

The Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Vol .1 No.3 (2010) 142-151

# Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered ε-chainable Metric spaces

Bessem Samet <sup>1\*</sup> and Habib Yazidi <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Departement de Mathematiques, Ecole Superieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis 5 Avenue Taha-Hussein, B.P. :56, Bab Menara-1008, Tunisie
- <sup>2</sup> Departement de Mathematiques, Ecole Superieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis
   5 Avenue Taha-Hussein, B.P. :56, Bab Menara-1008, Tunisie

Received: December 2009, Revised: March 2010 Online Publication: August 2010

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of partially ordered  $\varepsilon$ -chainable metric spaces and we derive new coupled fixed point theorems for uniformly locally contractive mappings on such spaces.

**Keywords:** Coupled fixed point, ε-chainable, uniformly locally contractive, partially ordered set, mixed monotone property

AMS Subject Classifications MSC: 54H25; 47H10 \*Corresponding author's email address: <u>bessem.samet@gmail.com</u>

## 1. Introduction

The Banach fixed point theorem [4] is a simple and powerful theorem with a wide range of applications, including iterative methods for solving linear, nonlinear, differential, and integral equations. This theorem has been generalized and extended by many authors in various ways; see ([1-3], [5]-[24]) and others.

Recently, Ran and Reurings [20], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [9], Nieto and Lopez [18], Agarwal, El-Gebeily and O'Regan [1] and Lakshmikantham and Ciric [11] presented some new results for contractions in partially ordered metric spaces (see also [3], [5], [6], [10], [12-17], [19], [21]). For a given partially ordered set X, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham in [9] introduced the concept of coupled fixed point of a mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ . Later in [11] Lakshmikantham and Ciric investigated some more coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered sets. Very recently, Samet [21] extended the results of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [9] to mappings satisfying a generalized Meir-Keeler contractive condition.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of partially ordered  $\varepsilon$ -chainable metric spaces and we derive new coupled fixed point theorems for uniformly locally contractive mappings on such spaces. To begin, we first recall some definitions given in [9] which will be used in this work.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F : X \times X \to X$  be a given mapping. We say that *F* has the mixed monotone property if for any  $x, y \in X$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1, x_2 &\in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \Longrightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y) \\ y_1, y_2 &\in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Longrightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let *X* be a non-empty set and  $F: X \times X \to X$  be a given mapping. We say that  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is a coupled fixed point of *F* if: F(x, y) = x and F(y, x) = y.

Now, we introduce the following definitions.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric d and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We say that X is  $\varepsilon$  -chainable with respect to the partial order  $\leq$  on X, if for any two points  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq b$ , there exists a finite set of points:

$$a = \alpha_0 \le \alpha_1 \le \dots \le \alpha_{n-1} \le \alpha_n = b$$

such that  $d(\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i) < \varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric *d* and  $F: X \times X \to X$  be a given mapping. We say that *F* is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive if:

$$\frac{d(x,u) + d(y,v)}{2} < \varepsilon \Longrightarrow d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) < \frac{\lambda}{2} [d(x,u) + d(y,v)], \forall x \ge u, \forall y \le v, \forall y \in v,$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ .

Through this paper, we will use the following notations. Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric d and  $F: X \times X \to X$  be a given mapping.

• We endow the product space  $X \times X$  with the partial order  $\leq$  defined by:

$$(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X, (u, v) \le (x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \ge u, y \le v.$$

• We endow the product space  $X \times X$  with the metric  $\eta$  defined by:

$$\eta((x, y), (u, v)) = d(x, u) + d(y, v), \forall (x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X.$$

• For all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , we denote:

$$F^{0}(x, y) = x$$
,  $F^{1}(x, y) = F(x, y)$ ,  $F^{m+1}(x, y) = F(F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(y, x)) \quad \forall m \in N$ .

Here, *N* is the set of all positive integers.

### 2. Main results

The following lemma is the principal tool used to prove the main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric *d* and  $F: X \times X \to X$  be a given mapping. We assume that

1. *X* is  $\varepsilon$  -chainable with respect to the partial order  $\leq$  on *X* ,

- 2. *F* has the mixed monotone property,
- 3. *F* is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive mapping,
- 4.  $\exists (a,b), (a^*, b^*) \in X \times X$  such that  $a \le b$  and  $a^* \ge b^*$ .

