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Abstract

The present paper is devoted to the study of fuzzy soft grill structure. The notions of fuzzy soft grill and fuzzy soft grill base are defined and the connections between them are given. Two types of second order image and reimage of fuzzy soft grill base is defined and also some of their properties are observed.
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1. Introduction

In 1999, Molodtsov [16] proposed a completely new concept called soft set theory to model uncertainty, which associates a set with a set of parameters. Later, Maji et al [14] introduced the concept of fuzzy soft set which combines fuzzy sets and soft sets. Soft set and fuzzy soft set theories have a rich potential for applications in several directions. So far, many scholars working in diverse areas have handled the soft set and fuzzy soft set theories in different aspects (see [4,5,11,12,13,15,18]). Also, Aygınoglu et al [7] studied the topological structure of fuzzy soft sets based on the sense of Sostak [19].

The convergence theory of grills provide a good tool for interpreting topological structures, and plays an important role in topology. In general topology, the notion of grill was first proposed by Choquet [10] in 1947, which has been observed as an excellent tool for studying different topological concepts. In fuzzy setting, the concept of fuzzy grills on fuzzy topological spaces was initiated by Azad [2], basically for the study of proximities in fuzzy spaces. Subsequently, Srivastava and Gupta [20] and Chattopadhyay et al [9] investigated fuzzy basic proximity by use of fuzzy grills. Recently, some researchers extended these studies to the broader framework of fuzzy topology. In [8], the authors have studied fuzzy compactness, fuzzy almost compactness etc. via fuzzy grills.

In this paper, we first introduced the notion of fuzzy soft grill and fuzzy soft grill base based on a complete lattice. We gave the relations between fuzzy soft grill and fuzzy soft grill base. Later we considered the second order preimages and the images of fuzzy soft grills and also investigated some of their properties.
2. Preliminaries

Throughout this study, $X$ refers to an initial universe, $L$ and $M$ denote the complete lattice and the completely distributive lattice with the least elements $0_L$, $0_M$ and the greatest elements $1_L$, $1_M$, respectively and there is an order reversing involution $'$ on $L$.

**Definition 2.1** [18] $f$ is called an $L$-fuzzy soft set on $X$, where $f$ is a mapping from $E$ into $L^X$, i.e., $f_e = f(e)$ is an $L$-fuzzy set on $X$, for each $e \in E$. The family of all $L$-fuzzy soft sets on $X$ is denoted by $(L^X)^E$.

**Definition 2.2** [17, 18] Let $f$ and $g$ be two $L$-fuzzy soft set on $X$, then

1. we say that $f$ is an $L$-fuzzy soft subset of $g$ and write $f \subseteq g$ if $f_e \leq g_e$, for each $e \in E$. $f$ and $g$ are called equal if $f \subseteq g$ and $g \subseteq f$.
2. the union of $f$ and $g$ is an $L$-fuzzy soft set $h = f \cup g$, where $h_e = f_e \lor g_e$, for each $e \in E$.
3. the intersection of $f$ and $g$ on $X$ is an $L$-fuzzy soft set $h = f \cap g$, where $h_e = f_e \land g_e$, for each $e \in E$.
4. the complement of an $L$-fuzzy soft set $f$ is denoted by $f'$, where $f': E \rightarrow L^X$ is a mapping given by $f'_e = (f_e)'$, for each $e \in E$.

**Definition 2.3** [18]

1. An $L$-fuzzy soft set $f$ on $X$ is called a null $L$-fuzzy soft set and denoted by $\Phi$, if $f_e(x) = 0$, for each $e \in E, x \in X$.
2. An $L$-fuzzy soft set $f$ on $X$ is called an absolute $L$-fuzzy soft set and denoted by $\bar{E}$, if $f_e(x) = 1$, for each $e \in E, x \in X$. Clearly, $(\bar{E})' = \Phi, \phi' = E$.

**Proposition 2.1** [3] Let $\Delta$ be an index set and $f, g, f_i, g_i \in (L^X)^E$, for all $i \in \Delta$, then we have the following properties:

1. $f \cap (\bigcup_{i \in \Delta} g_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} (f \cap g_i)$ and $f \cup (\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} g_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} (f \cup g_i)$.
2. $(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} f_i)' = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} f_i'$ and $(\bigcup_{i \in \Delta} f_i)' = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} f_i'$.

**Definition 2.4** [6] Let $\varphi: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $\psi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be two functions, where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are parameter sets for the crisp sets $X_1$ and $X_2$, respectively. Then the pair $\varphi_\psi$ is called an $L$-fuzzy soft mapping from $X_1$ to $X_2$.

**Definition 2.5** [6] Let $f$ and $g$ be two $L$-fuzzy soft set on $X_1$ and $X_2$, respectively and let $\varphi_\psi$ be an soft mapping from $X_1$ to $X_2$.

1. The image of $f$ under the soft mapping $\varphi_\psi$, denoted by $\varphi_\psi(f)$, is the an $L$-fuzzy soft set on $X_2$ defined by for all $k \in E_2$, $y \in X_2$,

$$\varphi_\psi(f)_k(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{y=\varphi(x)} \bigwedge_{k=\psi(a)} f_a(x), & \text{if } x \in \varphi^{-1}(y) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. The preimage of $g$ under the soft mapping $\varphi_\psi$, denoted by $\varphi_\psi^{-1}(g)$, is an $L$-fuzzy soft set on $X_1$ denoted by
\[ \varphi^{-1}_\psi(g)_e(x) = g_{\psi(e)}(\varphi(x)), \text{ for all } e \in E_1, \ x \in X_1. \]

If \( \varphi \) and \( \varphi \) are injective (surjective), then \( \varphi \psi \) is said to be injective (surjective).

