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\section*{Abstract}
Assume that $\vartheta_j$ is the solution of the nonhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\vartheta_{j+1} = \rho(1)\vartheta_j + f(j+1), \quad \vartheta_0 = 0,$$

where $\rho(1)$ is the algebraic generator of the discrete semigroup $T = \{\rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ acting on a complex Banach space $\Delta$. Suppose further that $\mathbb{AAP}_0^r[\mathbb{Z}_+, \Delta]$ is the space of asymptotically almost periodic sequences with relatively compact ranges. We prove that the system

$$u_{j+1} = \rho(1)u_j$$

is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if for each $f \in \mathbb{AAP}_0^r[\mathbb{Z}_+, \Delta]$ the solution $\vartheta_j \in \mathbb{AAP}_0^r[\mathbb{Z}_+, \Delta]$. ©2017 All rights reserved.
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\section{1. Introduction}
Assume that $A$ is a bounded linear operator over a complex Banach space $\Delta$. A well-known result of Dalecki\`i and Kre\`ın [9] and Kre\`ın [12] shows that the system $\dot{\vartheta}(t) = A\vartheta(t)$ is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $b \in \Delta$ the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\eta}(t) = A\eta(t) + e^{i\alpha t}b, \\
\eta(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
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is bounded. One can find the proof of this classic result in [2]. This result can also be extended for strongly continuous bounded semigroups, we refer the reader to [5, 14, 16]. Under a slightly different assumption, the result on stability was also preserved for any strongly continuous semigroups acting on complex Hilbert spaces; see, for instance, [13, 15] and the references cited therein. For counter-examples, see [4, 10]. In [7, 18], the same results were extended for square size matrices in both continuous case and discrete case.

To the best of our knowledge, so far, there are few related results regarding the study of discrete systems, discrete semigroups, and discrete evolution families. Very recently, Buse et al. [6] considered the uniform exponential stability of discrete nonautonomous systems on the space of sequences denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{00}(\mathbb{Z}_+, \Delta)$, whereas Wang et al. [17] gave a result on the uniform exponential stability of discrete evolution families on space of $p$-periodic sequences denoted by $\mathcal{P}_0^p(\mathbb{Z}_+, \Delta)$. In particular, Zada et al. [19] studied uniform exponential stability of discrete semigroups, whereas Ahmad et al. [1], Khan et al. [11], and Zada et al. [19] proved that the system $\theta_{j+1} = \rho(1)\theta_j$ is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if for each $q$-periodic bounded sequence $\varphi(j)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{j+1} &= \rho(1)\eta_j + e^{i\alpha(j+1)}\varphi(j+1), \\
\eta_0 &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

is bounded, where the meaning of $\rho(1)$ will be explained in the next section.

The principal objective of this article is to extend the results of [19] to the space of asymptotically almost periodic sequences with relatively compact ranges denoted by $\mathcal{AAP}_0^p(\mathbb{Z}_+, \Delta)$. For the periodic and almost periodic sequences, we refer the reader to [3, 8] and the references cited there.

2. Notation and preliminaries

Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(\Delta)$ is the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\Delta$. The norms on $\Delta$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Delta)$ will be denoted by $\| \cdot \|$. We recall that $\mathcal{T} = \{ \rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}$ of bounded linear operators acting on $\Delta$ is a discrete semigroup if it satisfies the following properties:

1. $\rho(0) = I$, where $I$ is the identity operator on $\Delta$;
2. $\rho(j + m) = \rho(j)\rho(m)$ for all $j, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Let $\rho(1)$ be the algebraic generator of the semigroup $\mathcal{T}$. It is clear that $\rho(j) = \rho^j(1)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The growth bound of $\mathcal{T}$ is denoted by $\omega_0(\mathcal{T}) = \inf(\omega \in \mathbb{R} : \| \rho(j) \| \leq M_\omega e^{\omega j} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and some } M_\omega > 0)$.