Then,

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} \eta((F^{m}(a, a^{*}), F^{m}(a^{*}a)), (F^{m}(b; b^{*}), F^{m}(b^{*}, b))) = 0.$$
(1)

Moreover, we have:

$$\eta((F^{m}(a,a^{*}),F^{m}(a^{*}a)),(F^{m}(b;b^{*}),F^{m}(b^{*},b))) < 2n\lambda^{m}, \forall m.$$

**Proof.** Since *X* is  $\varepsilon$  -chainable, there exist  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in X$  and  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in X$  such that

$$\begin{cases} a = \alpha_0 \le \alpha_1 \le \dots \le \alpha_{n-1} \le \alpha_n = b \\ d(\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i) < \varepsilon, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{cases}$$
(2)

and

$$\begin{cases} b^* = \beta_n \le \beta_{n-1} \le \dots \le \beta_1 \le \beta_0 = a^* \\ d(\beta_{i-1}, \beta_i) < \varepsilon, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$
(3)

From (2)-(3) and using the mixed monotone property of F , we can show easily that for all i , we have:

$$F^{m}(\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}) \ge F^{m}(\alpha_{i-1},\beta_{i-1}) \text{ and } F^{m}(\beta_{i},\alpha_{i}) \le F^{m}(\beta_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1}) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(4)

Now, we claim that for all  $m \in N$  , we have:

$$d(F^{m}(\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}),F^{m}(\alpha_{i-1},\beta_{i-1})) < \lambda^{m}\varepsilon \text{ and } d(F^{m}(\beta_{i},\alpha_{i}),F^{m}(\beta_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1})) < \lambda^{m}\varepsilon.$$
(5)

To prove (5), we will argue by induction. This result is trivial for m = 0. Let us check that (5) is true for m = 1. From (2)-(3), we get:

$$\frac{d(\alpha_i,\alpha_{i-1})+d(\beta_i,\beta_{i-1})}{2} < \varepsilon, \quad \frac{d(\beta_{i-1},\beta_i)+d(\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_i)}{2} < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i-1}$ ,  $\beta_i \leq \beta_{i-1}$  and F is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive, we obtain:

$$d(F (\alpha_i, \beta_i), F (\alpha_{i-1}, \beta_{i-1})) < \lambda \varepsilon \text{ and } d(F (\beta_i, \alpha_i), F (\beta_{i-1}, \alpha_{i-1})) < \lambda \varepsilon.$$

Then, (5) is true for m = 1. Now, assume that (5) holds for a given  $m \in N$ . Let us prove that (5) holds also for m+1.

Since (5) holds for m, we get:

$$\frac{d(F^{m}(\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}),F^{m}(\alpha_{i-1},\beta_{i-1}))+d(F^{m}(\beta_{i},\alpha_{i}),F^{m}(\beta_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1}))}{2}<\lambda^{m}\varepsilon$$

$$\frac{d(F^{m}(\beta_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1}),F^{m}(\beta_{i},\alpha_{i}))+d(F^{m}(\alpha_{i-1},\beta_{i-1}),F^{m}(\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}))}{2}<\lambda^{m}\varepsilon.$$

Then, from (4) and since *F* is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive, we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} d(F(F^{m}(\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}),F^{m}(\beta_{i},\alpha_{i})),F(F^{m}(\alpha_{i-1},\beta_{i-1}),F^{m}(\beta_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1}))) < \lambda^{m+1}\varepsilon \\ d(F(F^{m}(\beta_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1}),F^{m}(\alpha_{i-1},\beta_{i-1})),F(F^{m}(\beta_{i},\alpha_{i}),F^{m}(\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}))) < \lambda^{m+1}\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

which implies that (5) holds for m+1. Then, (5) holds for all  $m \in N$ . Now, using the triangular inequality and (5), we get:

$$d(F^{m}(a,a^{*}),F^{m}(b,b^{*})) \leq d(F^{m}(\alpha_{0},\beta_{0}),F^{m}(\alpha_{1},\beta_{1})) + \dots + d(F^{m}(\alpha_{n-1},\beta_{n-1}),F^{m}(\alpha_{n},\beta_{n})) < n\lambda^{m}\varepsilon$$
(6)

Similarly, one can show that

$$d(F^{m}(a^{*},a),F^{m}(b^{*},b)) < n\lambda^{m}\varepsilon.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Combining (6) and (7) and using that  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , we obtain:

$$\eta((F^{m}(a,a^{*}),F^{m}(a^{*}a)),(F^{m}(b;b^{*}),F^{m}(b^{*},b))) < 2n\lambda^{m} \to 0 \text{ as } m \to +\infty.$$

This makes end to the proof.