(3) Let \( \varphi \psi \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy soft mapping from \( X_1 \) to \( X_2 \) and let \( \varphi^* \psi^* \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy soft mapping from \( X_2 \) to \( X_3 \). Then the composition of these mappings from \( X_1 \) to \( X_3 \) is defined as follows:

\[ \varphi \psi \circ \varphi^* \psi^* := (\varphi \circ \varphi^* \psi^*) \psi_{\psi^* \psi}, \text{ where } \psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \text{ and } \varphi^* : E_2 \rightarrow E_3. \]

**Proposition 2.2** [13] Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be two universes, \( f_i \in (L^{X_1})^{E_1} \) and \( g_i \in (L^{X_2})^{E_2} \) for all \( i \in \Delta \), where \( \Delta \) is an index set. Then we have the following:

1. \( \varphi \psi(\bigcup_{i \in \Delta} f_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} \varphi \psi(f_i). \)
2. \( \varphi \psi(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} f_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \varphi \psi(f_i), \text{ the equality holds if } \varphi \psi \text{ is injective.} \)
3. \( \varphi^{-1} \psi(\bigcup_{i \in \Delta} g_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} \varphi^{-1} \psi(g_i) \) and \( \varphi^{-1} \psi(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} g_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \varphi^{-1} \psi(g_i). \)

Throughout this study, let \( E \) and \( K \) be arbitrary nonempty sets viewed on the sets of parameters and \( L, M \) be the complete lattices, unless otherwise stated.

### 3. Fuzzy soft grill structure

**Definition 3.1** A mapping \( G : K \rightarrow M^{(L^X)^E} \) (where \( G_k := G(k) : (L^{X})^E \rightarrow M \) is a mapping for each \( k \in K \)) is called an \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill on \( X \) if it satisfies the following conditions for each \( k \in K \):

1. **SG1** \( G_k(\Phi) = 0_M \) and \( G_k(\bar{E}) = 1_M. \)
2. **SG2** \( G_k(f \cup g) \leq G_k(f) \lor G_k(g), \text{ for each } f, g \in (L^X)^E. \)
3. **SG3** If \( f \subseteq g \), then \( G_k(f) \leq G_k(g). \)

The pair \((X,G)\) is called an \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill space.

Let \( G^1 \) and \( G^2 \) be two \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grills on \( X \). We say \( G^1 \) is finer than \( G^2 \) denoted by \( G^1 \geq G^2 \) or \( G^2 \) is coarser than \( G^1 \) if \( G^1_k(f) \leq G^2_k(f) \) for each \( k \in K \) and \( f \in (L^X)^E. \)

**Notation 3.1** Let \( \mathcal{B} : K \rightarrow M^{(L^X)^E} \) be a mapping, \( k \in K \) and \( f \in (L^X)^E. \) We define the mapping \( \langle B \rangle : K \rightarrow M^{(L^X)^E} \) as follows:

\[ \langle B \rangle_k(f) = \bigwedge \{ B_k(g) \mid f \subseteq g \}. \]

**Definition 3.2** A mapping \( \mathcal{B} : K \rightarrow M^{(L^X)^E} \) is called an \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill base on \( X \), if it satisfies the following conditions for each \( k \in K \):

1. **GB1** \( B_k(\Phi) = 0_M \) and \( B_k(\bar{E}) = 1_M. \)
2. **GB2** \( \langle B \rangle_k(f \cup g) \leq B_k(f) \lor B_k(g), \text{ for each } f, g \in (L^X)^E. \)

Let \( \mathcal{B}^1 \) and \( \mathcal{B}^2 \) be \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill bases on \( X \). We say \( \mathcal{B}^1 \) is finer than \( \mathcal{B}^2 \) if \( \langle B^1 \rangle_k(f) \leq \langle B^2 \rangle_k(f) \) for each \( k \in K \) and \( f \in (L^X)^E. \)

**Remark 3.1** (1) If \( G \) is an \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill on \( X \), then \( G \) is an \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill base on the same set with \( \langle G \rangle = G. \)
(2) If a map $\mathcal{B}: K \to M(L^X)^E$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill base, then by (GB2), $f \cup g = E$ implies $B_k(f) \cup B_k(g) = 1_M$ for each $k \in K$.

**Proposition 3.1** If $\mathcal{B}: K \to M(L^X)^E$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill base, then $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ is the coarsest $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill satisfying $\mathcal{B} \geq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$.

**Proof.** The conditions (SG1) and (SG3) are easily checked. For each $f \subseteq f_1$ and $g \subseteq g_1$, since $f \cup g \subseteq f_1 \cup g_1$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_k(f \cup g) \leq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_k(f_1 \cup g_1) \leq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_k(f_1) \vee \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_k(g_1)$$

for each $k \in K$. Since $M$ is completely distributive, then it can be easily seen that

$$\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_k(f \cup g) \leq B_k(f) \cup B_k(g), \text{ for each } k \in K.$$ 

Hence $\mathcal{B}$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill on $X$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill on $X$ satisfying $\mathcal{B} \geq \mathcal{G}$. So we have

$$\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_k(f) = \bigwedge \{B_k(g) \mid f \subseteq g \} \geq \bigwedge \{G_k(g) \mid f \subseteq g \} = G_k(f).$$

**Theorem 3.1** If $\mathcal{H}: K \to M(L^X)^E$ is a map satisfying the following condition:

(C) $\mathcal{H}_k(\Phi) = 0_M$, for each $k \in K$, and for every finite index set $I$, if $\bigcup_{i \in I} g_i = \Phi$, then $\forall_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_k(g_i) = 1_M$.