The family $\mathcal{T}$ is uniformly exponentially stable if $\omega_0(\mathcal{T})$ is negative or equivalently, if there exist two positive constants $M$ and $\omega_1$ such that

$$
\| \rho(j) \| \leq Me^{-\omega_1 j}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
$$

(2.1)

Let $\text{BUC}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ be the Banach space of all $\Delta$-valued, bounded, and uniformly convergent sequences on $\mathbb{Z}$, endowed with the sup-norm. Now, we consider several subspaces of $\text{BUC}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ be the space consisting of all $\Delta$-valued sequences vanishing at infinity, $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ with $q \geq 2$ be the space consisting of all $q$-periodic sequences, and $\mathcal{AP}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ be the smallest closed subspace of $\text{BUC}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ which contains all sequences of the form $j \rightarrow e^{i\mu j}v : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Delta$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in \Delta$. $\mathcal{AAP}_0^p(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ is the space consisting of all sequences $x(j)$ with relatively compact ranges for which there exist a sequence $y(j) \in \mathcal{AP}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ and a sequence $z(j) \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ such that $x(j) = y(j) + z(j)$.

If $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ is a suitable Banach space, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ is invariant under the operator $S(\cdot)$ defined by

$$(S \ast g)(r) = \rho(j)g(r - j), \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

and $S = \{ S(j) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is the evolution semigroup on the space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is $q$-periodic and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta) =$
\[ P_q(Z, \Delta) \text{ (or } AP(Z, \Delta)\text{), then } S = \{S(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ acts on } P_q(Z, \Delta) \text{ (or } AP(Z, \Delta)\text{). Furthermore, if } T \text{ is asymptotically almost periodic with relatively compact ranges and for each } v \in \Delta, \lim_{j \to 0} \rho(j)v = v, \text{ uniformly for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \text{ then } S \text{ is defined on } AAP^q(Z, \Delta). \]

3. Exponential stability and action of convolution on \( P_q(Z, \Delta) \oplus C_0(Z, \Delta) \)

Let \( F_q(Z, \Delta) = P_q(Z, \Delta) \oplus C_0(Z, \Delta) \). By \( F^0_q(Z_+, \Delta) \) we denote a subspace of \( BUC(Z_+, \Delta) \) which consists of all those sequences \( x(j) \) on \( Z_+ \) for which \( x(0) = 0 \) and there exists an \( X_x \in F_q(Z, \Delta) \) such that \( X_x(m) = x(m) \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). For such \( x \), we define the convolution condition \( S = \delta * x \) by

\[
(S \ast x)(m) = \begin{cases} 
\rho(j)x(m - j), & \text{if } m \geq j, \\
0, & \text{if } 0 \leq m < j.
\end{cases} \tag{3.1}
\]

**Lemma 3.1.** For each \( m \geq 0 \), the sequence defined by (3.1) acts on \( F^0_q(Z_+, \Delta) \).

**Proof.** Let \( m > 0 \) be fixed, \( x \in F^0_q(Z_+, \Delta) \), and \( \tilde{x} = (m)x \). Then there exists an \( X_x \in F_q(Z, \Delta) \) such that \( X_x = Y_x + Z_x \) with \( Y_x \in P_q(Z, \Delta) \), \( Z_x \in C_0(Z, \Delta) \), and \( x = Y_x + Z_x \) on \( Z_+ \). Define \( \tilde{Y}_x \) and \( \tilde{Z}_x \) by

\[
\tilde{Y}_x(j) = (\rho(m)Y_x)(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+
\]
and

\[
\tilde{Z}_x(j) = \begin{cases} 
(\rho(m)Z_x)(j), & \text{if } j \geq m, \\
-(\rho(m)Y_x)(j), & \text{if } j < m,
\end{cases}
\]
respectively. It is clear that \( \tilde{Y}_x \in P_q(Z, \Delta) \) and \( \tilde{Z}_x \in C_0(Z, \Delta) \). Furthermore, if \( m = 0 \), then

\[
\tilde{Y}_x(0) + \tilde{Z}_x(0) = (\rho(0)Y_x)(0) + (\rho(0)Z_x)(0) = 0.
\]

On the other hand, it is clear that \( \tilde{x} = \tilde{Y}_x + \tilde{Z}_x \) on \( Z_+ \). Hence, \( \tilde{x} \in F^0_q(Z_+, \Delta) \), which completes the proof. \( \square \)

Having in mind the “infinitesimal generator” of the discrete semigroup in continuous case, letting \( G \) be the infinitesimal generator of \( S \), then \( G = \delta(1) - I \), where \( \delta(1) \) is the algebraic generator of \( S \). Therefore, for discrete semigroups, the Taylor formula of order one can be written as

\[
\delta(j)u - u = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \delta(k)Gu, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad j \geq 1, \quad \forall u \in \Delta. \tag{3.2}
\]

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that \( G \) is the infinitesimal generator of \( S \) and let \( u, x \in F^0_q(Z_+, \Delta) \). Then the following two statements are equivalent.

