2Bu_n\|^2 + 2s_2\langle u_n - s_2Bu_n, u_n - s_2Bu_n\rangle \\
& \leq \langle u_n - s_2Bu_n - (p - s_2Bp), u_n - p\rangle \\
& = \frac{1}{2}(\|u_n - s_2Bu_n\|^2 + \|u_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - s_2Bu_n - (p - s_2Bp)\|^2) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|u_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - z_n\|^2 - \|u_n - s_2Bu_n - (p - s_2Bp)\|^2) \\
& = \frac{1}{2}(\|u_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - z_n\|^2 - \|u_n - s_2Bu_n - (p - s_2Bp)\|^2) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|u_n - p\|^2 + \|u_n - z_n\|^2 - \|u_n - s_2Bu_n - (p - s_2Bp)\|^2),
\end{align*}
\]
which implies that
\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|u_n - z_n\|^2 - \|u_n - s_2Bu_n - (p - s_2Bp)\|^2.
\]
Now, we observe that
\[
\begin{align*}
\|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n) - J_{R,s_1}(p - s_1Ap)\|^2 \\
& \leq \langle z_n - s_1Az_n - (p - s_1Ap), y_n - p\rangle \\
& = \frac{1}{2}(\|z_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - y_n - s_1(Az_n - Ap)\|^2) \\
& = \frac{1}{2}(\|z_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - y_n - s_1(Az_n - Ap)\|^2 + 2s_1\langle Az_n - Ap, z_n - y_n\rangle \\
& \quad - s_1^2\|Az_n - Ap\|^2).
\end{align*}
\]
that is,
\[
||y_n - p||^2 \leq ||x_n - p||^2 - ||x_n - y_n||^2 + 2s_1||Az_n - Ap||||z_n - y_n||
\]
\[
\leq ||x_n - p||^2 - ||y_n - z_n||^2 + 2s_2||Bu_n - Bp||||u_n - z_n||
\]
\[
+ 2s_1||Az_n - Ap||||z_n - y_n||
\]
\[
\leq ||x_n - p||^2 - ||x_n - u_n||^2 + 2r||Fx_n - Fp||||x_n - u_n||
\]
\[
+ 2s_2||Bu_n - Bp||||u_n - z_n|| - ||u_n - z_n||^2 + 2s_1||Az_n - Ap||||z_n - y_n||.
\]
(3.8)

Substituting (3.8) in (3.6), we have
\[
||x_{n+1} - p||^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu\mu))^2\{||x_n - p||^2 - ||x_n - u_n||^2 - ||u_n - z_n||^2 - ||z_n - y_n||^2
\]
\[
+ 2r||Fx_n - Fp||||x_n - u_n|| + 2s_2||Bu_n - Bp||||u_n - z_n||
\]
\[
+ 2s_1||Az_n - Ap||||z_n - y_n||\}
\]
\[
\beta_n^2||x_n - W_n||^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu\mu))\beta_n||y_n - p||||x_n - W_ny_n||
\]
\[
+ 2\alpha_n||u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)p||||x_{n+1} - p||.
\]

It follows that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - u_n|| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} ||u_n - z_n|| = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} ||z_n - y_n|| = 0.
\]

Note that
\[
||W y_n - y_n|| \leq ||W y_n - W_n y_n|| + ||W_n y_n - y_n||
\]
and so, from this and Lemma 2.3, it follows that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} ||W y_n - y_n|| = 0\). Therefore, we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - Wx_n|| = 0.
\]

**Step 5.** We show that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{j \to \infty} (u + \gamma f(x^*) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_n - x^*) \leq 0,
\]
where \(x^*\) is a solution of the optimization problem. First, we note that there exists a subsequence \(\{x_{n_j}\}\) of \(\{x_n\}\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{j \to \infty} (u + \gamma f - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_n - x^*) = \lim_{j \to \infty} (u + \gamma f - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n_j} - x^*).
\]
Since \(\{x_{n_j}\}\) is bounded, there exists a subsequence \(\{x_{n_{j_k}}\}\) of \(\{x_{n_j}\}\) which converges weakly to \(\omega\). Without loss of generality, we can assume that \(\{x_{n_j}\}\) converges weakly to the point \(\omega\). Since \(||Wx_n - x_n|| \to 0\), it follows the demiclosed principle of nonexpansive mappings that \(\omega \in \text{Fix}(W)\).