Let $\mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}: K \to M(L^X)^E$ be a mapping defined as follows:

$$B^\mathcal{H}_k(f) = \bigwedge \{\mathcal{H}_k(g_i) \mid f = \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i \},$$

where the infimum is taken over for every finite index set $I$ such that $f = \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i$. Then the following properties are satisfied:

(1) $\mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill base on $X$.

(2) If $\mathcal{H} \geq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill base on $X$, then $\langle \mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H} \rangle \geq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$.

**Proof.** (1) (GB1) By the condition (C), $\mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}_k(E) = 1_M$ and $\mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}_k(\Phi) = 0_M$.

(GB2) For each two finite index sets $I$ and $J$ with $f_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i$ and $f_2 = \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j$, since $f_1 \cup f_2 = (\bigcup_{i \in I} g_i) \cup (\bigcup_{j \in J} h_j)$, by the definition of $\mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}$, we have for each $k \in K$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H} \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq \bigwedge \{\mathcal{H}_k(g_i) \mid f = \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i \} \vee \bigwedge \{\mathcal{H}_k(h_j) \mid f = \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j \}.$$ 

Since $M$ is completely distributive, if we take infimum over the families $\{f_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i\}$ and $\{f_2 = \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j\}$, we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H} \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq \mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}_k(f_1) \vee \mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}_k(f_2), \text{ for all } f_1, f_2 \in (L^X)^E.$$ 

Then, $\mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H}$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill base on $X$.

(2) For each finite family $\{g_i \mid f \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i\}$, we have for each $k \in K$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H} \rangle_k(f) \leq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_k(\bigcup_{i \in I} g_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} B_k(g_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_k(g_i).$$

Thus, the desired inequality $\langle \mathcal{B}^\mathcal{H} \rangle \geq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ is obtained.

**Definition 3.3** Let $(X_1, G^1)$ and $(X_2, G^2)$ be an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_1, K_1)$-soft grill space and $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_2, K_2)$-soft grill space, respectively. Then the function $\varphi_{\eta, \xi}: (X_1, G^1) \to (X_2, G^2)$ is said to be:
(1) a grill map iff \( G^2_{\eta(k)}(g) \geq G^1_k \left( \varphi^{-1}_\eta(g) \right) \), for each \( k \in K, g \in (L^{X_2})^{E_2} \).

(2) a grill preserving map iff \( G^1_k(f) \geq G^2_{\eta(k)} \left( \varphi(f) \right) \), for each \( k \in K, f \in (L^{X_1})^{E_1} \).

Naturally the composition of grill maps (and also the grill preserving maps) is a grill map (and also a grill preserving map).

**Proposition 3.2** Let \( B^1 \) and \( B^2 \) be an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E_1, K_1)\)-soft grill base on \( X_1 \) and an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E_2, K_2)\)-soft grill base on \( X_2 \), respectively. Then the following properties are satisfied:

(1) \( \varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X_1, \langle B^1 \rangle) \rightarrow (X_2, \langle B^2 \rangle) \) is a grill map iff \( B^2_{\eta(k)}(g) \geq \langle B^1 \rangle_k \left( \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(g) \right) \), for each \( k \in K, g \in (L^{X_2})^{E_2} \).

(2) \( \varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X_1, \langle B^1 \rangle) \rightarrow (X_2, \langle B^2 \rangle) \) is a grill preserving map iff \( B^1_k(f) \geq \langle B^2 \rangle_{\eta(k)} \left( \varphi_{\psi}(f) \right) \), for each \( k \in K, f \in (L^{X_1})^{E_1} \).

(3) If \( B^2_{\eta(k)}(g) \geq \langle B^1 \rangle_k \left( \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(g) \right) \), for each \( k \in K, g \in (L^{X_2})^{E_2} \), then \( \varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X_1, \langle B^1 \rangle) \rightarrow (X_2, \langle B^2 \rangle) \) is a grill map.

(4) If \( B^1_k(f) \geq \langle B^2 \rangle_{\eta(k)} \left( \varphi_{\psi}(f) \right) \), for each \( k \in K, f \in (L^{X_1})^{E_1} \), then \( \varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X_1, \langle B^1 \rangle) \rightarrow (X_2, \langle B^2 \rangle) \) is a grill preserving map.

**Proof.** Straightforward and therefore omitted.

### 4. Second order image and preimage operators

In this section, we consider the second order preimages and images of fuzzy soft grill bases.

Let the mapping \( U : K_1 \rightarrow M^{(L^{X_1})^{E_1}} \) and \( V : K_2 \rightarrow M^{(L^{X_2})^{E_2}} \) be given.