1. \( u \in D(G) \) and \( Gu = -x \).
2. \( u(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \rho(j - k)x(k) \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) Using Taylor formula (3.2), we have

\[
\delta(j)u - u = \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} \delta(m)Gu = - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} \delta(m)x.
\]

Hence, for every \( j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \),

\[
u(j) = (\delta(j)u)(j) + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} (\delta(m)x)(j) = \rho(j)u(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} \rho(m)x(j - m) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \rho(j - k)x(k).
\]
The proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $T$ be a $q$-periodic discrete semigroup of bounded linear operators on the Banach space $\Delta$. If $T$ is uniformly exponentially stable, i.e., (2.1) is satisfied, then for each $x \in F_q^0(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta)$ the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \rho(j-k)x(k) \in F^0_q(\mathbb{Z}_+).$

**Proof.** Since $T$ is uniformly exponentially stable, the semigroup $S = \delta * x$ defined by (3.1) is exponentially stable. Thus, the generator $G := \delta(1) - I$ of $S$ is an invertible operator. Hence, for each $u \in F_q^0(\mathbb{Z}_+),\Delta)$, there exists an $x \in F_q^0(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ such that $(\delta(1) - I)u = -x$. An application of Lemma 3.2 completes the proof.

4. Exponential stability and action of convolution on spaces $\text{AP}_0(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$ and $\text{AAP}_q^c(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$

Again recall that if $F(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$ is a suitable Banach space, then the family of operators $\{\delta(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, denoted by $S$, defined by

$$\delta \ast x(j) = \begin{cases} \rho(r)x(j-r), & \text{if } j \geq r, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < r, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

is called the evolution semigroup associated with $T$ on the space $F(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$.

For the spaces $\text{AP}(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$ and $\text{AAP}^c(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$, we state the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.**

1. If for any $v \in \Delta$, the sequences $\rho(j)v$ and $x(j)$ are in $\text{AP}(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $\delta \ast x$ defined by (4.1) also belongs to $\text{AP}(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$.

2. If $x(j) \in \text{AP}(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$ and $\rho(j)v \in \text{AAP}^c(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and all $v \in \Delta$, then $\delta(j)x \in \text{AAP}^c(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$.

**Proof.** (1) Let

$$p_m(j) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k e^{i\mu_k s}v_k$$

with $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $v_k \in \Delta$ such that $p_m(s)$ converges uniformly to $x(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\rho(j)p_m(s-j)$ converges uniformly to $\rho(j)x(s-j)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the map

$$s \to \rho(j)p_m(s-j) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k e^{i\mu_k (s-j)}p(j)v_k$$

is almost periodic, its limit $\rho(j)x(\cdot-j)$ is also almost periodic.

(2) Let $(s_m)$ be a sequence of real numbers. Given $\rho(j)v \in \text{AAP}^c(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and all $v \in \Delta$. Since the sequence $e^{i\mu(s_m-j)}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$, we can suppose that the sequence $\rho(j)e^{i\mu(s_m-j)}v$ converges in $\Delta$ and so the sequence $\rho(j)\sum_{k=0}^n c_k e^{i\mu_k(s_m-j)}v_k$ converges in $\Delta$. Let $p_n(s-j) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k e^{i\mu_k(s-j)}v_k$ be such that $p_n(s-j) \to x(s-j)$ uniformly for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that the inequality

$$M_\omega e^{\omega s_m-j} - p_N(s_m-j) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
holds for \( j \) sufficiently large. Let us denote by \( u_j \) the limit of the sequence \( \rho(j)p_{N_0}(s_m - j) \). Then, for sufficiently large \( j \), we have
\[
\|\rho(j)x(s_m - j) - u_j\| \leq \|\rho(j)x(s_m - j) - \rho(j)p_{N_0}(s_m - j)\| + \|\rho(j)p_{N_0}(s_m - j) - u_j\| \\
\leq M_\omega e^{\omega j}\|x(s_m - j) - p_{N_0}(s_m - j)\| + \|\rho(j)p_{N_0}(s_m - j) - u_j\| \\
< \varepsilon.
\]
Hence, the map \( \rho(j)x(\cdot - j) \) has relatively compact range. This completes the proof.