Now, we show that \(\omega \in EP(1)\). By \(u_n \in S_r(x_n - rFx_n)\), it follows that
\[
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n)) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in H.
\]
From (H2), we have
\[ \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rF_{x_n})) \geq \Theta(y, u_n), \quad \forall y \in H, \]
and hence
\[ \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rF_{x_n})) \geq \Theta(y, u_n), \quad \forall y \in H. \tag{3.9} \]

For any \( t \in (0, 1] \) and \( y \in H \), let \( y_t = ty + (1 - t)\omega \). From (3.9), we have
\[
\langle y_t - u_n, \frac{u_n - x_n + rF_{x_n}}{r} \rangle \geq \Theta(y_t, u_n) - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(u_n),
\]
\[
\langle y_t - u_n, \frac{u_n - x_n + rF_{x_n}}{r} \rangle + F(y_t - F(y_t)) \geq \Theta(y_t, u_n) - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(u_n).
\]

It follows that
\[
\langle y_t - u_n, Fy_t \rangle \geq \varphi(u_n) - \varphi(y_t) + \Theta(y_t, u_n) - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(u_n).
\]

Since \( \|u_n - x_n\| \to 0 \), we have \( \|F_{u_n} - F_{x_n}\| \to 0 \). Further, from the inverse strongly monotonicity of \( F \), it follows that \( \langle y_t - u_n, Fy_t - Fu_n \rangle \geq 0 \) and so, from (H4), the weakly lower semi-continuity of \( \varphi \), \( \frac{u_n - x_n}{r} \to 0 \) and \( u_n \to \omega \) weakly, it follows that
\[
\langle y_t - \omega, Fy_t \rangle \geq -\varphi(y_t) + \varphi(\omega) + \Theta(\omega, \omega). \tag{3.10}
\]

Thus, from (H1), (H4) and (3.10), we have
\[
0 = \Theta(y_t, y) - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(y_t)
= \Theta(y_t, ty + (1 - t)\omega) - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(ty + (1 - t)\omega)
\leq t[\Theta(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)] + \varphi(ty + (1 - t)\omega) \varphi(y_t)
\leq t[\Theta(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)] + \varphi(ty - \omega, Fy_t)
= t[\Theta(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)] + (1 - t)\langle y - \omega, Fy_t \rangle.
\]

Letting \( t \to 0 \), we have, for any \( y \in H \),
\[
0 \leq \Theta(\omega, y) - \varphi(y) + \varphi(\omega) + \langle y - \omega, F\omega \rangle
\]

which implies that \( \omega \in EP(1) \).

Next, we show that \( \omega \in I(B, R) \). Since \( A \) be an \( \alpha \)-inverse strongly monotone, \( A \) is Lipschitz continuous monotone mapping. It follows from Lemma (2.4) that \( R + A \) is maximal monotone. Let \( (v, g) \in G(R + A) \), i.e., \( g - Av \in R(v) \). Since \( y_n = J_{R, s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n) \), we have \( z_n - s_1z_n \in (I + s_1R)y_n \), i.e., \( \frac{1}{s_1}(z_n - y_n - s_1Az_n) \in R(y_n) \). By maximal monotonicity of \( R + A \), we have
\[
\langle v - y_n, g - Bv - \frac{1}{s_1}(z_n - y_n - s_1Az_n) \rangle \geq 0
\]

and so
\[
\langle v - y_n, g, \rangle \geq \langle v - y_n, Av + \frac{1}{s_1}(z_n - y_n - s_1Az_n) \rangle
\geq \langle v - y_n, Ay_n - Az_n \rangle + \langle v - y_n, \frac{1}{s_1}(z_n - y_n) \rangle.
\]
It follows from \( \|z_n - y_n\| \to 0, \|Ax_n - Ay_n\| \to 0 \) and \( y_n \to \omega \) weakly that
\[
\lim_{n_i \to \infty} \langle v - y_{n_i}, g \rangle = \langle v - \omega, g \rangle \geq 0.
\]
Therefore, \( \omega \in I(A,R) \) and, by the same method as in above, we can also find that \( \omega \in I(B,R) \). Further, we have \( \omega \in \Omega \) and
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle u + (\gamma f - (I + \nu G))x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle u + (\gamma f - (I + \nu G))x^*, x_{n_j} - x^* \rangle = \langle u + (\gamma f - (I + \nu G))x^*, \omega - x^* \rangle \leq 0.
\]