The basic scheme for the second order image operator as follows:

(1) Image operator of the image operator:
\[
\varphi_{\psi, \eta}^\circ \left( U \right)_k (g) = \bigvee \left\{ U_k (f) \mid f = \varphi_{\psi, \eta} (g) \right\}, \text{ for each } k^* \in K_2, \ g \in (L^{X_2})^{E_2}.
\]

(2) Preimage operator of the image operator:
\[
\varphi_{\psi, \eta}^\circ \left( U \right)_k (g) = \bigvee \left\{ U_k (f) \mid f = \varphi_{\psi, \eta} (g) \right\}, \text{ for each } k^* \in K_2, \ g \in (L^{X_2})^{E_2}.
\]

The basic scheme for the second order preimage operator as follows:

(1) Image operator of the preimage operator:
\[
\varphi_{\psi, \eta}^\circ \left( V \right)_k (f) = \bigvee \left\{ V_{\eta(k)} (g) \mid f = \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1} (g) \right\}, \text{ for each } k \in K_1, \ f \in (L^{X_1})^{E_1}.
\]

(2) Preimage operator of the preimage operator:
\[
\varphi_{\psi, \eta}^\circ \left( V \right)_k (f) = \bigvee \left\{ V_{\eta(k)} (g) \mid f = \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1} (g) \right\}, \text{ for each } k \in K_1, \ f \in (L^{X_1})^{E_1}.
\]

**Theorem 4.1** Let \( B \) be an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E_2, K_2)\)-soft grill base on \( X_2 \). Then we have the following properties:

(1) If \( \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(h) = \Phi \) implies \( B_k \cdot (h) = 0_M \), for each \( k^* \in K_2 \), then \( \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^\circ (B) \) is an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E_1, K_1)\)-soft grill base on \( X_1 \) and \( \langle (\varphi_{\psi, \eta})^\circ (B) \rangle \) is the coarsest \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E_1, K_1)\)-soft grill for which \( \varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X_1, \langle (\varphi_{\psi, \eta})^\circ (B) \rangle) \rightarrow (X_2, \langle B \rangle) \) is a grill map.
(2) If \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are surjective, then \( (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B) \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_1,K_1) \)-soft grill base on \( X_1 \).

**Proof. (1) (GB1)** Since \( \psi^{-1}_2(E_2) = E_1 \), \( (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(E_1) = 1_M \), for each \( k \in K_1 \). By the assumption \( (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(\Phi_1) = 0_M \).

(GB2) Suppose there exist \( f_1, f_2 \in (L^{X_1})_E^1 \) and \( k \in K_1 \) such that
\[
((\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B))_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(f_1) \lor (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(f_2)
\]

By the definition of \( (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(f_i) \), for \( i \in \{1,2\} \), there exist \( h_i \in (L^{X_2})_E^2 \) with \( f_i = \varphi^{-1}_2(h_i) \) such that
\[
((\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B))_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq B_{\eta(k)}(h_1) \lor B_{\eta(k)}(h_2).
\]

Since \( B \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_2,K_2) \)-soft grill base, i.e.,
\[
(B)_k^*(h_1 \cup h_2) \leq B_{k^*}(h_1) \lor B_{k^*}(h_2),
\]
for each \( k^* \in K_2 \), we have
\[
((\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B))_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq (B)_{\eta(k)}(h_1 \cup h_2).
\]

By the definition of \( B \), there exists \( h \in (L^{X_2})^E_2 \) with \( h_1 \cup h_2 \subseteq h \) such that
\[
((\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B))_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq B_{\eta(k)}(h).
\]

On the other hand, \( f_1 \cup f_2 = \varphi^{-1}_2(h_1 \cup h_2) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}_2(h) \). So,
\[
((\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B))_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq B_{\eta(k)}(h).
\]

It is a contradiction. Hence \( (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B) \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_1,K_1) \)-soft grill base on \( X_1 \).

Let \( \varphi, \psi: (X_1,G) \rightarrow (X_2,B) \) be a grill map. For each \( f \in (L^{X_1})_E^1 \) and \( k \in K_1 \), we have
\[
((\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B))_k(f) = \lambda\{B_{\eta(k)}(g) \mid f \subseteq \varphi^{-1}_2(g)\} \supseteq \lambda\{G_k(\varphi^{-1}_2(g)) \mid f \subseteq \varphi^{-1}_2(g)\} \supseteq G_k(f).
\]

(2) Since \( \varphi, \psi \) are surjective, \( \varphi^{-1}_2(h) = \Phi \) implies \( h = \Phi \). So, \( B_{k^*}(\Phi) = 0_M \) for each \( k^* \in K_2 \). By condition (1), it is straightforward.

**Theorem 4.2** Let \( B \) be an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_2,K_2) \)-soft grill on \( X_2 \). If \( \varphi^{-1}_2(h) = \Phi \) implies \( B_{k^*}(h) = 0_M \), for each \( k^* \in K_2 \) and also \( \varphi, \psi \) are bijective functions, then \( (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B) \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_1,K_1) \)-soft grill on \( X_1 \).

**Proof.** (SG1) and (SG2) are obvious.

(SG3) Let \( f \subseteq g \), for \( f, g \in (L^{X_1})_E^1 \). Since \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are surjective, then there exists \( h \in (L^{X_2})_E^2 \) with \( \varphi^{-1}_2(h) = f \) and \( g = f \cup g = \varphi^{-1}_2(h) \cup \varphi^{-1}_2(\varphi(g)) = \varphi^{-1}_2(h \cup \varphi(g)) \). This implies
\[
(\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(g) \geq B_{\eta(k)}(h \cup \varphi(g)) \geq B_{\eta(k)}(h).
\]

Hence, \( (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(g) \geq (\varphi \circ \eta)_1^e(B)_k(f) \).