Denote by \( A_0(Z_+, \Delta) \) the set of all sequences \( x(j) \) for which there exist a \( j_x \in Z_+ \) and an \( X_x \in AP(Z_+, \Delta) \) such that \( X_x(j_k) = 0 \) and
\[
x(j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq j_x, \\ X_x(j), & \text{if } j > j_x.
\end{cases}
\]
The smallest closed subspace of \( BUC(Z_+, \Delta) \) which contains \( A_0(Z_+, \Delta) \) will be denoted by \( AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \).

**Proposition 4.2.** If \( x(j) \in AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \), then the evolution semigroup \( S \) given in (4.1) acts on \( AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of [11, Lemma 3.1], and hence is omitted.

The following theorem gives the uniform exponential stability of discrete semigroup \( T \) on \( AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( T \) be a discrete semigroup on \( \Delta \). The following four statements are equivalent.

1. \( T \) is uniformly exponentially stable.
2. The convolution condition \( S \) associated to \( T \) on \( AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \) is uniformly exponentially stable.
3. The series \( \sum_{k=0}^j \rho(j - k)x(k) \in AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \) for each \( x \in AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \).
4. For each \( x \in F^0_q(Z_+, \Delta) = P_q(Z, \Delta) \oplus C_0(Z, \Delta) \), the series \( \sum_{k=0}^j \rho(j - k)x(k) \in F^0_q(Z_+, \Delta) \).

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) The proof is simple, and so is omitted.

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) Assume that \( S \) is uniformly exponentially stable. It means that 1 is not an eigenvalue of \( \delta(1) \), i.e., \( \delta(1) - 1 \) is invertible. Hence, for each \( y \in AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \), there exists an \( x \in AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \) such that \( (\delta(1) - 1)x = 0 \). On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that, for every \( j \in Z_+ \), \( y(j) = \sum_{k=0}^j \rho(j - k)x(k) \). Then, by Proposition 4.2, \( \sum_{k=0}^j \rho(j - k)x(k) \in AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \).

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (4) It is obvious.

(4) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3. The proof is complete.

From Proposition 4.2, we can obtain the following result.

**Proposition 4.4.** If

1. the sequence \( \rho(j) \in AP_0(Z_+, \Delta) \) for all \( j \in Z_+ \) and each \( v \in \Delta \),
2. for each \( v \in \Delta \), \( \lim_{j \to 0} \rho(j)v = v \), uniformly for \( j \in Z_+ \),

then the semigroup \( S \) given in (4.1) acts on \( AAP_0^v(Z_+, \Delta) \).

Let us denote by \( AAP_0^v(Z_+, \Delta) \) the subspace of \( AAP^v(Z, \Delta) \) which consists of all sequences \( x(j) \) for which there exists an \( X_x \in AAP^v(Z, \Delta) \) such that \( X_x(0) = 0 \) and \( X_x = x \) on \( Z_+ \). On the basis of Theorem 4.3, we can state the following theorem which gives the uniform exponential stability of discrete semigroup on \( AAP_0^v(Z_+, \Delta) \).

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \( T \) be a discrete semigroup on \( \Delta \). The following statements are equivalent.
(1) T is uniformly exponentially stable.

(2) The convolution condition S associated to the family T on $\text{AAP}_0^r(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta)$ is uniformly exponentially stable.

(3) The operator $S(1) - I$ is an invertible operator.

(4) For every $x \in \text{AAP}_0^r(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta)$, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{1} \rho(j-k)x(k) \in \text{AAP}_0^r(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta)$.

(5) For each $x \in F_0^q(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta) = P_q(\mathbb{Z},\Delta) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{Z},\Delta)$, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{1} \rho(j-k)x(k) \in F_0^q(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta)$.

In the following, we give a concrete example.

Example 4.6. Consider the discrete Cauchy problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_{j+1} &= \rho(1)\vartheta_j + f(j+1), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\
\vartheta_0 &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

The solution of this Cauchy problem is
\[
\vartheta_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \rho(j-k)f(k),
\]
where $\{\rho(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a q-periodic discrete semigroup of bounded linear operators. By virtue of Theorem 4.5, we can state the following corollary as a conclusion.

Corollary 4.7. The system $u_{j+1} = \rho(1)u_j$ is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if for every $f \in \text{AAP}_0^r(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta)$ the solution of Cauchy problem $(\rho(1),0)$ belongs to $\text{AAP}_0^r(\mathbb{Z}_+,\Delta)$.
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