**Step 6.** We show that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges to the point \( x^* \). Observe that
\[
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 = \|\alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n)) + \beta_nx_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G)]W_ny_n - x^*\|^2
\]
\[
= \|\alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n)) - (I + \nu G)x^* + \beta_n(x_n - x^*) + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G)](W_ny_n - x^*)\|^2
\]
\[
= \|\beta_n(x_n - x^*) + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G))(W_ny_n - x^*)\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G))(W_ny_n - x^*)\| \|x_n - x^*\| + \|\beta_n(x_n - x^*)\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G))(W_ny_n - x^*)\| \|x_n - x^*\| + \|\beta_n(x_n - x^*)\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G))(W_ny_n - x^*)\| \|x_n - x^*\| + \|\beta_n(x_n - x^*)\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \{(1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu)\mu)^2\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_n\gamma\rho\{\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2\}
\]
\[
+ 2\alpha_n\|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \{(1 - \alpha_n(1 + \nu)\mu)^2\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_n\gamma\rho\{\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2\}
\]
\[
+ 2\alpha_n\|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle
\]
and so
\[
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_n(1 + \nu)\mu}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho} \right) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_n\gamma\rho}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho} \|x_n - x^*\|^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho} \|u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \left[ 1 - \frac{2\alpha_n((1 + \nu)\mu - \gamma\rho)}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho} \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{(\alpha_n(1 + \nu)\mu)^2}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho} \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \right]
\]
\[
\times \left\{ \frac{\alpha_n((1 + \nu)\mu)^2}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho} M_1 + \frac{1}{(1 + \nu)\mu - \gamma\rho} \langle u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \right\}
\]
\[
= (1 - \delta_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \delta_n \alpha_n,
\]
where
\[
M_1 = \sup\{\|x_n - x^*\|^2 : n \geq 1\}, \quad \delta_n = \frac{2\alpha_n((1 + \nu)\mu - \gamma\rho)}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho},
\]
\[
\sigma_n = \frac{\alpha_n((1 + \nu)\mu)^2}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma\rho} M_1 + \frac{1}{(1 + \nu)\mu - \gamma\rho} \langle u + \gamma f(x_n) - (I + \nu G)x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle.
\]
It can be easily seen that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n \leq 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.5, we conclude that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to the point $x^* \in \Omega$. This completes the proof. 

Using Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following corollaries:

**Corollary 3.2.** For any $x_0 \in H$, let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence in $H$ generated by the following iterative algorithm: 

for any $y \in H$ and $n \geq 1$

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y_n) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n) \rangle & \geq 0, \\
\frac{z_n = J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n),}{z_n} \\
y_n = J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n)) + \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n)W_n y_n,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.11)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two real sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions: 

(C1) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$; 

(C2) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$. 

**Suppose that** $\Omega := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_n) \cap \text{EP}(1) \cap I(A, R) \cap I(B, R) \neq \emptyset$ and the mapping $W_n$ is defined by (2.2). Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in \Omega$, which solves the following variational inequality:

\[(u + \gamma f(x^*) - x^*, y - x) \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega.\]

**Corollary 3.3.** For any $x_0 \in H$, let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence in $H$ generated by the following iterative algorithm: 

for any $y \in H$ and $n \geq 1$

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y_n) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle & \geq 0, \\
\frac{z_n = J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n),}{z_n} \\
y_n = J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n G]W_n y_n,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.12)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two real sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions: 

(C1) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$; 

(C2) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$. 