**Theorem 4.3** Let \( \varphi_i: X \rightarrow X_i, \psi_i: E \rightarrow E_i \) and \( \eta_i: K \rightarrow K_i \) be functions for all \( i \in \Gamma \). Let \( \{B_i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \) be a family of \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_i,K_i) \)-soft grill bases on \( X_i \) satisfying the following condition:

(C) For every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \), if \( \cup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i^{-1}(h_i)) = E \), then \( \vee_{i \in I} B_{k^*}(h_i) = 1_M \), for each \( k^* \in K_i \). We define a map
\[ B := \bigcup_{i \in I} \left( \left( (\varphi_{\psi_{\eta}})_i \right)^{-1} (B^i) \right) : K \to M^{(I)} X^E \] as
\[ B_k(f) = \bigwedge \left\{ v_{i \in I} B^i_{\eta_i(k)}(h_i) \mid f = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_i(h_i) \right\}, \]

where the infimum is taken for every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \) such that \( f = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_i(h_i) \). Then the following properties are satisfied:

1. \( B \) is an \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill base on \( X \) and \( \langle B \rangle \) is the coarsest \((L,M)\)-fuzzy \((E,K)\)-soft grill for which \((\varphi_{\psi_{\eta}})_i : (X,\langle B \rangle) \to (X_i,\langle B^i \rangle) \) is a grill map for all \( i \in I \).

2. A map \( \varphi_{\psi_{\eta}} : (Y,G) \to (X,\langle B \rangle) \) is a grill map iff for each \( i \in I \), \((\varphi_{\psi_{\eta}})_i \circ \varphi_{\psi_{\eta}} : (Y,G) \to (X_i,\langle B^i \rangle) \) is a grill map.

3. \( \langle B \rangle = \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} \left( \left( (\varphi_{\psi_{\eta}})_i \right)^{-1} \right) \rangle \langle \langle B^i \rangle \rangle \).

**Proof.** (1) (GB1) By condition (C) and \((\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_i(E_X) = \hat{E}_X, B_k(E_X) = 1_M \) and \( B_k(\Phi_X) = 0_M \), for each \( k \in K \).

(GB2) Suppose there exist \( f_1, f_2 \in (L^X)^E \) such that \( \langle B \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq B_k(f_1) \lor B_k(f_2) \). By the definition of \( B_k(f_1) \), there exists a finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \) with
\[ f_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_i(h_i) \]
such that
\[ \langle B \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq \left( v_{i \in I} B^i_{\eta_i(k)}(h_i) \right) \lor B_k(f_2). \]

Again by the definition of \( B_k(f_2) \), there exists a finite subset \( J \) of \( \Gamma \) with
\[ f_2 = \bigcup_{j \in J} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_j(g_j) \]
such that
\[ \langle B \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq \left( v_{i \in I} B^i_{\eta_i(k)}(h_i) \right) \lor \left( v_{j \in J} B^j_{\eta_j(k)}(g_j) \right). \]

Put for \( m \in I \cup J \),
\[ p_m = h_m, \text{ if } m \in I \setminus (I \cap J); \quad p_m = g_m, \text{ if } m \in J \setminus (I \cap J); \quad p_m = h_m \lor g_m, \text{ if } m \in (I \cap J). \]

Since for each \( m \in I \cap J \);
\[ \langle B^m \rangle_k(h_m \lor g_m) \leq B^m_k(h_m) \lor B^m_k(g_m). \]

Then we have
\[ \langle B \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq \left( v_{m \in (I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)} B^m_{\eta_m(k)}(p_m) \right) \lor \left( v_{m \in (I \cap J)} B^m_{\eta_m(k)}(h_m \lor g_m) \right). \]

From the definition of \( \langle B^m \rangle_{\eta_m(k)} \), there exists \( q_m \in (L^{X_m})^E \) with \( h_m \lor g_m \leq q_m \) such that
\[ \langle B \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq \left( v_{m \in (I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)} B^m_{\eta_m(k)}(p_m) \right) \lor \left( v_{m \in (I \cap J)} B^m_{\eta_m(k)}(q_m) \right). \]

On the other hand,
\[ f_1 \cup f_2 = \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_i(h_i) \right) \lor \left( \bigcup_{j \in J} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_j(g_j) \right) \]
\[ f_1 \cup f_2 \leq \left( \bigcup_{m \in (I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_m(p_m) \right) \lor \left( \bigcup_{m \in (I \cap J)} (\varphi_{\psi})^{-1}_m(q_m) \right), \]

and since \( I \cap J \) is finite,
\[ \langle B \rangle_k(f_1 \cup f_2) \leq \left( v_{m \in (I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)} B^m_{\eta_m(k)}(p_m) \right) \lor \left( v_{m \in (I \cap J)} B^m_{\eta_m(k)}(q_m) \right). \]
It is a contradiction. Hence \( \mathcal{B} \) is an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill base on \( X \).