Now, we are able to prove some theorems. We start by studying the existence of a coupled fixed point. Our first result is the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric d such that (X, d) is complete. Let  $F : X \times X \to X$  be a given mapping. We assume that

- 1. *X* is  $\varepsilon$  -chainable with respect to the partial order  $\leq$  on *X* ,
- 2. *F* is continuous,
- 3. *F* has the mixed monotone property,
- 4. *F* is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive mapping,
- 5.  $\exists x_0, y_0 \in X \text{ such that } x_0 \le F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \ge F(y_0, x_0).$

Then, F admits a coupled fixed point.

**Proof.** Let us define the sequences  $\{x_m\}$  and  $\{y_m\}$  in X by :

$$\begin{cases} x_{m+1} = F^{m+1}(x_0, y_0) = F(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^m(y_0, x_0)), \\ y_{m+1} = F^{m+1}(y_0, x_0) = F(F^m(y_0, x_0), F^m(x_0, y_0)). \end{cases}$$

By taking  $(a,b) = (x_0, F(x_0, y_0)) = (x_0, x_1)$  and  $(a^*, b^*) = (y_0, F(y_0, x_0)) = (y_0, y_1)$ , we show that all the hypotheses required by Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Hence,

$$A_{m} \coloneqq \eta((F^{m}(x_{0}, y_{0}), F^{m}(y_{0}, x_{0})), (F^{m}(x_{1}, y_{1}), F^{m}(y_{1}, x_{1}))) < 2n\lambda^{m}\varepsilon.$$
(8)

Now, we will show that  $\{x_m\}$  and  $\{y_m\}$  are Cauchy sequences in X . We have :

$$d(x_m, x_{m+1}) = d(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^{m+1}(x_0, y_0)) = d(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^m(x_1, y_1)) \le A_m$$

Then, from (8), it follows immediately that  $\{x_m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Similarly, we have :

$$d(y_m, y_{m+1}) = d(F^m(y_0, x_0), F^{m+1}(y_0, x_0)) = d(F^m(y_0, x_0), F^m(y_1, x_1)) \le A_m$$

and  $\left\{ y_{m}\right\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence in X .

Now, since (X, d) is a complete metric space, there exists  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  such that

$$x_m \xrightarrow{d} x$$
 and  $y_m \xrightarrow{d} y$  as  $m \to +\infty$ . (9)

With the continuity of F , (9) implies that

$$F(x_m, y_m) \xrightarrow{d} F(x, y) \text{ and } F(y_m, x_m) \xrightarrow{d} F(y, x) \text{ as } m \to +\infty.$$
 (10)

Using the triangular inequality, (9) and (10), we obtain:

$$d(x, F(x, y)) \le d(x, x_{m+1}) + d(F(x_m, y_m), F(x, y)) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to +\infty.$$

This emplies that F(x, y) = x. Similarly, we have :

$$d(y, F(y, x)) \le d(y, y_{m+1}) + d(F(y_m, x_m), F(y, x)) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to +\infty.$$

Then, F(y, x) = y. Finally, (x, y) is a coupled fixed point of F. This makes end to the proof.

As it is showed in [9], if we require that the underlying metric space X has an additional property, the previous result is still valid for F not necessarily continuous. We discuss this in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric d such that (X, d) is complete. Let  $F : X \times X \to X$  be a given mapping. We assume that

- 1. *X* is  $\varepsilon$  -chainable with respect to the partial order  $\leq$  on *X* ,
- 2. if  $\{x_m\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that  $x_m \xrightarrow{d} x \operatorname{as} m \to +\infty$ , then  $x_m \leq x$  for all m,
- 3. if  $\{y_m\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence in *X* such that  $y_m \xrightarrow{d} y$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $y_m \ge y$  for all *m*,
- 4. *F* has the mixed monotone property,
- 5. *F* is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive mapping,
- 6.  $\exists x_0, y_0 \in X \text{ such that } x_0 \le F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \ge F(y_0, x_0).$

Then, F admits a coupled fixed point.