**Suppose that** $\Omega := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_n) \cap \text{EP}(2) \cap I(A, R) \cap I(B, R) \neq \emptyset$ and the mapping $W_n$ is defined by (2.2). Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in \Omega$, where $x^* = P_{\Omega}((\gamma f(x^*) + (I - G)x^*))$, which solves the following variational inequality:

\[(\gamma f(x) - Gx, y - x) \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega.\]

**Corollary 3.4.** For any $x_0 \in H$, let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence in $H$ generated by the following iterative algorithm: 

for any $y \in H$ and $n \geq 1$

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y_n) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n) \rangle & \geq 0, \\
\frac{z_n = J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n),}{z_n} \\
y_n = J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n G]y_n,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.13)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two real sequences in $[0, 1]$, which satisfy the following conditions:
(C1) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \) and \( \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \);

(C2) \( 0 < \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1 \).

Suppose that \( \Omega := EP(1) \cap I(A, R) \cap I(B, R) \neq \emptyset \) and the mapping \( W_n \) is defined by \( (2.2) \). Then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in \Omega \), where \( x^* = P_{\Omega}(\gamma f(x^*) + (I - G)x^*) \), which solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle \gamma f(x) - Gx, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega.
\]

**Corollary 3.5.** For any \( x_0 \in H \), let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequence in \( H \) generated by the following iterative algorithm:

for any \( y \in H \) and \( n \geq 1 \)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n) \rangle &\geq 0, \\
z_n &= J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n), \\
y_n &= J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n G]y_n,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are two real sequences in \([0, 1]\) satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \) and \( \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \);

(C2) \( 0 < \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1 \).

Suppose that \( \Omega := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Fix(T_n) \cap EP(3) \cap I(A, R) \cap I(B, R) \neq \emptyset \) and the mapping \( W_n \) is defined by \( (2.2) \). Then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in \Omega \), where \( x^* = P_{\Omega}(\gamma f(x^*) + (I - G)x^*) \), which solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle \gamma f(x) - Gx, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega.
\]

**Corollary 3.6.** For any \( x_0 \in H \), let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequence in \( H \) generated by the following iterative algorithm:

for any \( y \in H \) and \( n \geq 1 \)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n) \rangle &\geq 0, \\
z_n &= J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n), \\
y_n &= J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n G]y_n,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are two real sequences in \([0, 1]\) satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \) and \( \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \);

(C2) \( 0 < \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1 \).

Suppose that \( \Omega := EP(3) \cap I(A, R) \cap I(B, R) \neq \emptyset \) and the mapping \( W_n \) is defined by \( (2.2) \). Then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( x^* \in \Omega \), where \( x^* = P_{\Omega}(\gamma f(x^*) + (I - A)x^*) \), which solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle \gamma f(x) - Gx, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega.
\]
Corollary 3.7. For any $x_0 \in H$, let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence in $H$ generated by the following iterative algorithm: for any $y \in H$ and $n \geq 1$

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - rFx_n) \rangle &\geq 0, \\
z_n = J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2Bu_n), \\
y_n = J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1Az_n), \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_nf(x_n) + \beta_nx_n + (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n)y_n,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.16)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;

(C2) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$.

Suppose that $\Omega := EP(3) \cap I(A, R) \cap I(B, R) \neq \emptyset$ and the mapping $W_n$ is defined by (2.2). Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in \Omega$, where $x^* = P_{\Omega}f(x^*)$, which solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\langle f(x) - x, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega.
$$

4. Numerical Examples

In this section, we give a real numerical example of the Main Theorem as follows:

Example 4.1. For simplicity, we assume $H = \mathbb{R}$ and $C = [-1,1]$. Let $\Theta(z, y) = -7z^2 + zy + 6y^2$, $F = I$ and $\phi(x) = x^2$. Find $z \in [-1,1]$ such that

$$
\Theta(z, y) + \phi(y) - \phi(z) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - (x - rx) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in [-1,1].
$$

Solution. It can easily be seen that $\Theta$, $\phi$ and $F$ are satisfied the conditions in Theorem 3.1. For any $r > 0$ and $x \in [-1,1]$, by Lemma 2.1 we can see that there exists $z \in [-1,1]$ such that, for any $y \in [-1,1]$,

$$
\Theta(z, y) + \phi(y) - \phi(z) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - (x - rx) \rangle \geq 0,
$$

$$
-7z^2 + 6y^2 + zy + y^2 - z^2 + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - (x - rx) \rangle \geq 0,
$$