(2) Necessity of the composition condition is clear, since the composition of grill maps is a grill map. Conversely, for each finite index set \( I \) with \( g \in \cup_{i \in I} (\varphi_{\eta_i})^{-1}(h_i) \), since for each \( i \in I \), \((\varphi_{\eta_i}) \circ \varphi_{\eta_i}: (Y, G) \to (X_i, \mathcal{B}^i)\) is a grill map, where \((Y, G')\) is an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E^*, K^*)\)-soft grill, we have

\[
(\mathcal{B}^i)_{(\eta, \eta^*)}^{(k^*)}(h_i) \geq G^i_{k^*}(\varphi_{\eta^*}^{-1}(\varphi_{\eta^*})(h_i))
\]

For each \( k^* \in K^* \). Since \( \varphi_{\eta^*}^{-1}(g) \subseteq \varphi_{\eta^*}^{-1} \left( \cup_{i \in I} (\varphi_{\eta_i})^{-1}(h_i) \right) \), we have

\[
G^i_{k^*}(\varphi_{\eta^*}^{-1}(g)) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} G^i_{k^*} \left( \varphi_{\eta^*}^{-1}(\varphi_{\eta^*})(h_i) \right) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} (\mathcal{B}^i)_{\eta_i}^{(k^*)}(h_i) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i_{\eta_i}^{(k^*)}(h_i).
\]

By the definition of \( \mathcal{B} \), we have

\[
(\mathcal{B})_{\eta(k^*)}(g) \geq G^i_{k^*}(\varphi_{\eta^*}^{-1}(g)), \text{ for each } k^* \in K^*.
\]

(3) Put \( \mathcal{G} = \cup_{i \in I} \left( \left( \left( \varphi_{\eta_i} \right) \right) \right) \left( \left( \left( \mathcal{B}^i \right) \right) \right) \), by applying (1) to both \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( \mathcal{G} \), we get the related equality.

**Corollary 4.1** Let \( \{\mathcal{B}^i\}_{i \in I} \) be a family of \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill bases on \( X \) satisfying the following condition:

(C) For every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \), if \( \cup_{i \in I} f_i = E_X \), then \( \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i_{K^i}(f_i) = 1_M \), for all \( k \in K \).

We define a map \( U_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i: K \to M(\mathcal{X})^E \) as follows:

\[
U_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i_{K^i}(g) = \Lambda \{ \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i_{K^i}(g_i) \mid g = \cup_{i \in I} g_i \},
\]

where the infimum is taken for every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \) such that \( g = \cup_{i \in I} g_i \). Then \( U_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i \) is an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill base on \( X \) and \( U_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i \) is the coarsest \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill finer than \( \mathcal{B}^i \) for each \( i \in I \).

**Corollary 4.2** Let \( X = \Pi_{i \in I} X_i \) be a product set, \( p_i: X \to X_i, q_i: E \to E_i \) and \( \gamma_i: K \to K_i \) be projection functions, for all \( i \in I \). Let \( \{\mathcal{B}^i\}_{i \in I} \) be a family of \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E_i, K_i)\)-soft grill bases on \( X_i \) satisfying the following condition:

(C) For every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \), if \( \cup_{i \in I} (p_{q_i})_i^{-1}(h_i) = E_X \), then \( \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i_{K^i}(h_i) = 1_M \), for all \( k^* \in K_i \).

We define a map \( \mathcal{B} \) from \( K \) to \( M(\mathcal{X})^E \) as follows:

\[
\mathcal{B}_{K^i}(g) = \Lambda \{ \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}^i_{\gamma_i(k^*)}(g_i) \mid g = \cup_{i \in I} (p_{q_i})_i^{-1}(g_i) \},
\]

where the infimum is taken for every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \) such that \( g = \cup_{i \in I} (p_{q_i})_i^{-1}(g_i) \). Then the followings are valid:

(1) \( \mathcal{B} \) is an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill base on \( X \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) is the coarsest \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill on \( X \) for which \( (p_{q_i})_i: (X, \mathcal{B}) \to (X_i, \mathcal{B}^i) \) is a grill map.

(2) \( \varphi_{\eta_i}(Y, G') \to (X, \mathcal{B}) \) is a grill map if and only if for each \( i \in I \), \((p_{q_i})_i \circ \varphi_{\eta_i}(Y, G') \to (X_i, \mathcal{B}^i) \) is a grill map.
In Corollary 4.2, the structure $\mathcal{B}$ is called the product $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E, K)$-soft grill on $X$.

**Proposition 4.1** Let $\varphi: X_1 \to X_2$ and $\psi: E_1 \to E_2$ be bijective functions and let $\mathcal{B}$ be an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_1, K_1)$-soft grill base on $X_1$. Then we have the following properties:

1. $(\varphi \circ \psi) \circ (\mathcal{B})$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_2, K_2)$-soft grill base on $X_2$ and $(\varphi \circ \psi \circ (\mathcal{B}))$ is the coarsest $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_2, K_2)$-soft grill for which $\varphi \circ \psi: (X_1, \mathcal{B}) \to (X_2, (\varphi \circ \psi \circ (\mathcal{B})))$ is a grill preserving map.

2. $(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_1, K_1)$-soft grill base on $X_1$ with $(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$.

**Proof.**

(GB1) is obvious.