**Proof.** Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have only to show that (x, y) is a coupled fixed point of *F*. Let p > 1. From 1 and 2, there exists  $m(p) \in N$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d(x, x_{m(p)}) + d(y, y_{m(p)})}{2} = \frac{d(y_{m(p)}, y) + d(x_{m(p)}, x)}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{p} (<\varepsilon), \\ d(x, x_{m(p)+1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, d(y, y_{m(p)+1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{p}. \end{cases}$$
(11)

From the hypothesis 4, it is clear that  $\{x_m\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence and  $\{y_m\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence. Then, from hypotheses 2 and 3, we have:

$$x_m \le x \text{ and } y_m \ge y \text{ for all } m$$
. (12)

Since *F* is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive, from (11)-(12), we get:

$$d(F(x, y), F(x_{m(p)}, y_{m(p)})) < \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{p} \text{ and } d(F(y_{m(p)}, x_{m(p)}), F(y, x)) < \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{p}.$$
(13)

Using the triangular inequality, from (11) and (13), we obtain :

$$d(x, F(x, y)) \le d(x, x_{m(p)+1}) + d(F(x_{m(p)}, y_{m(p)}), F(x, y)) < \frac{\varepsilon(\lambda+1)}{p} \to 0 \text{ as } p \to +\infty.$$

Hence, x = F(x, y). By a similar argument, we can show that y = F(y, x). Finally, (x, y) is a coupled fixed point of F and the proof is completed.

One can prove that the coupled fixed point is in fact unique, provided that the product space  $X \times X$  endowed with the partial order mentioned earlier has the following property:

(H):  $\forall (x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in X \times X, \exists (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$  that is comparable to (x, y) and  $(x^*, y^*)$ .

This is the purpose of the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Adding condition (H) to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point of F.

**Proof.** Assume that  $(x^*, y^*)$  is another coupled fixed point of *F*. We distinguish two cases.

<u>First case</u>: (x, y) and  $(x^*, y^*)$  are comparable with respect to the ordering in  $X \times X$ . Without restriction to the generality we can assume that  $x \le x^*$  and  $y \ge y^*$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we get:

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} \eta((F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(y, x)), (F^{m}(x^{*}; y^{*}), F^{m}(y^{*}, x^{*}))) = 0$$

On the other hand, for all  $m \in N$  , we have:

$$x = F^{m}(x, y), y = F^{m}(y, x), x^{*} = F^{m}(x^{*}, y^{*}), y^{*} = F^{m}(y^{*}, x^{*}).$$

Then,  $\eta((x, y), (x^*, y^*)) = 0$  and  $(x, y) = (x^*, y^*)$ .

<u>Second case:</u> (x, y) and  $(x^*, y^*)$  are not comparable. From (H), there exists  $(z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$  that is comparable to (x, y) and  $(x^*, y^*)$ . Without restriction to the generality, we can suppose that  $x \le z_1$ ,  $y \ge z_2$  and  $x^* \le z_1$ ,  $y^* \ge z_2$ . Again, applying Lemma 2.1, we get:

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{m \to +\infty} \eta((F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(y, x)), (F^{m}(z_{1}, z_{2}), F^{m}(z_{2}, z_{1}))) = 0, \\ \lim_{m \to +\infty} \eta((F^{m}(x^{*}, y^{*}), F^{m}(y^{*}, x^{*})), (F^{m}(z_{1}, z_{2}), F^{m}(z_{2}, z_{1}))) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(14)

Now, using the triangular inequality and (14), we obtain:

$$\eta((x, y), (x^*, y^*)) = \eta((F^m(x, y), F^m(y, x)), (F^m(x^*, y^*), F^m(y^*, x^*)))$$

$$\leq \eta((F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(y, x)), (F^{m}(z_{1}, z_{2}), F^{m}(z_{2}, z_{1})))$$
  
+  $\eta((F^{m}(z_{1}, z_{2}), F^{m}(z_{2}, z_{1})), (F^{m}(x^{*}, y^{*}), F^{m}(y^{*}, x^{*})))$   
 $\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$ 

Then,  $\eta((x, y), (x^*, y^*)) = 0$  and  $(x, y) = (x^*, y^*)$ . This makes end to the proof.

Now, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, suppose that every pair of elements of *X* has an upper or a lower bound in *X*. Then, x = y.

**Proof.** We distinguish two cases.

<u>First case</u>:  $x = F^m(x, y)$  is comparable to  $y = F^m(y, x)$ . We can assume that  $x \le y$ . We can write:

$$x \le y$$
 and  $y \ge y$ .