$$
7ry^2 + (rz + z - x + rx)y + (-8rz^2 - z^2 + xz - rxz) \geq 0.
$$

Let $H(y) = 7ry^2 + (rz + z - x + rx)y + (-8rz^2 - z^2 + xz - rxz)$. Then $H$ is a quadratic function of $y$ with coefficient $a = 7r$, $b = rz + z - x + rx$ and $c = -8rz^2 - z^2 + xz - rxz$. Therefore, we can compute the discriminant $\Delta$ of $H$ as follows:

\[
\Delta = b^2 - 4ac
\]

\[
= [rz + z - x + rx]^2 - 4(7r)(-8rz^2 - z^2 + xz - rxz)
\]

\[
= 225r^2z^2 + 70rz^2 - 28rxz + 30r^2xz + z^2 - 2zx - 2rx^2 + r^2x^2 + x^2
\]

\[
= (225r^2 + 30r + 1)z^2 + (30r^2 - 28r - 2)zx + (r^2 - 2r + 1)x^2
\]

\[
= (15r + 1)^2z^2 + 2(15r + 1)(r - 1)xz + (r - 1)^2x^2
\]

\[
= [(15r + 1)z + (r - 1)x]^2.
\]

We know that $H(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in [-1,1]$ if it has at most one solution in $[-1,1]$. Thus $\Delta \leq 0$ and hence $z = \left(\frac{1 - r}{15r + 1}\right)x$. Then we have $z = Srx_n = \left(\frac{1 - r}{15r + 1}\right)x_n$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by
\[ x_1 = x \in [-1, 1] \] and
\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y_n) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - (x_n - r F x_n)) & \geq 0, \\
z_n = J_{R,s_2}(u_n - s_2 B u_n), \\
y_n = J_{R,s_1}(z_n - s_1 A z_n), \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n(u + \gamma f(x_n)) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n(I + \nu G)] W_n y_n.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.1)

Assume that \( J_{R,s_1}, J_{R,s_2}, G = I, A x = \frac{x}{3}, B x = \frac{x}{2} \) and \( W_n = \frac{x_n}{r} \).

**Algorithm 1.** Choose \( r = 0.5, \alpha_n = \frac{1}{10 n}, \beta_n = \frac{n}{2 n + 1}, f(x_n) = \frac{1}{10} x_n \) and \( s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \gamma = 1, \nu = \frac{1}{2}, u = 0 \). Then the algorithm (4.1) becomes

\[
\begin{cases}
 u_n = \frac{3}{17} x_n, \\
z_n = \frac{1}{3} u_n, \\
y_n = \frac{2}{9} z_n, \\
x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{100} + \frac{nx_n}{2n + 1} + \frac{n + 1}{2n + 1} y_n - \frac{y_n}{20n}, & \forall n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

(4.2)

We can see that \( x_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \), where 0 is the unique solution of the optimization problem:

\[
\min \frac{7}{10} x^2 + C.
\]

(4.3)

**Algorithm 2.** Choose \( r = 0.5, \alpha_n = \frac{1}{50 n}, \beta_n = \frac{n}{20 n + 5} \). Then the algorithm (4.1) becomes

\[
\begin{cases}
 u_n = \frac{3}{17} x_n, \\
z_n = \frac{1}{3} u_n, \\
y_n = \frac{2}{9} z_n, \\
x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{500} + \frac{nx_n}{20n + 5} + \frac{19n + 5}{20n + 5} y_n - \frac{y_n}{20n}, & \forall n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

(4.4)

**Numerical result.**

In this part we give the numerical results that support our main theorem as shown by calculating and plotting graphs using Matlab 7.11.0.

**Algorithm 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( x_n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.500000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.173518518518519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.070898759380295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.030870860056218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.013904609765372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.006395839590864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.000000000000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.000000000000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.000000000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1.** This table shows the value of sequence \( \{x_n\} \) on each iteration steps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( x_n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.50000000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.023810457516340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00122979105852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000064618469337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00003465087782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00000187506436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0000000000000093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0000000000000005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0000000000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** This figure shows the graph of the above table, we can see that \( x_n \) converges to zero.

**Algorithm 2.**

**Figure 3.** This table shows the value of sequence \( \{x_n\} \) on each iteration steps.

**Figure 4.** This figure shows the graph of the above table, we can see that \( x_n \) converges to zero.
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