(GB2) Suppose there exist $h_1, h_2 \in (L^{X_2})^{E_1}$ and $k^* \in K_2$ such that

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{k^*}(h_1 \cup h_2) \neq (\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{k^*}(h_1) \cup (\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{k^*}(h_2).$$

By the definition of $(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})$ for each $k \in K_2$ with $k^* = \eta(k)$ we have

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{\eta(k)}(h_1 \cup h_2) \nleq \mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h_1)) \cup \mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h_2)).$$

Since $\mathcal{B}$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_2, K_2)$-soft grill base, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h_1)) \nleq \mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h_2)).$$

Hence, we get

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{\eta(k)}(h_1 \cup h_2) \nleq \mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h_1)) \cup \mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h_2)).$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{B}$, there exists $g \in (L^{X_1})^{E_1}$ with $h_1 \cup h_2 \subseteq g$ such that

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{\eta(k)}(h_1 \cup h_2) \nleq \mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(g)).$$

Since $h_1 \cup h_2 = \varphi_\psi(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h_1 \cup h_2)) \subseteq \varphi_\psi(g)$ and $\varphi_\psi$ is injective,

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{\eta(k)}(h_1 \cup h_2) \nleq (\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{\eta(k)}(\varphi_\psi(g)) = \mathcal{B}_{k}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(\varphi_\psi(g))).$$

Hence, we have

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{\eta(k)}(h_1 \cup h_2) \leq \mathcal{B}_{k}(g).$$

It is a contradiction. Hence $(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_2, K_2)$-soft grill base on $X_2$. Other cases are similarly proved as in Theorem 4.1 (1).

(2) From the condition of Theorem 4.1 (1), we have $\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h) = \Phi$ implies

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})_{k^*}(h) = \mathcal{B}_{k^*}(\varphi^{-1}_\psi(h)) = 0_M.$$

Thus, $(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B})$ is an $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_1, K_1)$-soft grill base on $X_1$. By an easy assumption the equality $(\varphi \circ \psi)^\circ (\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$ is obtained.

**Theorem 4.4** Let $\varphi: X_i \to X$ and $\psi: E_i \to E$ be injective functions for all $i \in \Gamma$. Let $\{\mathcal{B}_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ be a family of $(L, M)$-fuzzy $(E_i, K_i)$-soft grill bases on $X_i$ satisfying the following condition:
(C) For every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \), if \( \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i = \tilde{E} \), then \( \nu_{i \in I} \left( (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i \right) \supseteq (B_i)^*_{k^*}(g_i) = 1_M \), for each \( k^* \in K_i \).

Let \( B: K \to M(\mathcal{L}^X_E) \) be a mapping defined as follows.

\[
B_k(g) = \bigwedge \left\{ \nu_{i \in I} \left( (\varphi_{\psi})_i \right) \supseteq (B_i)^*_{k^*}(g_i) \mid g = \bigcup_{i \in I} g_i \right\}.
\]

where the infimum is taken for every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \). Then the following properties are satisfied.

1. \( B \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E,K) \)-soft grill base on \( X \) and \( (B) \) is the coarsest \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E,K) \)-soft grill for which \( (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i : (X_i, (B_i)) \to (X, (B)) \) is a grill preserving map.

2. \( \varphi_{\psi,\eta} : (X, (B)) \to (Y, \mathcal{G}) \) is a grill preserving map if \( \varphi_{\psi,\eta} \circ (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i : (X_i, (B_i)) \to (Y, \mathcal{G}) \) is a grill preserving map for each \( i \in \Gamma \).

Proof. (1) From Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 4.1, \( B \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E,K) \)-soft grill base on \( X \). Since \( (\varphi_{\psi})_i \) is injective for all \( i \in \Gamma \),

\[
B_{\eta_i(k_i)} \left( (\varphi_{\psi})_i(f_i) \right) \leq \left( (\varphi_{\psi})_i \right) \supseteq (B_i)_{\eta_i(k_i)} \left( (\varphi_{\psi})_i(f_i) \right) = B_{k_i}^i \left( (\varphi_{\psi})_i^{-1} \left( (\varphi_{\psi})_i(f_i) \right) \right) = B_{k_i}^i(f_i).
\]

Hence \( (\varphi_{\psi})_i \) is a grill preserving map for each \( i \in \Gamma \). Other cases are similarly proved as in Theorem 4.3 (1).

(2) It is similarly proved as in Theorem 4.3 (2).

Theorem 4.5 Let \( \varphi: X_1 \to X_2, \psi: E_1 \to E_2 \) be surjective functions and \( B \) be an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_1,K_1) \)-soft grill base on \( X_1 \). Then we have the following properties:

1. \( (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_2,K_2) \)-soft grill base on \( X_2 \).

2. \( (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) \) is the coarsest \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_2,K_2) \)-soft grill on \( X_2 \) for which \( \varphi_{\psi,\eta} : (X_1, (B)) \to (X_2, (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B)) \).

3. If \( \varphi_{\psi} \) is bijective and \( B \) is an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_1,K_1) \)-soft grill on \( X_1 \), then \( (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) = (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) \).

Proof. (1) Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 (1).

(2) Clear from the equality \( (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) )_{k^*} \left( \tilde{E}_{X_2} \right) = V_{k^* = \eta(k)} B_k \left( \tilde{E}_{X_1} \right) = 1_M \).