Applying Lemma 2.1, we get:

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} B_m \coloneqq \eta((F^m(x, y), F^m(y, x)), (F^m(y, y), F^m(y, y))) = 0.$$
(15)

From (15), we get:

$$d(x, y) = d(F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(y, x)) \le d(F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(y, y)) + d(F^{m}(y, y), F^{m}(y, x))$$
  
=  $B_{m} \to 0$  as  $m \to +\infty$ .

Then, x = y.

<u>Second case</u> : *x* is not comparable to *y*. Then, there exists an upper bound or lower bound of *x* and *y*. That is, there exists  $z \in X$  comparable with *x* and *y*. For example, we can suppose that  $x \le z$  and  $y \le z$ . Again, applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain:

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} C_m := \eta((F^m(x, y), F^m(y, x)), (F^m(z, z), F^m(z, z))) = 0.$$
(16)

From (16), we get:

$$d(x, y) = d(F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(y, x)) \le d(F^{m}(x, y), F^{m}(z, z)) + d(F^{m}(z, z), F^{m}(y, x))$$
  
=  $C_{m} \to 0$  as  $m \to +\infty$ .

Then, x = y and the proof is completed.

Alternatively, if we know that the elements  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are such that  $x_0 \le y_0$ , then we can also demonstrate that the components x and y of the coupled fixed point are indeed the same. This is the purpose of the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 (resp. Theorem 2.2), suppose that  $x_0 \ y_0 \in X$  are comparable. Then, x = y.

**Proof.** Without restriction to the generality, we can assume that  $x_0 \le y_0$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we get:

 $\lim_{m \to +\infty} D_m \coloneqq \eta((F^m(x_0, y_0), F^m(y_0, x_0)), (F^m(y_0, x_0), F^m(x_0, y_0))) = 0.$ (17) From (17) and using the triangular inequality, we get:

$$d(x, y) \le d(x, x_m) + d(x_m, y_m) + d(y_m, y)$$
  
=  $d(x_m, x) + d(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^m(y_0, x_0)) + d(y_m, y)$   
 $\le d(x_m, x) + D_m + d(y_m, y)$   
 $\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$ 

Then, d(x, y) = 0 and x = y. This makes end to the proof.

### **References:**

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily and D. O'Regan, *Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Appl. Anal. 87 (2008) 1-8.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan and D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [3] I. Altun and H. Simsek, Some Fixed Point Theorems on Ordered Metric Spaces and Application, Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2010, Article ID 621469, 17 pages doi:10.1155/2010/621469.
- [4] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intgrales*, Fund. Math. 3 (1922) 133-181.
- [5] T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006) 1379-1393.
- [6] A. Cabada and J. J. Nieto, Fixed *points and approximate solutions for nonlinear operator equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 113 (2000) 17-25.
- [7] L. Ciric, N. Cakic, M. Rajovic and J. S. Ume, *Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008 (2008) Article ID 131294, 11 pages.
- [8] J. Dugundji and A. Granas, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [9] M. Edelstein, An Extension of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961) 7-10.

- [10] J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, *Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis . 72 (2010) 1188-1197.
- [11] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ciric, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis. 70 (2009) 4341-4349.
- [12] V. Lakshmikantham and S. Koksal, *Monotone Flows and Rapid Convergence for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Taylor & Francis, 2003.
- [13] V. Lakshmikantham and R. N. Mohapatra, *Theory of Fuzzy Differential Equations and Inclusions*, Taylor&Francis, London, 2003.
- [14] V. Lakshmikantham and A. S. Vatsala, *Gejneral uniqueness and monotone iterative technique for fractional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (8) (2008) 828-834.
- [15] J. J. Nieto, An abstract monotone iterative technique, Nonlinear Analysis. 28 (1997) 1923-1933.
- [16] J. J. Nieto, R. L. Pouso and R. Rodriguez-Lopez, *Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007) 2505-2517.
- [17] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodriguez-Lopez, *Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation*, Order 22 (2005) 223-239.
- [18] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodriguez-Lopez, *Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Acta Math. Sinica, Engl. Ser.23 (12) (2007) 2205-2212.
- [19] D. O'Regan and A. Petrusel, *Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008) 1241-1252.
- [20] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, *A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004) 1435-1443.
- [21] B. Samet, *Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis. 72 (2010) 4508-4517.
- [22] D. R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [23] T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle which characterizes metric completeness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008) 1861-1869.
- [24] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications I: Fixed Point Theorems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.