(3) Let \( \varphi_{\psi} \) be bijective and let \( B \) be an \( (L,M) \)-fuzzy \( (E_1,K_1) \)-soft grill on \( X_1 \) Since \( h \subseteq \varphi_{\psi}(f) \) iff \( \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(h) \subseteq f \) for which \( h \in (L^X_E)^2 \), we have

\[
(\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) )_{k^*} \left( h \right) = \bigwedge \left\{ (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) )_{k^*} \left( g \right) \mid g \subseteq h \right\} = \bigwedge \left\{ V_{k^* = \eta(k)} B_k (f) \mid f \subseteq g = \varphi_{\psi}(f) \right\}
\]

\[
= \bigwedge \left\{ V_{k^* = \eta(k)} B_k (f) \mid \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(h) \subseteq f \right\} = V_{k^* = \eta(k)} B_k \left( \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(h) \right) = (\varphi_{\psi,\eta})_i^{\supseteq} (B) )_{k^*} \left( h \right).
\]
Theorem 4.6 Let \( \varphi_i: X_i \to X, \psi_i: E_i \to E \) and \( \eta_i: K_i \to K \) be functions for each \( i \in \Gamma \). Let \( \{B^i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \) be a family of \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E_i, K_i)\)-soft grill bases on \( X_i \) satisfying the following condition:

(C) For every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \), if \( \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i) = \tilde{E}_X \), then \( \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i_k \cdot (g_i) = 1_M \) for each \( k^* \in K_i \).

We define a mapping \( \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i) \cdot K \to M(L^X)^E \) as

\[
\left( \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i) \cdot K \right) (h) = \bigwedge \left\{ \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{k = \eta_i(k^*)} B^i_k \cdot (g_i) \mid h = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i) \right\},
\]

where the infimum is taken for every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \). Then the following properties are satisfied:

1. If \( \varphi_j \) and \( \psi_j \) are surjective for some \( j \in \Gamma \), then \( B = \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i) \) is an \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill base on \( X \) and \( \langle B \rangle \) is the coarsest \((L, M)\)-fuzzy \((E, K)\)-soft grill for which \((\varphi_i \psi_i)_i : (X_i, \langle B^i \rangle) \to (X, \langle B \rangle) \) is a grill preserving map.

2. A map \( \varphi_i \psi_i : (X, \langle B \rangle) \to (Y, G) \) is a grill preserving map if and only if \( \varphi_i \psi_i \circ (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i : (X_i, \langle B^i \rangle) \to (Y, G) \) is a grill preserving map for each \( i \in \Gamma \).

3. If \( \varphi_j \) and \( \psi_j \) are surjective for all \( j \in \Gamma \), then \( \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i) \).

Proof. (1) (GB1) Since \( \varphi_j \) and \( \psi_j \) are surjective for some \( j \in \Gamma \) and \( (C) \), \( B_k(\tilde{E}_X) = 1_M \) and \( B_k(\Phi_X) = 0_M \). The other cases are similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3(1).

(2) Similarly proved as in Theorem 4.3(2).

(3) We show that the following condition \( (C1) \) and \( (C) \) are equivalent:

\( (C1) \) For every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \), if \( \bigcup_{i \in I} h_i = \tilde{E}_X \), then \( \bigvee_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \eta_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i_k \cdot (h_i) = 1_M \) for each \( k \in K \).

\( (C1) \Rightarrow (C) \): For every finite subset \( I \) of \( \Gamma \), if \( \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i) = \tilde{E}_X \), by \( (C1) \),

\[
1_M = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{k = \eta_i(k^*)} B^i_k \cdot (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{k = \eta_i(k^*)} B^i_k \cdot (g_i).
\]

\( (C) \Rightarrow (C1) \): If \( \bigvee_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i_k \cdot (h_i) \neq 1_M \), for each \( i \in I \), there exists \( g_i \in (L^X)^E \) with \( h_i = (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i) \) such that

\[
\bigvee_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i_k \cdot (h_i) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{k = \eta_i(k^*)} B^i_k \cdot (g_i) \neq 1_M.
\]

By \( (C) \), \( \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} h_i \neq \tilde{E}_X \).

For each finite index set \( I \) with \( \{g_i \mid h \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i)\} \), by the definition of \( \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i \rangle \), we have

\[
\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i \bigvee_{i \in I} B^i \rangle (h) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{k = \eta_i(k^*)} B^i_k \cdot \bigcup_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \psi_i)_i (g_i) \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{k = \eta_i(k^*)} B^i_k \cdot (g_i).
\]
Hence $\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\phi_{\eta_i})_i) \rangle \supseteq \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\phi_{\eta_i})_i) \rangle \subseteq \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\phi_{\eta_i})_i) \rangle$.

For each finite index set $J$ with $\{h_j \mid p \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j \}$, since $\phi_j$ and $\psi_j$ are surjective for each $j \in J$, there exists $p_j \in (X^J)^J$ with $(\phi_{\psi_j})_{p_j} = h_j$ such that $p \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\phi_{\eta_i})_i)(p_j)$. Thus

$$\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\phi_{\eta_i})_i) \rangle \supseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} (\phi_{\psi_j})_k (p_j) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} (\phi_{\psi_j})_k (h_j) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} \bigcup_{k \in \eta(J^*)} (\phi_{\psi_j})_k (p_j).$$

Hence $\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\phi_{\eta_i})_i) \rangle \supseteq \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\phi_{\eta_i})_i) \rangle$.

5. Conclusion

In general topology (also in fuzzy topology), the concept of grill has been observed as an excellent tool for studying different topological structures. So, we found it reasonable to extend the notions of grill and grill base, which were introduced by Abbas [1], to the fuzzy soft case. In addition, we described the second order image and preimage operators of fuzzy soft grill base and discussed some of their structural characteristics.
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